

RESEARCH OUTPUTS / RÉSULTATS DE RECHERCHE

Evaluation of Reduced Point Charge Models of Proteins through Molecular Dynamics Simulations: Application to the Vps27 UIM-1 – Ubiguitin Complex Leherte, Laurence; Vercauteren, Daniel

Published in: Journal of Molecular Graphics and Modelling

Publication date: 2014

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication

Citation for pulished version (HARVARD):

Leherte, L & Vercauteren, D 2014, 'Evaluation of Reduced Point Charge Models of Proteins through Molecular Dynamics Simulations: Application to the Vps27 UIM-1 – Ubiquitin Complex', Journal of Molecular Graphics and Modelling, vol. 47, pp. 44-61.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

AUTHOR QUERY FORM

| | Journal: JMG | Please e-mail or fax your responses and any corrections to: |
|----------|----------------------|---|
| | | E-mail: corrections.esch@elsevier.thomsondigital.com |
| ELSEVIER | Article Number: 6354 | Fax: +353 6170 9272 |

Dear Author,

Please check your proof carefully and mark all corrections at the appropriate place in the proof (e.g., by using on-screen annotation in the PDF file) or compile them in a separate list. Note: if you opt to annotate the file with software other than Adobe Reader then please also highlight the appropriate place in the PDF file. To ensure fast publication of your paper please return your corrections within 48 hours.

For correction or revision of any artwork, please consult http://www.elsevier.com/artworkinstructions.

Any queries or remarks that have arisen during the processing of your manuscript are listed below and highlighted by flags in the proof. Click on the 'Q' link to go to the location in the proof.

| Location in | Query / Remark: click on the Q link to go | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| article | Please insert your reply or correction at the corresponding line in the proof | | | | | | |
| Q1 | Please confirm that given names and surnames have been identified correctly. | | | | | | |
| $\overline{Q2}$ | Figs. 2, 5 to 11 will appear in black and white in print and in color on the web. Based on this, the | | | | | | |
| | respective figure captions have been updated. Please check, and correct if necessary. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Please check this box or indicate your approval if you have no corrections to make to the PDF file | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Thank you for your assistance.

Journal of Molecular Graphics and Modelling xxx (2013) xxx-xxx

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Journal of Molecular Graphics and Modelling

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/JMGM



Graphical Abstract



Journal of Molecular Graphics and Modelling xxx (2013) xxx-xxx

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Journal of Molecular Graphics and Modelling

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/JMGM



Highlights

| | Journal of Molecular Graphics and Modelling xxx (2013) xxx-xxx |
|--|--|
| Evaluation of reduced point charge models of proteins through | |
| Molecular Dynamics simulations: Application to the Vps27 UIM-1-U | biquitin complex |

Laurence Leherte*, Daniel P. Vercauteren

- Reduced point charge models provide stable Molecular Dynamics trajectories of the protein complex.
- H-bond networks are progressively modified as the reduction degree increases.
- The models allow to probe local potential hyper-surface minima that are similar to all-atom ones.
- The models allow to sample protein conformations more rapidly than the all-atom case due to a lowering of energy barriers.
- Implementation of point charges as virtual sites requires attention to the reference atoms and to the Cb-14 energy terms.

Journal of Molecular Graphics and Modelling xxx (2013) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Molecular Graphics and Modelling



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/JMGM

Evaluation of reduced point charge models of proteins through Molecular Dynamics simulations: Application to the Vps27 UIM-1–Ubiquitin complex

Q1 Laurence Leherte^{*}, Daniel P. Vercauteren^{*}

Unité de Chimie Physique Théorique et Structurale, University of Namur, Rue de Bruxelles 61, B-5000 Namur, Belgium

ARTICLE INFO

9 Article history:
 10 Accepted 31 October 2013
 11 Available online xxx

- Keywords: Molecular electrostatic potential
- Electron density
- Smoothing of molecular fields Critical points
- Point charge model
- 19 Protein

21

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

Ubiquitin complex

ABSTRACT

Reduced point charge models of amino acids are designed, (i) from local extrema positions in charge density distribution functions built from the Poisson equation applied to smoothed molecular electrostatic potential (MEP) functions, and (ii) from local maxima positions in promolecular electron density distribution functions. Corresponding charge values are fitted *versus* all-atom Amber99 MEPs. To easily generate reduced point charge models for protein structures, libraries of amino acid templates are built. The program GROMACS is used to generate stable Molecular Dynamics trajectories of an Ubiquitin-ligand complex (PDB: 1Q0W), under various implementation schemes, solvation, and temperature conditions. Point charges that are not located on atoms are considered as virtual sites with a nul mass and radius. The results illustrate how the intra- and inter-molecular Hobnd interactions are affected by the degree of reduction of the point charge models and give directions for their implementation; a special attention to the atoms selected to locate the virtual sites and to the Coulomb-14 interactions is needed. Results obtained at various temperatures suggest that the use of reduced point charge models allows to probe local potential hyper-surface minima that are similar to the all-atom ones, but are characterized by lower energy barriers. It enables allows to generate various conformations of the protein complex more rapidly than the all-atom point charge representation.

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Numerous models have already been proposed in literature regarding the coarse-graining of biomolecules and their corresponding interaction potentials. Several references were already given in [1]. Reviews [2–6] as well as software [7,8] are regularly published on the subject.

In recent publications, we described reduced point charge models [9,10] built from critical point (CP) analyses of smoothed molecular properties, and their applications to Molecular Dynamics (MD) simulations of proteins [1]. These simulations were achieved in vacuum using the program package TINKER [11] wherein point charges were considered as masses attached to the protein structure through harmonic bonds. The mass that was associated with the charges was set to a value of m = 2 in order to limit the mass increase of the protein structure and to allow a time step value of 1 fs, a lower value of m implying too strong a decrease of the time step to get stable MD trajectories. All other terms of the selected force field (FF), Amber99 [12], were calculated at the all-atom level, *i.e.*, as in the original FF version.

In the present work, the design of reduced point charge models 41 is not intended to lead to a coarse-grained model per se. It is part 42 of a more global project regarding the analysis of low resolution 43 molecular properties such as electron density (ED) and molecular 44 electrostatic potential (MEP). From the very first MD applications of 45 a reduced point charge model to protein structures [1], it was found 46 that the secondary structure of the proteins was only partly lost 47 during the simulations while the overall three-dimensional (3D) 48 fold remained stable. Additionally, a decrease of about a factor 2 of 49 the calculation time was observed for the simulations in vacuum. 50 As a perspective to the work reported in [1], it was expected to 51 adopt other implementation approaches to get rid of the non-zero 52 mass assigned to the charges. This perspective led to the present 53 paper. 54

In the present work, two molecular properties leading to different reduced point charge models are considered. First, as in [1,10], a limited number of point charges is obtained through the search for the maxima and minima of a smoothed version of the charge density (CD) generated by the atomic charges defined in Amber99 (or Amber99SB) FF [12]. Second, the point charges are obtained through a search of the maxima of the full promolecular 61

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +32 81 724560; fax: +32 81 725466. *E-mail address:* Jaurence.leherte@unamur.be (L. Leherte).

^{1093-3263/\$ -} see front matter © 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmgm.2013.10.011

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

60

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82 83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

95

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

113

114

115

116

117

ARTICLE IN PRESS

L. Leherte, D.P. Vercauteren / Journal of Molecular Graphics and Modelling xxx (2013) xxx-xxx

ED of the molecular structure and, as in the first approach, charge values are assigned to those maxima using a least-square charge fitting procedure. This last molecular property is easily calculated using the so-called Promolecular Atom Shell Approximation (PASA) formalism that was developed by Amat and Carbó-Dorca [13,14]. Those two approaches led to various implementations which are discussed in the present paper. The program GROMACS [15,16] was selected due to its implementation towards shorter calculation times and the possibility to define virtual sites, *i.e.*, particles with nul mass and radius that are coupled to the molecular structure through geometrical rules.

The aim of the paper is to go deeper in the modelling of protein structures and dynamics using reduced point charge models, with an application to a particular protein complex. Such a system was selected to provide information on the usefulness of the models to simulate short peptides and proteins as well as their mutual interactions. The effect of the point charge distributions on both the intra- and inter-molecular interactions in various simulation conditions, such as temperature and solvation, is also investigated. At this stage of our research work, only the electrostatic part of the FF is modified. Energetic, structural, and dynamical properties are calculated and compared to the all-atom ones, which is easily achieved as no conversion stage is required between the reduced and all-atom models. However, keeping a significant number of allatom contributions to the FF limits, for the moment, the possible gain in calculation time.

In the next section, we detail how the models and their implementation were designed. Then, MD simulation results for the protein complex involving the Ubiquitin Interacting Motif UIM-1 of protein Vps27 and Ubiquitin (PDB access code 1Q0W) with various point charge models, and under different simulation conditions (temperature, solvation state), are analysed and discussed.

2. Background theory

In this section, we present the mathematical formalism that was used to design a molecular reduced point charge representation and its corresponding charge values. As all these aspects were already detailed before [9,10], we only provide a short overview. First, the smoothing algorithm is briefly described. Then, the approach applied to locate the point charges is presented, as well as the procedure to assign charge values. Finally, the automation procedure that is implemented to rapidly determine the point charge locations for any protein structure is explained.

2.1. Smoothing of a molecular property

In the present approach to generate smoothed 3D functions, a smoothed CD or ED map is a lower resolution version that is directly expressed as the solution of the diffusion equation according to the formalism presented by Kostrowicki *et al.* [17]. From the formalism given in [1], the smoothed analytical CD distribution function $\rho_{a,s}(r)$ that is obtained from an atomic charge q_a and the Poisson equation is expressed as:

$$\rho_{a,s}(r) = \frac{q_a}{\left(4\pi s\right)^{3/2}} e^{-r^2/4s} \tag{1}$$

where *a*, *s*, and *r*, stand for the atom index, the smoothing factor (in $Bohr^2$, 1 Bohr = 0.52918 × 10⁻¹⁰ m), and the distance *versus* the atom position, respectively. The full promolecular ED is calculated as:

$$\rho_{a,s}(r) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sigma_{a,i} \quad \text{where} \quad \sigma_{a,i} = \alpha_{a,i} e^{-\beta_{a,i}r^2}$$
⁽²⁾

with

$$\alpha_{a,i} = Z_a w_{a,i} \left(\frac{2\varsigma_{a,i}}{\pi}\right)^{3/2} \frac{1}{\left(1 + 8\varsigma_{a,i}s_{\lambda}\right)^{3/2}} \text{ and } \beta_{a,i} = \frac{2\varsigma_{a,i}}{\left(1 + 8\varsigma_{a,i}s_{\lambda}\right)^{3/2}}$$
(3)

where Z_a , $w_{a,i}$ and $\zeta_{a,i}$, are the atomic number of atom a, and the two fitted parameters, respectively. Unsmoothed functions are obtained by imposing $s \gtrsim 0 \text{ Bohr}^2$.

2.2. Search for critical points

An algorithm initially described by Leung *et al.* [18] was implemented to follow the trajectories of CPs, more specifically, the maxima and/or minima in a CD or ED function, as a function of the degree of smoothing. As already reported before [9], we adapted their idea to 3D molecular property functions, *f*, such as:

124

151

$$\mathbf{r}_{f(s)} = \mathbf{r}f(s - \Delta s) + \frac{\nabla f(s) \cdot \Delta}{f(s)} \tag{4}$$

where **r** stands for the location vector of a point in a 3D function, such as a molecular scalar field, and $\Delta / f(s)$ is the step length.

The various steps of the resulting merging/clustering algorithm 133 are as follows. First, at scale s = 0, each atom of a molecular structure 134 is considered either as a local maximum (peak) or minimum (pit) 135 of the scalar field f. All atoms are consequently taken as the starting 136 points of the merging procedure. Second, as s increases from 0 to a 137 given maximal value *s_{max}*, each point moves continuously along a 138 gradient path to reach a location in the 3D space where $\nabla f(s) = 0$. On 139 a practical point of view, this consists in following the trajectory of 140 the peaks and pits on the molecular property surface calculated 141 at s according to Eq. (4). The trajectory search is stopped when 142 $\nabla f(s)$ is lower or equal to a limit value, grad_{lim}. Once all peak/pit 143 locations are found, close points are merged if their inter-distance 144 is lower than the initial value of $\Delta_{\lambda}^{1/2}$. The procedure is repeated 145 for each selected value of s. If the initial Δ value is too small to 146 allow convergence towards a local maximum or minimum within 147 the given number of iterations, its value is doubled (a scaling factor 148 that is arbitrarily selected) and the procedure is repeated until final 149 convergence. 150

2.3. Charge calculation

To stay consistent with the analytical expression of the Amber99 152 FF, only point charge values are assigned to each of the CPs of 153 a 3D molecular property field. In recent literature, one also finds 154 coarse-grained electrostatic energy terms which also involve dipo-155 lar terms, such as in the work of Spiga et al. [19]. The charge 156 fitting program QFIT [20] was used as detailed in [9]. All MEP grids 157 were built using the Amber99 [12] atomic charges which were 158 assigned using the software PDB2PQR [21,22], with a grid step of 159 0.5 Å. Fittings were achieved by considering MEP grid points located 160 between 1.4 and 2.0 times the van der Waals (vdW) radius of the 161 atoms. These two limiting distance values were selected after the 162 so-called Merz-Singh-Kollman scheme [23]. Side chains and main 163 chains of the amino acids (AA) were treated separately, as discussed 164 in [9]. 165

In all fittings, the total electric charge and the magnitude of the molecular dipole moment were constrained to be equal to the corresponding all-atom Amber99 values. All dipole moment components were calculated with the origin of the atom coordinates set to (000).

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

279

280

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

3. Design of amino acid reduced point charge models 171

Reduced point charge representations of each of the twenty AAs 172 were obtained by considering the AAs in specific conformational 173 states. Except for Gly and Ala, most recurrent rotamers were gen-174 erated by considering the angular constraints given in Table 2 of [9]. 175 All AAs were considered as electrically neutral except for Arg+, His+, 176 Lys+, Asp,-, and Glu-. Histidine was also modelled in its neutral 177 protonated states His δ and His ε . 178

3.1. CD-based templates 179

From extended pentadecapeptide chains Gly₇-AA-Gly₇ gener-180 ated using SMMP05 [24,25] only the central AA was kept with 181 main chain atoms $(C\alpha - C = O)_{AA}(N - H)_{AA+1}$. Then, the design of the 182 AA point charge templates was achieved in four stages, as fol-183 lows. First, isolated AA structures were assigned Amber99 atom 184 charges using PDB2PQR [21,22]. Side chain extrema were located 185 using our merging/clustering algorithm applied to the CD distri-186 bution functions smoothed at $s = 1.7 \text{ Bohr}^2$, with $\Delta_{init} = 10^{-4} \text{Bohr}^2$ 187 and $grad_{lim} = 10^{-6} e^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. This was carried out separately for the 188 positively and negatively charged atoms. Second, the charge val-189 ues of the resulting peaks and pits together were fitted versus the 190 all-atom MEP generated from the side chain atoms only. In this 191 procedure, several rotamer descriptions were considered accord-192 ing to their occurrence probability (see Table 2 of [9]). Third, the 193 main chain point charges were located in accordance with the motif 194 found for Gly8 in an extended Gly15 strand [9] and, fourth, a second 195 charge fitting procedure, now carried out versus the MEP calculated 106 using all the AA atoms, was achieved to determine the charge val-197 ues of the two main chain point charges while preserving the side 198 chain point charge values first obtained. 199

All main chain point charges, observed to be located very close 200 to the C and O atoms, were set exactly on those atoms [1] (Supple-201 mentary Information SI 1). All AA bear side chain charges except 202 Ala, Gly, Ile, Leu, and Val. AA models are given in SI 2 and were 203 discussed with details in [1]. In the present work, an extra proto-204 nation state for His was generated, *i.e.*, His+. It is characterized by 205 the highest number of point charges, *i.e.*, 6, versus the other histi-206 dine residues, i.e., 4 and 5. As most of these point charges of His+ 207 are close to H atoms, they were set to be located exactly on these 208 atoms to facilitate the implementation of the point charge model. 209 For the end residues, a charge of +0.9288 or -0.9288 e^- is set on 210 the N and OXT atoms, respectively [9,10]. In the further parts of 211 this paper, the model will be referred to as model *mCD*. 212

A second point charge description was derived from the model described above. In this second model, to fully facilitate the implementation of the AA models in GROMACS, most of the point charges were set exactly on atoms of the residues, and a charge fitting algorithm was again applied. Results are presented in SI 3. This implies that only three AA residues, His+, Phe, and Trp, have a point charge that is not located on an atom of their structure. In that model, one obtains end charge values of +0.7705 and -0.7705 for the end N and OXT atoms. In the further parts of this paper, the second model will be referred to as model mCDa.

A last model based on the point charge distribution *mCD* was considered similarly to the approach adopted in [1] with the program package TINKER [11]. A mass m = 2 was assigned to each point charge and harmonic constraints were applied to bonds and angles presented in SI 2. The model will be referred to as model mCDh.

3.2. PASA-based templates 228

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

CP searches of the PASA ED distribution functions were car-229 230 ried out to generate still coarser charge descriptions for the AAs. Indeed, with the CD distribution functions depicted above, it is 231

not possible to obtain less than two main chain point charges 232 per residue, *i.e.*, one negative and one positive charge associated 233 with the O and C atoms, respectively. Within the framework of 234 the PASA, the ED depends only on the atomic number Z_a of the 235 atoms, not on their charge (Eqs. (2) and (3)). The use of the merg-236 ing/clustering algorithm was carried out with $\Delta_{init} = 10^{-4}$ Bohr² 237 and $grad_{lim} = 10^{-5} e^{-3} Bohr^{-2}$. This limit is an order of magnitude 238 greater than in the CD case as too fine a grad_{lim} threshold increases 230 the possibility to miss the recognition of duplicate CPs during the 240 search. A smoothing degree of s = 1.4 Bohr² was considered. An 241 exception occurs for Trp for which one observes two side chain 242 CPs at *s* below or equal to 1.05 Bohr². We selected that value to 243 better differentiate that residue from the other aromatic residues 244 characterized by only one CP. As each AA main chain involves only 245 one CP, its charge value was directly set as the sum over the cor-246 responding atomic charges. Then, for AAs involving more than one 247 side chain CP, a charge fitting procedure was applied as for the CD-248 based models. For end residues, one observed no main chain CP on 249 the terminal NH₃⁺ group. The charge of the main chain CP of the 250 N-terminal residue is thus incremented by +1, while the main chain 251 charge of the C-terminal residue is incremented by -1. Individual 252 AA point charge representations are given in Fig. 1. Regarding the 253 side chains, most of the residues are characterised by only one CP. 254 Their location is mostly determined by the atoms with the highest 255 atomic number, i.e., S, O, N, and C. The H atoms do not significantly 256 affect the ED distribution functions and this makes all His residues 257 looking alike. In the further parts of this paper, the model will be 258 referred to as model mPASA. Its implementation within the program 259 GROMACS is detailed in SI 4. 260

3.3. Automated point charge generation procedure

The four point charge templates described above are established for isolated AA structures. Their properties are thus independent on the neighbourhood occurring in a particular protein of a complex structure. This presents a great advantage when those properties are transferable. In a previous work [9], one indeed suggested, through a good approximation of MEP profiles of ion channels and numerous free energy calculations, that transferability occurs for rigid protein structures. Additionally, in their paper regarding the optimal number of coarse-grained sites in biomolecular complexes, Sinitskiy et al. concluded that the transferability of individual protein properties between unbound and bound states is supported by the possibility to coarse-grain complex partners independently one from each other [27].

To study large protein structures, an automation stage was developed to rapidly locate point charges on the structure. It is fully based on the application of a superimposition algorithm of CP templates of each AA onto their corresponding all-atom structure of 278 the protein under study. We used the program QUATFIT [28,29] to, first, superimpose a limited set of atoms from the template on the studied structure, and then use the resulting transformation 281 matrix to generate the corresponding point charge coordinates. The templates obtained from CD distribution functions were already made available in Table 3 of [9]. The His+ template newly studied in the present work is provided in SI 5. Additionally, the templates obtained from the analysis of the PASA ED distribution functions are reported in SI 6. The GROMACS topology file, wherein point charges are defined as virtual sites, is further generated through an in-house program that outputs geometrical parameters as reported in SI 1, 3, and 4, for the mCD, mCDa, and mPASA models, respectively.

When a GROMACS virtual site is generated, a number of atoms, 291 two or three, are selected to determine its location in space. The 292 choice of the reference atoms for each point charge is not unique. 293 We have most of the time considered the closest atoms by excluding H ones, except for the alcohol functions of Ser, Thr, and Tyr, to allow

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

ARTICLE IN PRESS



Fig. 1. Point charge model for the 20 AA residues as established at s = 1.4 Pohr² from the hierarchical merging/clustering algorithm applied to the all-atom PASA ED function. Point charges are numbered as in Supporting Information SI 4. Figures were generated using OpenDX [26].

rotations around the C—O bond. Other models might obviously be tested, for example for neutral His residues, that are not used in the present studies, especially to determine their influence on H-bond interactions. During a MD simulation, the forces acting on the virtual sites are redistributed among their reference atoms. Force redistribution was partly limited in model *mCDa* by locating most of the point charges on atoms.

As already mentioned, point charges are considered as virtual sites that act only through Coulomb interactions. An additional implementation strategy was considered where point charges are seen as masses interacting with the protein structure through restrained harmonic bonds. The advantage of such an approach lies in the fact that the electrostatic forces acting on the charges are not redistributed among the atoms, but the model is biased by artificial masses added to the system.

4. Application to the MD of the Vps27 UIM, 1–Ubiquitin complex

311 312

Vps27 UIM-1, a short α -helical structure made of 24 AA residues (numbered 255–278 in the PDB), is known to interact with the five-stranded β -sheet of Ubiquitin [30,31]. It consists mainly of 315

L. Leherte, D.P. Vercauteren / Journal of Molecular Graphics and Modelling xxx (2013) xxx-xxx

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

Table 1

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

Description of the point charge models used for the Amber99SB-based MD simulations of the Vps27 UMI-1-Ubiquitin complex.

| | No. of water molecules | Total no. of point charges associated with both complex partners (Vps27 UIM-1/Ubiquitin) | No. of non-atomic point charges | Box size (nm) (from final snapshot) |
|------------|---------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--|
| All-atom | 10,553 | 394/1227 | 0 | 6.915 |
| All-atom-2 | 13,269 | 394/1227 | 0 | 7.442 |
| mCD | 10,542 | 96/286 | 112 | 6.909 |
| mCDa | 10,551 | 96/286 | 3 | 6.899 |
| mCDh | 10,542 | 96/286 | 112 | 6.916 |
| mPASA | 10,551 | 36/102 | 136 | 6.919 |

hydrophilic residues, encompassing a hydrophobic motif that contains residues Leu262, Ile263, Ala266, Ile267, Leu269, and Leu271, that interact with residues Leu8, Ile44, Val70, and Ala56 of Ubiquitin [30,32]. According to Swanson *et al.* [30], electrostatic interactions are expected to occur between negatively charged Glu₇ residues of Vps27 UMI-1 (Glu₇-257, Glu-259, Glu-260, and Glu-261) and Arg+ residues of Ubiquitin (Arg+42, Arg+72, and Arg+74), as well as between Glu₇-273 and His+68, while H-bonds are observed between Ala266 and His+68, Ser270 and Ala46 and Gly47, as well as between Gly47 and His+68 [33].

The study of such a protein-protein system using MD approaches is not new [33–35] and the applications presented in this paper are intended to test and assess, *versus* their all-atom counterpart, the point charge reduced models developed above. Our reference works are thus the data available in literature as well as our own all-atom MD simulation results.

Molecular simulation conditions were kept as close as possible 332 of those proposed by Showalter and Brüschweiler in their work 333 about the Amber99SB FF [36]. MD trajectories of the system were 334 run using the GROMACS 4.5.5 program package [15,16] with the 335 Amber99SB FF [37] under particle mesh Ewald periodic boundary 336 conditions. Long-range dispersion corrections to energy and pres-337 sure were applied. The initial configurations were retrieved from 338 339 the Protein Data Base (PDB: 1Q0W) and solvated, if required, using TIP4P-Ew water molecules [38] so as protein atoms lie at least at 340 1.2 nm from the cubic box walls. The Vps27 UMI-1 and Ubiquitin 341 partners involve each 394 and 1227 atoms, respectively. To can-342 cel the net charge of structure 1Q0W, two Na⁺ ions were added 343 344 to the system using the ion generator tool of Gromacs. As specified in [30], the His residue of Ubiquitin is fully protonated (His+ 345 state). The systems were first optimized and then heated to 50 K 346 347 through a 10 ps canonical (NVT) MD, with a time step of 2 fs and LINCS constraints acting on bonds involving H atoms. The trajec-348 tory was followed by two successive 20 ps heating stages, at 150 349 and 300 K, under the same conditions. Next, each system was equi-350 librated during 50 ps in the NPT ensemble to relax the solvent 351 molecules. Finally, a 20 ns MD simulation was performed in the NPT 352 ensemble, for solvated systems. In vacuum, only the NVT ensemble 353 was used. The 'V-Rescale' and 'Parrinello-Rahman' algorithms were 354 selected to perform NVT and NPT simulations, respectively. In case 355 of obvious lack of equilibration, an extra production run of 20 ns 356 was performed. When considering model *mCDh*, the constraints 357 acting on the bonds involving H atoms had to be removed and the 358 time step was set equal to 1 fs, thus leading to twice the number 359 of MD iterations as in the all-atom, *mCD*, and *mCDa* simulations. 360 Snapshots were saved every 2 ps, *i.e.*, twice the value considered 361 by Showalter and Brüschweiler [36]; that choice did not signifi-362 cantly alter the results. A description of the systems under study is 363 presented in Table 1. The total number of point charges to be considered for the protein complex is reduced by a factor of 4.2 and 11.7 for the CD- and PASA-based models, respectively. Depending upon the implementation, the number of non-atomic charges is largely 367 368 variable. For instance, there are only three of such point charges in model *mCDa*, which originate from the His+ and Phe residues of Ubiquitin. There are, in each simulation, approximately 10,500 water molecules that are not coarse-grained. A larger solvation box was used for the system named *All-atom-2* which corresponds to a highly different structure of the complex. This particular case will be described later in the paper, in Section 4.4.

It is clear that a real gain in simulation time will be possible if coarse-graining occurs at the solvent level. A review of coarse-grained water models can, for example, be found in [39,40]. Working with an implicit solvent representation is another efficient way to largely reduce the calculation time as discussed in [41,42]. As a recent example, let us mention the approach adopted in the coarse-grained FF PRIMO by Kar *et al.* [43]. At the present stage of our work, no information is available regarding the radius value to be assigned to the non-atomic point charges. The approach we employed earlier to calculate free energy of solvation using the program APBS [44], *i.e.*, to assign a nul radius to the point charges [10], appeared not to be effective with GROMACS. Thus, interfacing our reduced point charge models with coarse-grained or implicit solvent representations is a perspective to bring to the present work.

4.1. Molecular *electrostatic maps*

MEP maps are displayed in Fig. 2 for the four optimized com-391 plexes, *i.e.*, the starting points of the MD simulations, described 392 using the all-atom, mCD, mCDa, and mPASA models. Solvent 393 molecules and ions were not included in the calculations. It is 394 noticed that the contours displayed at Fig. 2, i.e., a negative MEP 395 contour for Vps27 UIM-1 (-0.2 e⁻ Bohr⁻¹) and a positive MEP con-396 tour for Ubiquitin (+0.1 e⁻Bohr⁻¹), show similar 3D shapes for each 397 of the four models. Similar behaviours were already put forward in 398 the study of rigid systems such as ion channels [9]. At short range, 399 *e.g.*, in the case of intramolecular interactions, the point charge 400 models are expected to affect the dynamical behaviour of the 401 molecules as detailed further in the paper. To preliminary illustrate 402 that assumption, MEP maps are displayed for two individual AAs, 403 Glu,- and His+ (Fig. 3). In the case of glutamate, one observes higher 404 MEP values at the two negative side chain charges for model mCD 405 and, obviously, the absence of such a separation for model mPASA 406 which involves only one side chain negative charge. The all-atom 407 representation of the main chain is the only one to let appear two 408 negatively charged sites. One may thus expect structural changes, 409 especially in secondary structure elements. For protonated histi-410 dine, the positive MEP areas look similar, except for the main chain 411 and the *mPASA* model. One thus assumes that a change in the point 412 charge model will affect, at least, the formation of H-bonds during a 413 MD simulation. As seen later during the analysis of the MD trajecto-414 ries, changes in the secondary structure elements will be observed, 415 as well as in the fold of the complex in some cases. As a tentative 416 to eliminate the effect of Ubiquitin structural changes on Vps27 417 UIM-1, separate MD simulations were carried out by restraining 418 Ubiquitin to its crystal structure. Such trials did not prevent Vps27 419 UIM-1 to alter its shape and these MD simulations will not be 420 presented in the paper. All results presented below concerns fully 421

ARTICLE IN PRESS

L. Leherte, D.P. Vercauteren / Journal of Molecular Graphics and Modelling xxx (2013) xxx-xxx



All-atom





Fig. 2. MEP contours of Ubiquitin (red: +0.1 e⁻ Bohr⁻¹) and Vps27 UIM-1 (blue: -0.2 e⁻ Bohr⁻¹) in their initial optimized configuration, as obtained using the All-atom, mCD, mCDa, and mPASA models. Ubiquitin and its ligand are displayed using light blue and black spheres, respectively. Figures were generated using OpenDX [26]. (For an interpretation of the references to colour in the artwork, the reader is referred to the web version of the article).



Fig. 3. MEP contours of (top) Glu_{A} = 273 (-0.4 to -0.1 e⁻ Bohr⁻¹) and (bottom) His +68 (0.1 to 0.5 e⁻ Bohr⁻¹) as obtained using the *All-atom*, *mCD*, *mCDa*, and *mPASA* models. Increment = 0.1 e⁻ Bohr⁻¹. Figures were generated using OpenDX [26].



Fig. 4. Secondary structure of the complex Vps27 UIM, 1–Ubiquitin observed during the last 20 ns Amber99SB-based MD trajectories in water (left) and in vacuum (right) at 300 K, as obtained using the All-atom, All-atom-2, mCD, mCDa, mCDh, and mPASA models. Vps27 UIM-1 and Ubiquitin involve the first 24 and last 76 amino acid residues, respectively. Secondary structure elements are colour-coded as follows: Coil (white), α-helix (blue), π-helix (purple), 310 helix (grey), β-sheet (red), β-bridge (black), bend (green), turn (yellow), chain separation (light grey).

flexible systems, except for constraints applied to bonds involving 422 423 H atoms as mentioned above.

4.2. All-atom MD trajectories 424

A first analysis of the MD trajectories obtained for the model 425 named All-atom dealt with the secondary structure of the whole 426 complex and showed that it is slightly more conserved in water 427 (Fig. 4 left) than in vacuum (Fig. 4 right), due to the stabilizing con-428 429 tribution of water on the protein structure. In vacuum, all secondary structure elements like α -helices and β -strands, except β -strands 430

2–7 and 12–16 of Ubiquitin, are shorter. In both cases, the helical 431 structure of the UIM-1 unit is loosen, to a larger extend in vacuum 432 (Figs. 4 and 5).

A study of the 3D fold of the protein complex was achieved to especially determine the binding of Vps27 UIM-1 to Ubiquitin. Distance maps between the atoms of the two partners were built by considering the minimal distances between the AA atoms of the two partners and are reported in Fig. 6. The distances were calculated over the last 10 ns of the MD trajectories to minimize 439 the effect of a slow secondary structure relaxation process that 440 occurs for the solvated systems modelled, as shown later, using 441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

ARTICLE IN PRESS

L. Leherte, D.P. Vercauteren / Journal of Molecular Graphics and Modelling xxx (2013) xxx-xxx



Fig. 5. Final snapshots of the protein complex Vp27 UIM-1 (red)–Ubiquitin (blue) obtained from the last 20 ns Amber99SB-based MD trajectories in water (left) and in vacuum (right) at 300 K, as generated using the *All-atom, All-atom-2, mCD, mCDa, mCDh*, and *mPASA* models. Structural elements are colour-coded as follows: β -strands of Ubiquitin interacting closely with Vps27 UIM-1 (yellow), Arg+72 and Arg+74 (green), Glu–257 and Glu–259 to Glu–261 (white), H-bonds between the two partners (purple spheres). Figures were generated using VMD [45]. (For an interpretation of the references to colour in the artwork, the reader is referred to the web version of the article).

mCD, mCDa, and mCDh. In the map generated by the analysis of the solvated All-atom MD trajectory, one clearly distinguishes three regions extended along the Vps27 UIM-1 chain. This extension is due to the spatial alignment of Vps27 UIM-1 with a number of βstrands of Ubiquitin. The first region corresponds to the contacts occurring between segment 259 to 272 of Vps27 UIM-1 and βstrand 4-10 of Ubiquitin, while the second and third regions are due to contacts with β -strands 40–45 and 48–49 and β -strand 66–72, respectively. The shortest distances, below 0.3 nm, appear in these two last areas. There is a last region of interest, generated by the contacts between Glu-257 and Glu-259 of Vps27 UIM-1 and Arg+ residues located at the C-terminal segment of Ubiquitin. In vacuum, these four regions are enhanced due to the closer location of Vps27 UIM-1 versus Ubiquitin. They are larger as they now involve the C-terminal residues of Vps27 UIM-1 with emphasized electrostatic "contacts" between the N-terminal Glu- residues (257, 259-261) of UIM-1 and N-terminal Arg+ residues (72 and 74) of Ubiquitin.

The analysis of selected energy terms averaged over the last 10 ns of the MD trajectories provided the results reported in Table 2, The absolute value of the interaction energy between the two partners of the complex, $|E_{12}|$, occurs with a ratio of 4.6 and 13.6% *versus* the total solute potential energy $(E_1 + E_2)$, in water and in vacuum, respectively. When Coulomb interactions are concerned, the corresponding ratio in water, 2.2%, also increases to reach a value of 7.8% in vacuum. The higher relative contribution of $|E_{12}|$ and $|Cb_{12}|$ supports the higher compactness of the complex as just discussed from distance maps. Intra-molecular total and Coulomb potential energies of Vps27 UIM-1, *i.e.*, $|E_1|$ and $|Cb_1|$ terms, are rather constant. They contribute to 25.9 and 24.7%, and

26.2 and 23.6%, respectively in water and in vacuum (Table 2). The vacuum-induced compactness involves a decrease in the mobility of the UIM atoms *versus* Ubiquitin as illustrated by the Root Mean Square Fluctuations (RMSF) of the Vps27 UIM-1 atoms with 474

Table 2

Energy ratios calculated from values obtained from Amber99SB-based MD trajectories (reported in SI 7) for the Vps27 UIM-1 Ubiquitin system. Indices 1^{1} and 2', stand for Vps27 UIM-1 and Ubiquitin, respectively. *E* and *Cb* stand for total (Coulomb + Lennard, Jones) and Coulomb potential energy, respectively.

| | $\frac{E_{12}}{E_1 + E_2}$ | $\frac{Cb_{12}}{Cb_1+Cb_2}$ | $\frac{E_1}{E_1+E_2}$ | $\frac{Cb_1}{Cb_1+Cb_2}$ |
|-------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| Solvated | | | | |
| All-atom | -4.6 | -2.2 | 2 5.9 | 24.7 |
| All-atom-2 | -2.1 | - <mark>0</mark> .6 | 26.8 | 25.1 |
| mCD | -2.2 | -1.0 | 21.1 | 20.5 |
| mCDa | -3.7 | -2.0 | 24.2 | 22.8 |
| mCDh | 0.001 | -363.6 | 18.5 | 53.4 |
| mPASA | 34.6 | 34.8 | 12.9 | 29.9 |
| mCD (277 K) | -2.3 | -1.4 | 22.4 | 21.2 |
| mCD (250 K) | -2.1 | -1.0 | 22.6 | 21.3 |
| mCD (150 K) | -2.4 | - <mark>0</mark> .9 | 21.5 | 20.9 |
| Vacuum | ~ | | | |
| All-atom | -13.6 | -7.8 | <mark>2</mark> 6.2 | 23.6 |
| All-atom-2 | -11.4 | - <mark>6</mark> .6 | 24.7 | 22.7 |
| mCD | ^_ 9.6 | -5.9 | 19.3 | 18.0 |
| mCDa | -11.4 | -7.0 | 24.2 | 22.1 |
| mCDh | ~_5.8 | -620.4 | 18.1 | -3.0 |
| mPASA | 24.0 | 23.3 | 21.3 | 27.9 |
| mCD (277 K) | -6.4 | -3.4 | 18.5 | 17.2 |
| mCD (250 K) | -8.4 | -4.8 | 19.5 | 18.3 |
| mCD (150 K) | ⊼7.2 | -4.0 | 18.5 | 17.2 |



Fig. 6. Distance maps of the protein complex Vps27p_AUbiquitin established during the last 10 ns of the Amber99SB-based MD trajectories in water (left) and in vacuum (right) at 300 K, as obtained using the *All-atom*, *All-atom*-2, *mCD*, *mCDa*, *mCDh*, and *mPASA* models. Scale is coloured using a distance increment of 0.1 nm. (For an interpretation of the references to colour in the artwork, the reader is referred to the web version of the article).



Fig. 7. RMSF of the Vps27 UIM-1 and Ubiquitin atoms (atoms 1–394 and 395–1621, respectively) in water (black) and in vacuum (red) calculated from the last 10 ns of the Amber99SB-based MD trajectories at 300 K, as obtained using the *All-atom, All-atom-2, mCD, mCDa, mCDh,* and *mPASA* models. (For an interpretation of the references to colour in the artwork, the reader is referred to the web version of the article).

10

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

L. Leherte, D.P. Vercauteren / Journal of Molecular Graphics and Modelling xxx (2013) xxx-xxx

Table 3

Average numbers of H-bonds occurring between various components of the Vps27 UIM-1–Ubiquitin system, as obtained from the analysis of the last 10 ns of the Amber99SBbased MD trajectories at 300 K.

| | UIM <mark>-Ubiquitin</mark> | | Complex-water | Complex-water | | | | UIM-water |
|-------------|-----------------------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|
| | Solvated | Vacuum | Total | Main chain | Side chains | N—H | C=0 | |
| All-atom | 3.6 ± 1.7 | 14.0 ± 1.4 | 287.8 ± 8.3 | 105.2 ± 5.0 | 182.5 ± 7.3 | 20.9 ± 2.9 | 69.1 ± 3.7 | 84.5 ± 4.6 |
| All-atom-2 | 0.9 ± 1.0 | 10.4 ± 1.1 | 300.1 ± 8.9 | 119.6 ± 5.1 | 180.5 ± 7.4 | 9.3 ± 1.6 | 95.2 ± 1.3 | 97.4 ± 4.9 |
| mCD | 1.6 ± 1.0 | 7.7 ± 1.5 | 330.2 ± 9.5 | 197.0 ± 6.0 | 133.2 ± 7.1 | 23.8 ± 3.9 | 158.2 ± 4.2 | 90.0 ± 5.0 |
| mCDa | 0.6 ± 0.8 | 5.7 ± 1.7 | 333.2 ± 11.4 | 175.1 ± 7.8 | 157.9 ± 6.8 | 23.2 ± 3.8 | 138.7 ± 5.9 | 101.6 ± 4.8 |
| mCDh | 2.0 ± 1.2 | 4.7 ± 1.4 | 300.4 ± 9.3 | 176.2 ± 6.7 | 124.2 ± 7.2 | 20.8 ± 3.7 | 140.1 ± 6.0 | 89.6 ± 5.0 |
| mPASA | 2.3 ± 1.4 | 3.2 ± 1.4 | 116.3 ± 8.2 | 21.7 ± 4.1 | 94.6 ± 6.7 | 6.5 ± 2.6 | 6.2 ± 2.3 | 42.2 ± 4.8 |
| mCD (277 K) | 1.2 ± 0.9 | 1.0 ± 0.9 | 328.4 ± 9.2 | 188.3 ± 5.3 | 140.1 ± 7.4 | 24.1 ± 3.6 | 148.6 ± 3.6 | 104.8 ± 6.3 |
| mCD (250 K) | 0.6 ± 0.7 | 5.5 ± 1.2 | 308.5 ± 8.5 | 161.7 ± 8.1 | 146.8 ± 7.2 | 18.0 ± 3.3 | 128.4 ± 6.0 | 101.6 ± 4.9 |
| mCD (150 K) | 3.1 ± 0.4 | 3.2 ± 0.7 | 264.2 ± 5.4 | 118.0 ± 2.3 | 146.2 ± 4.8 | 9.3 ± 1.6 | 95.2 ± 1.3 | 81.1 ± 2.8 |

time (Fig. 7). One clearly distinguishes a drastic decrease in the RMSF values associated with the atoms of the end segments of the peptide UIM-1, *i.e.*, around atoms 1–100 and 300–394. This decrease in mobility is correlated to a higher average number of Hbonds occurring between the two partners of the system, 14.0 ± 1.4 , about four times the number of H-bonds in water, *i.e.*, 3.6 ± 1.7 , as reported in Table 3, H-bonds are determined based on cut-off values of 30° and 0.35 nm for the angle Hydrogen-Donor-Acceptor and the distance Donor-Acceptor, respectively. In water, very low numbers of H-bonds can be observed between the two partners. For instance, the solvated complex lets appear only two H-bonds at t = 20 ns, which are formed by N–H(Gly47)···OG(Ser270) and NE2-HE2(His+68)····OE2(Glu-273) atoms. These two H-bonds are characterized by a percentage of occurrence above 80% during the simulation time and are thus the most persistent ones as emphasized in SI 8 where the occupancy of the H-bonds formed between the two protein partners is reported. H-bond occupancy along MD trajectories was calculated using VMD1.9.1 [45] with threshold distance and angle values of 3.5 Å and 30°, respectively. Contrarily, in vacuum, up to 15 H-bonds appear at t = 20 ns (Fig. 5), which mostly involve the end segments of UIM with Glu- residues that fold towards the Arg+ residues of Ubiquitin. In vacuum, a larger number of H-bonds appear as a substitute to the protein-solvent H-bond network observed in the solvated state.

Protein hydration can be studied through the analysis of Radial Distribution Functions (RDF) as plotted in Fig. 8. As explained in the paper by Virtanen *et al.* [46], a first hydration shell occurs between 0.1 and 0.2 nm from the protein atoms, and is followed by a second sharply marked shell just below 0.3 nm (Fig. 3 of [46]). In the present work, RDF between oxygen atoms of water and the protein



Fig. 8. RDF of water oxygen-protein atom pairs of the solvated system Vps27 UIM₇ 1-Ubiquitin calculated from the last 10 ns of the Amber99SB-based MD trajectories at 300 K, as obtained using the *All-atom*, *All-atom-2*, *mCD*, *mCDa*, *mCDh*, *mPASA*, and neutral protein atom models. (For an interpretation of the references to colour in the artwork, the reader is referred to the web version of the article).

atoms show a slight first hydration shell at a distance of 0.192 nm 505 with a contact distance of 0.154 nm. This shell involves a very lim-506 ited number of water molecules: integration under the first peak 507 of the RDF function leads to a value of 84 H₂O molecules. A second 508 shell appears at about 0.280 nm, a distance that was actually iden-509 tified as the first hydration shell of crystalline proteins by Chen 510 et al. [47]. The second shell identified by Chen et al., correspond-511 ing to water interactions with protein non-polar atoms is, in our 512 simulated protein system, located at 0.372 nm. 513

Besides a difference in the UIM shape between the solvated and 514 vacuum states (Fig. 5), one additionally notices that the gyration 515 radius *r*_G of Ubiquitin is affected by the environment. Indeed, mean 516 values of 1.20 ± 0.01 and 1.15 ± 0.01 nm are obtained in water and 517 in vacuum, as reported in Table 4 wherein averages were calcu-518 lated over the last 10 ns of the final MD trajectories as drifts in 519 r_G were still appearing during the first 10 ns. The slight structure 520 contraction observed in vacuum is due to the lack of interactions 521 with surrounding water molecules and comes with reduced atom 522 fluctuations (Fig. 7). 523

Regarding the solvent itself, a calculation of the mean square 524 displacement as a function of time, carried out for molecules 525 located within 0.35 nm, and between 0.35 and 1.2 nm from the 526 protein structure, shows that all sets of water molecules behave 527 as a Brownian fluid with a similar self-diffusion coefficient D of 528 $(2.38 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-5}$ and $(2.40 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-5}$ cm² s⁻¹, respectively 529 (Table 4). The very slight decrease in D for water molecules inter-530 acting closely with the solute does not appear to be significant; all 531 D values stay close to the self-diffusion coefficient of water calcu-532 lated with the TIP4P-Ew potential, *i.e.*, $(2.4 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-5}$ cm² s⁻¹ 533 [38]. 534

4.3. mCD MD trajectories

As explained later when discussing the shape of the Ubiquitin partner, a second 20 ns MD production stage was carried out for the solvated complex when using the *mCD* model. All results discussed below were obtained from the analysis of that additional run.

535

The study of the secondary structure of the complex in water and 540 in vacuum directly shows an enhanced loss of the secondary struc-541 ture elements of the system versus the All-atom simulation results 542 (Fig. 4). Part of the β -strands disappears and helices are the motifs 543 that are the most perturbed during the simulations. However, in 544 vacuum, regular motifs, especially the helix of the Ubiquitin struc-545 ture, appear to be slightly more preserved. Indeed, in water, the 546 electrostatic interaction of the protein with the solvent molecules 547 is particularly modified as illustrated by the number of H-bonds 548 occurring between the main chain and the side chains of the solute 549 and water (Table 3). Surprisingly, a larger average number of main 550 chain H-bonds, 197.0 ± 6.0 versus 105.2 ± 5.0 , is obtained for mCD 551 despite the absence of charges on N and H atoms. It appears to be 552 due to the C=0 groups that, with their different charge distribution 553

L. Leherte, D.P. Vercauteren / Journal of Molecular Graphics and Modelling xxx (2013) xxx-xxx

Table 4

554

555

556

561

562

563

564

565

567

Mean gyration radius r_G of Ubiguitin and self-diffusion coefficient D of water molecules solvating the Vps27 UIM-1–Ubiguitin system as obtained from the analysis of the last 10 ns of the Amber99SB-based MD trajectories at 300 K.

| | r_G (nm) | | $D_{(\times 10^{-5} \mathrm{cm}^2 \mathrm{s}^{-1})}$ | | |
|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| | Solvated | Vacuum | Within 0.35 nm of the solute | Between 0.35 and 1.20 nm from the solute | |
| All-atom | 1.20 ± 0.01 | 1.15 ± 0.01 | 2.38 ± 0.01 | 2.40 ± 0.02 | |
| All-atom-2 | 1.19 ± 0.01 | 1.12 ± 0.00 | 2.46 ± 0.08 | 2.39 ± 0.04 | |
| mCD | 1.36 ± 0.02 | 1.15 ± 0.00 | 2.35 ± 0.05 | 2.31 ± 0.02 | |
| mCDa | 1.30 ± 0.01 | 1.12 ± 0.00 | 2.20 ± 0.02 | 2.33 ± 0.02 | |
| mCDh | 1.28 ± 0.01 | 1.13 ± 0.00 | 2.37 ± 0.03 | 2.36 ± 0.05 | |
| mPASA | 1.17 ± 0.01 | 1.14 ± 0.01 | 2.46 ± 0.08 | 2.47 ± 0.05 | |
| mCD (277 K) | 1.25 ± 0.01 | 1.13 ± 0.00 | 1.21 ± 0.01 | 1.26 ± 0.04 | |
| mCD (250 K) | 1.20 ± 0.01 | 1.12 ± 0.00 | 0.38 ± 0.00 | 0.43 ± 0.00 | |
| mCD (150 K) | 1.20 ± 0.00 | 1.12 ± 0.00 | ${\sim}10^{-5}$ | ${\sim}10^{-5}$ | |

versus the All-atom case, affects the formation of such a type of interaction. Even in the absence of charges on the N and H atoms of the AA main chains, the average number of H-bonds is not drastically modified, with 23.8 ± 3.9 versus 20.9 ± 2.9 bonds observed for the 557 mCD and All-atom models, respectively. Contrarily, the number of 558 H-bonds involving the side chain atoms is reduced to an average 559 value of 133.2 ± 7.1 versus 182.5 ± 7.3 for mCD and All-atom mod-560 els. The consequence of those changes in the number of H-bonds formed with the solvent is illustrated in Fig. 8, where it is clearly seen that the very first hydration shell is reduced to a weak shoulder in the RDF curve with model *mCD*. Nevertheless, a study of the distance and angle values adopted by the H-bonds shows that the distributions for model mCD are similar to those that are valid for 566 the All-atom model, i.e., centred around 0.27 nm and 10.5° (Fig. 9 top). It is however noticed that, regarding intra-protein H-bonds 568 (Fig. 9 bottom), if the distance distribution is rather similar to the 569 all-atom one, there is a significant displacement of the maximum 570

of the angle distribution towards higher angle values, *i.e.*, about 25° versus 15° for the All-atom model. These last trends are observed for both the solvated and isolated systems.

As visualized in Fig. 5, both ends of the solvated UIM-1 get 574 separated from Ubiquitin while the central hydrophobic segment 575 (Ile263 to Leu271) stays at the proximity of the two stable β -strands 576 of Ubiquitin, *i.e.*, segments 39-44 and 67-70. The consequence of 577 such a configuration change is illustrated by the distance maps dis-578 played in Fig. 6 where a contact area involving the first residues 579 of Ubiquitin fades away due to the loss of the two first β -strand 580 elements occurring along the Ubiquitin chain, *i.e.*, segments 2-7 581 and 12–16. On the contrary, β -strands 40–45 and 48–49, as well 582 as strand 66-72 are strongly preserved but the H-bonds they 583 form are characterized by occurrence percentage values lower than 584 20%. Nevertheless, the lower average number of H-bonds formed 585 between the two partners adopt a similar behaviour as with the 586 All-atom model, in the sense that it is very limited in water while 587



Fig. 9. (Left) Distance and (right) angle distribution functions of the solvated system Vps27 UIM, 1–Ubiquitin calculated from the last 10 ns of the Amber99SB-based MD trajectories at 300 K, as obtained using the All-atom, mCDa, mCDa, mCDh, mPASA, and neutral protein atom models. (Top) Protein-water H-bonds, (bottom) intra-molecular H-bonds. (For an interpretation of the references to colour in the artwork, the reader is referred to the web version of the article).

Please cite this article in press as: L. Leherte, D.P. Vercauteren, Evaluation of reduced point charge models of proteins through Molecular Dynamics simulations: Application to the Vps27 UIM-1-Ubiquitin complex, J. Mol. Graph. Model. (2013), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmgm.2013.10.011

571

572

573

12

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

L. Leherte, D.P. Vercauteren / Journal of Molecular Graphics and Modelling xxx (2013) xxx-xxx

Table 5

Total and inter-protein energy values (kJ mol⁻¹) of the optimized configurations of system Vps27 UIM-1–Ubiquitin in vacuum used as starting points for Amber99SB-based MD simulations. Subscripts '1' and '2' stand for Vps27 UIM-1 and Ubiquitin, respectively. '14' denotes interactions between atoms separated by 3 chemical bonds.

| | All-atom | mCD | mCDa | mCDh | mPASA |
|------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------------|-----------|---------|
| Stretching | 334.9 | 287.4 | 153.2 | 193.6 | 150.9 |
| Bending | 619.8 | 593.2 | 741.0 | 557.1 | 663.5 |
| Torsion | 3780.0 | 3774.0 | 3845.5 | 3773.7 | 3777.6 |
| Improper | 43.2 | 45.1 | 55.5 | 47.5 | 23.9 |
| LJ-14 | 1823.4 | 1824.8 | 1574.0 | 1785.6 | 1471.7 |
| Cb-14 | 17,025.5 | 20,693.4 | 16,909.2 | 20,670.8 | - |
| LJ | -1651.8 | -1631.1 | -2473.6 | -1774.7 | -2773.3 |
| Cb | -26,887.7 | -28,909.8 | -26,792.9 | -32,096.4 | -2687.9 |
| Cb ₁₂ | -996.9 | -1038.2 | -1239.5 | -1285.7 | -932.7 |
| LJ ₁₂ | 13.1 | 15.8 | ⊼ ^{130.0} | -3.6 | -155.4 |

it increases in vacuum (Table 3). As an example, there are no Hbonds occurring at t=20 ns in the solvated system (Fig. 5). The apparent separation of Vps27 UIM-1 from Ubiquitin does however not come with a drastic change of the number of H-bonds formed between the UIM and the solvent (Table 3). On the average, there is an increase of only 5.5 H-bonds, from 84.5 ± 4.6 to 90.0 ± 5.0 . Indeed, the expected larger increase of the number of H-bonds due to the configuration change is compensated by the decrease in the possibility to form H-bonds due to the reduced point charge model.

Energy values obtained with the different point charge models are hardly comparable one to each other. We therefore chose to consider energy ratios such as $|E_{12}|/(E_1 + E_2)$ and $|Cb_{12}|/(Cb_1 + Cb_2)$ to evaluate the proportion of the protein-protein interaction energy versus intra-molecular energy values (Table 2). In water, the corresponding energy ratios, 2.2 and 1.0% respectively, indicate a lower relative importance of the *mCD* inter-molecular potential energy versus the All-atom model, i.e., 4.6 and 2.2%, respectively, with, however, a similar ratio $[E_{12}/(E_1 + E_2)]/[Cb_{12}/(Cb_1 + Cb_2)]$. Similar trends are observed in vacuum. To allow more detailed comparisons between energy contributions from the various point charge models, a detailed decomposition of the total potential energy was achieved for the optimized initial structures of the complex in vacuum to avoid any solvent contribution (Table 5). All energy terms of these conformationally close 3D structures are of the same orders of magnitude, but the bond energy is lower versus the all-atom contribution, 287.4 versus 334.9 kJ mol⁻¹, and the Coulomb term involving atoms separated by three bonds, Cb-14, is higher and destabilizing, 20,693.4 versus 17,025.5 kJ mol⁻¹.

Similarly to the All-atom model, the RMSF function clearly emphasizes the greater mobility of the Vps27 UMI-1 ends versus Ubiquitin in water (Fig. 7). Ubiquitin itself is also affected by the change in the point charge representation. This can be shown, for example, by an analysis of its gyration radius r_G which progressively increases during the first 20 ns production stage. As already mentioned, an additional 20 ns simulation was performed and confirmed a higher value of the gyration radius r_G for Ubiquitin in water than in vacuum, with 1.36 ± 0.02 and 1.15 nm, respectively (Table 4). As actually seen further in the paper, all r_G values obtained in water are higher than those in vacuum, regardless of the point charge model used. Model *mCD* leads to an increase of r_G by about 12% (from 1.20 to 1.36 nm), while the change in vacuum is imperceptible (1.15 nm in both cases) even if the secondary structure elements are affected. It indicates that the solvent may serve as an intermediate in the modification of the protein structure.

Having observed that the structural stability of the system is modified with model *mCD versus* the *All-atom* representation, additional MD simulations were achieved at three lower temperatures, *i.e.*, 277, 250, and 150 K. The two last temperature values were not selected to reflect a physical state for water (they are both below the freezing point of the solvent) but were chosen to locally probe the potential energy hyper-surface of the system. The analyses of the 150 K trajectory clearly show stable protein structures, as illustrated by the time evolution of the secondary structure640(Fig. 10). At higher temperature values, a deconstruction of the641secondary structure elements, particularly the helices, is observed,642with a slow down as the temperature decreases. Simultaneously,643the RMSF of the atoms of both partners also decreases and, at 150 K,644do not show any maxima at protein ends.645

At the lowest temperatures, i.e., 250 and 150K, the time-646 dependency of r_c for Ubiquitin shows little fluctuations with both 647 an average value of 1.20 nm (Table 4). Such a value is strictly com-648 parable to the mean All-atom value, i.e., 1.20 nm, obtained at 300 K. 649 Contrarily, a contraction of Ubiquitin in vacuum is observed at 650 temperatures lower than 300 K. Indeed, mean values of 1.15 and 651 1.12 nm are obtained at 300 and 250 K, respectively. As the sec-652 ondary structure is preserved at low temperatures, one assumes 653 that the potential minimum occurring at the all-atom level also 654 exists for the *mCD* point charge model. This can also be deduced 655 from vacuum simulations carried out at temperatures below 300 K. 656 The analysis of the secondary structure elements in such conditions 657 shows a very stable structure (Fig. 10) with preserved helices and β -658 strands, that are however slightly shorter than in the corresponding 659 All-atom simulation. 660

The evolution of the number of H-bonds formed between Vps27 661 UIM-1 and Ubiquitin is described in Table 3. In water as well as 662 in vacuum, the trend is not monotonic, *i.e.*, the lowest number of 663 H-bonds, *i.e.*, 0.6 ± 0.7 and 1.0 ± 0.9 , is not observed at the lowest 664 temperature but at 250 and 277 K, respectively. Below and beyond 665 those values, the numbers increase extremely fast in vacuum but 666 smoothly in water. It might be due, at lower temperatures, to a 667 freezing of the structure favouring a persistence of the H-bonds 668 and, at higher temperatures, to an increased probability to form 669 polar contacts with diverse residues due to the increased mobility 670 of the atoms. One clearly distinguishes a loss in persistent H-bonds 671 when using model mCD in water versus the corresponding All-672 atom case. All H-bonds are now characterized by an occurrence 673 degree below 20%. Such values are increased only when working 674 in vacuum and/or by reducing the temperature. Indeed, in water 675 at T = 150 K, one find three types of H-bonds, *i.e.*, Leu₇73···Glu-259, 676 Gly47...Ser270, His+68...Glu–273. The two last are identical to the 677 All-atom H-bonds (SI 8). 678

Studying the behaviour of model *mCD* at low temperatures 679 allows to re-evaluate the H-bond ratios calculated from values 680 reported in Table 3. Let us first consider that the mCD system at the 681 low temperature of 150 K probes similar conformations than the 682 All-atom system. The proportion of main chain H-bonds represents 683 44.7% of the total number of H-bonds. That value stays higher than 684 the corresponding All-atom one, i.e., 36.6%. Thus, one concludes that 685 the change in the point charge model indeed leads to an increase of 686 the main chain H-bonds, regardless of a change in the conformation. 687

A specific study of the intra-molecular H-bonds occurring in solvated Ubiquitin shows that a temperature decrease tends to an increase in the number of such H-bonds, going from averages of $11.5 \pm 2.7, 16.0 \pm 2.8, 23.3 \pm 3.1,$ and 32.9 ± 2.7 at T = 300, 277, 250,691

L. Leherte, D.P. Vercauteren / Journal of Molecular Graphics and Modelling xxx (2013) xxx-xxx



Fig. 10. Secondary structure of the complex Vps27 UIM₂1–Ubiquitin observed during the last 20 ns *mCD* Amber99SB-based MD trajectories in water (top) and in vacuum (bottom) at various temperatures. Vps27 UIM-1 and Ubiquitin involve the first 24 and last 76 amino acid residues, respectively. Secondary structure elements are colour-coded as follows: coil (white), α -helix (blue), π helix (purple), 3₁₀ helix (grey), β -sheet (red), β -bridge (black), bend (green), turn (yellow), chain separation (light grey). (For an interpretation of the references to colour in the artwork, the reader is referred to the web version of the article).

and 150 K, respectively (Table 6), *i.e.*, one comes closer and closer to the value of 48.0 ± 3.2 obtained for the *All-atom* model. The trend is slightly different for Vps27 UIM-1 with a minimum number of H-bonds, 1.9 ± 1.3 , observed at 277 K. As interpreted earlier in the

Table 6

692

693

694

695

Average numbers of intra-molecular H-bonds occurring in Vps27 UIM-1 and in Ubiquitin as obtained from the analysis of the last 10 ns of the Amber99SB-based MD trajectories at 300 K.

| | Vps27 UIM-1 | | Ubiquitin | | |
|-------------|---------------|----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| | Solvated | Vacuum | Solvated | Vacuum | |
| All-atom | 16.2 ± 2.8 | 28.4 ± 2.1 | 48.0 ± 3.2 | 81.8 ± 3.7 | |
| All-atom-2 | 12.0 ± 2.4 | 26.7 ± 2.2 | 50.1 ± 3.4 | 81.7 ± 3.8 | |
| mCD | 4.6 ± 1.5 | 13.9 ± 2.4 | 11.5 ± 2.7 | 31.3 ± 3.5 | |
| mCDa | 2.5 ± 1.3 | 13.7 ± 2.2 | 14.3 ± 3.3 | 42.6 ± 3.7 | |
| mCDh | 6.0 ± 2.1 | 12.0 ± 2.0 | 16.5 ± 3.1 | 30.5 ± 3.7 | |
| mPASA | 3.8 ± 2.0 | 5.3 ± 1.7 | 14.5 ± 3.6 | 19.7 ± 3.3 | |
| mCD (277 K) | 1.9 ± 1.3 | 13.0 ± 2.3 | 16.0 ± 2.8 | 34.9 ± 3.7 | |
| mCD (250 K) | 5.6 ± 1.6 | 11.8 ± 2.2 | 23.3 ± 3.1 | 41.5 ± 3.6 | |
| mCD (150 K) | 11.5 ± 1.4 | 14.2 ± 1.9 | $\textbf{32.9} \pm \textbf{2.7}$ | $\textbf{39.4} \pm \textbf{3.2}$ | |

paper, higher numbers of H-bonds observed at lower temperatures might be due to an increased persistence of the H-bonds while, at higher temperatures, to an increased mobility of the atoms.

As the protein structures modelled with *mCD* reorganize at room temperature, both in water and in vacuum, one concludes that the potential hyper-surface corresponding to the reduced point charge model is, at least locally, characterized by lower energy barriers than with the all-atom model [6].

In conclusion, the *mCD* model allows to obtain stable MD trajectories without any separation of the complex partners. It has a contracting effect in vacuum that does not occur in water, leading, in that last case, to a more mobile peptide than in the all-atom case. The overall 3D folding of the complex is preserved especially for the largest and globular partner (this may be partly due to the presence of all-atom vdW contributions to the FF) while the secondary structure is significantly dismantled for the helix-shaped Vps27 UIM-1 peptide. This effect is cancelled at lower temperatures, *i.e.*, at about 250 K, which implies that the change in the point charge representation does not affect the location of the energy minimum on the potential hyper-surface but only affects its shape.

696

697

L. Leherte, D.P. Vercauteren / Journal of Molecular Graphics and Modelling xxx (2013) xxx-xxx

4.4. mCDa MD trajectories

Model *mCDa* was designed to facilitate the implementation of the reduced point charge model within GROMACS and to get rid, as much as possible, of the effect of a force redistribution onto the atoms used to define the virtual sites.

A first observation of the protein secondary structure in water showed that there is a slow structure loss of the UIM peptide. The initial production stage was thus continued for another 20 ns, which also depicts a progressive loss of the regular motifs of Ubiquitin (Fig. 4). The final configuration obtained from that second run is illustrated in Fig. 5, and additionally shows a preservation of the two same β -strands as with model *mCD*. In water, the UIM-1 peptide adopts a rather extended conformation, and the corresponding distance map displayed in Fig. 6 is consequently strongly modified with a decrease of all interaction areas. Contrarily, in vacuum, the two helices are well preserved (Fig. 4) and the UIM-1 orientation *versus* Ubiquitin is similar to the *All-atom* case (Fig. 6).

The mean number of H-bonds formed between the two partners in water during the simulation is still lower than for model *mCD*, *i.e.*, 0.6 ± 0.8 rather than 1.6 ± 1.0 (Table 3), due to a displacement of both end segments of Vps27 away from Ubiquitin. Particularly, there is no H-bond observed in the final complex configuration (Fig. 5). Additionally, the 3D configuration of the complex is drastically modified, leading to a rotation of the UIM-1 chain of about 90° versus the two preserved β -strands of Ubiquitin. This leads to a higher number of H-bonds occurring between the UIM-1 and the solvent, e.g., 109 at t = 20 ns with an average of 101.6 ± 4.8 , higher than the All-atom value of 84.5 ± 4.6 (Table 3). As for model mCD, the verv first hydration shell is reduced to a weak shoulder in the RDF of oxygen-protein pairs (Fig. 8). Nevertheless, a study of the distance and angle values adopted by the protein-water H-bonds shows that the distributions are very similar to those that are valid for the All-atom model, i.e., centered around 0.27 nm and 10.5° (Fig. 9).

In vacuum, a higher number of inter-protein H-bonds are detected, that maintain the 3D configuration of the complex close to the original PDB one, with a mean value of 5.7 ± 1.7 , a value that nevertheless stays lower than for the All-atom (14.0 ± 1.4) and mCD (7.7 ± 1.5) models (Table 3). For example, three H-bonds are observed between both partners in the final configuration, i.e., OG-HG(Ser277)...O(Glu-47), NZ-HZ1(Lys+6)...OE2(Glu-273), ND1-HD1(His+68)...OE2(Glu-273) (Fig. 5). Despite the large conformational change, energy ratios $|E_{12}|/(E_1 + E_2)$ and $|Cb_{12}|/(Cb_1 + Cb_2)$, *i.e.*, 3.7 and 2.0%, respectively, are closer to the values obtained for the All-atom model than they are for model *mCD* (Table 2). Corresponding $|E_1|/(E_1 + E_2)$ and $|Cb_1|/(Cb_1 + Cb_2)$ values are also comparable with the Allatom model. The energy terms of the initial optimized structure reported in Table 5 illustrate that the bond term is still lower in energy *versus* the *All-atom* and *mCD* contributions, 153.2 kJ mol⁻¹, while the Lennard, Jones (LJ) term involving atoms separated by 3 bonds, LI-14, is stabilizing versus the corresponding All-atom value, 1574.0 versus 1823.4 kJ mol⁻¹. Additionally, the Cb-14 term, ¹6,909.2 kJ mol⁻¹, is lower than in the *mCD* case, ²0,693.4 kJ mol⁻¹. Those two terms indicate why the secondary structure is better preserved with *mCDa* than with *mCD*. The less good agreement of interaction energy values Cb₁₂ and LJ₁₂, i.e., -1239.5 and $-130.0 \text{ kJ} \text{ mol}^{-1}$, respectively, with the corresponding All-atom ones explains the less good reproduction of the orientation of Vps27 UIM-1 versus Ubiquitin.

As for the secondary structure displayed in Fig. 4, the time evolution of r_G calculated for the solvated Ubiquitin showed that the system is not fully equilibrated yet. The structure appears to expand slowly and reaches a value of 1.30 ± 0.01 nm (larger than the *Allatom* value, 1.20 ± 0.01 nm, similarly to *mCD*) while, in vacuum, it seems to be contracting to a value of 1.12 nm (Table 4).

The drastic change in the UIM structure and orientation versus Ubiquitin was verified using different simulation conditions. NVT 781 conditions were used to generate a 20 ns trajectory at 300 K in 787 water. A detailed analysis of the trajectory is not given here but 783 a complete change in the peptide structure was also observed, par-78/ ticularly a hairpin-like shape, with a marked bend at the level of 785 residues Ile267 to Leu269 as seen in Fig. 5. This particular confor-786 mation was considered as the starting point of a new all-atom MD 787 simulation carried out in the same conditions as described above, 788 i.e., same equilibration stages, followed by a 20 ns NPT calculations 789 at 300 K in water and in vacuum. The model will be referred to as 790 All-atom-2 further in the text. The analysis of the 20 ns trajectory 791 showed that secondary structure elements like helices and β-792 strands re-appear (Fig. 4), but the bend persists both in water and in 793 vacuum (Fig. 5). Additionally, r_{G} of solvated Ubiquitin recovers the 794 mean value of the original All-atom simulation, i.e., 1.19 ± 0.01 nm 795 versus 1.20 ± 0.01 nm (Table 4), while it stays only slightly lower in 796 vacuum, 1.12 nm versus 1.15 nm. 797

In water, the distance map (Fig. 6) that is associated with that 798 particular configuration of the UIM-1 versus the larger partner is 799 characterized by smaller contact areas and looser distances than in the original All-atom case; no minimal distance below 0.2 nm 801 is observed. Also, very few UIM, Ubiquitin H-bonds, i.e., an aver-802 age of 0.9 ± 1.0 , occur between the two partners (Table 3). No 803 H-bonds with an occurrence degree higher than 30% is reported 804 (SI8). This is compensated by a larger average number of UIM-water 805 H-bonds, *i.e.*, 97.4 ± 4.9 versus 84.5 ± 4.6 for the original All-atom 806 model (Table 3). It explains the relative stability of that configura-807 tion for the complex. That mean value actually hides a decrease, 808 from about 105 to 95 H-bonds, around 7.5 ns. It corresponds to 809 the closure of the Vps27 UIM-1 hairpin structure, as illustrated by 810 the time evolution of the N(Tyr255)...C(Ala278) distance (Fig. 11), 811 without any significant change in the secondary structure (Fig. 4), 812 and is illustrated by snapshots taken at 4 and 7 ns (Fig. 11). In vac-813 uum, the opening/closure of the peptide structure is limited in the 814 absence of any possibility to form H-bonds with a solvent (Fig. 5). 815 Besides that, the numbers of intra-molecular H-bonds in the origi-816 nal *All-atom* simulation, *i.e.*, 48.0 ± 3.2 for Ubiquitin and 16.2 ± 2.8 817 for UIM, are almost recovered in the hairpin-shaped UIM-1 complex 818 with values of 50.1 ± 3.4 and 12.0 ± 2.4 , respectively (Table 6). 819

In conclusion, model mCDa does not appear to allow a good 820 preservation neither of the expected (PDB) secondary structure nor of the tertiary structure of the complex in water. Nevertheless, 822 it is more efficient in the modelling of some secondary structure 823 elements versus model mCD, especially in vacuum. It leads to a 824 very slow relaxation of the system and does not favour H-bonding 825 between the AA residues, leading consequently to an increased 826 number of H-bonds with the solvent. The MD results suggest that 827 a careful choice of the point charge location for a protein model 828 may be required, and that choice can be appropriate when based 820 on CD topology criteria. However, configurations generated by the 830 model cannot be rejected as unphysical ones; they may be con-831 sidered as local minimum configurations of the all-atom potential 832 hyper-surface and the use of the model is a way to generate such 833 configurations by MD simulations more rapidly than with the all-834 atom model. 835

4.5. mPASA MD trajectories

The use of model *mPASA*, described in Section 3.2, which is characterized by the lowest number of point charges, all located away from atom centres (except for the S atom), leads to a complete loss of the helix components of the complex, regardless of the solvent presence (Fig. 4). In water, the β -strand motifs are somewhat preserved, while they completely disappear in vacuum. However, in water, the loss in secondary structure elements does not imply

836

Please cite this article in press as: L. Leherte, D.P. Vercauteren, Evaluation of reduced point charge models of proteins through Molecular Dynamics simulations: Application to the Vps27 UIM-1–Ubiquitin complex, J. Mol. Graph. Model. (2013), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmgm.2013.10.011

14

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723

724

725

726

727

728

729

730

731

732

733

734 735

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

748

749

750

751

752

753

754

755

756

757

758

759

760

761

762

763

764

765

766

767

768

769

770

771

772

773

774

775

776

777

778

779

L. Leherte, D.P. Vercauteren / Journal of Molecular Graphics and Modelling xxx (2013) xxx-xxx

896

897

898

899

900

901

902

903

904

905

906

907

908

909

910

911

912

913

914

915

916

917

918

919

920

921

922

923

924

925

926

927

928

929

930

931

932

933

934

935

936

937

938

939

940

941

942

943



Fig. 11. (Left) Time-dependence of the distance N(Tyr₂55)...C(Ala278) of the protein system Vps27–UIM₇1–Ubiquitin from 20 ns *All-atom-2* Amber99SB-based MD trajectories in water at 300 K. Snapshots taken at (middle) 4.0 and (right) 7.0 ns. Structural elements are colour-coded as in Fig. 5. Figures were generated using VMD [45]. (For an interpretation of the references to colour in the artwork, the reader is referred to the web version of the article).

the unfolding of the Ubiquitin structure (Fig. 5) but is accom-844 panied by its contraction with a r_G value of 1.17 ± 0.01 nm only 845 (Table 4). Among the solvated reduced point charge models stud-846 ied so far at 300 K, mPASA is characterized by the highest number 847 of H-bonds occurring between both protein partners, with a mean 8/18 value of 2.3 ± 1.4 (Table 3). For example, four H-bonds are identified 8/10 for the solvated complex at 20 ns, *i.e.*, OG-HG(Ser277)...O(Ala46), 850 NH2-HH22(Arg+275)...O(Thr66), NZ-HZ(Lys+6)...OE2(Glu-273), 851 and NE2-HE2(His+68)...O(Glu-273) (Fig. 5), but these H-bonds are 852 characterized by occupancy degrees lower than 30%. The behaviour 853 of the complex modelled using model mPASA strongly differs from 854 the other models. As said hereabove, more H-bonds appear for 855 the solvated system, all with a low degree of occurrence. Con-856 trarily, the mean numbers of H-bonds formed between the two 857 partners and water are the lowest, with average values of 42.2 ± 4.8 858 and 116.3 ± 8.2 H-bonds, for UIM and the complex, respectively 859 (Table 3). Such decreases are expected due to the absence of any 860 dipole on the AA main chains. The lowest number of main chain-861 water H-bonds observed at 300 K, *i.e.*, 21.7 ± 4.1 comes along with 862 863 the lowest number of side chain-water H-bonds, *i.e.*, 94.6 ± 6.7 . 864 Those H-bonds are characterized by geometries that differ from the conventional ones (Fig. 9). Indeed, the first occurrence peak in 865 the distribution function is seen at 0.29 nm, a value that is slightly 866 larger than for the other models, and no clear second maxima is 867 observed below 0.5 nm. Additionally, no clear trends appear for 868 the angle distribution function that shows a shoulder around 27° 860 rather than a maximum at 10.5° as it was for the all-atom and 870 other reduced point charge models. Moreover, the RDF of the water 871 oxygen-protein atom pairs totally lacks the very first hydration 872 peak, which was still partly visible for the other reduced point 873 charge models (Fig. 8). A progressive reduction of the number of 874 point charges thus leads to RDFs that come closer to the results 875 a hypothetical uncharged model provides (MD simulation results 876 not discussed in this paper) where the successive hydration shells 877 fade away (Fig. 8). Intra-protein H-bonds are also the most dissim-878 ilar versus the all-atom and the other reduced point charge models 879 (Fig. 8). The effect on the solvent is seen at the level of the self-880 diffusion coefficient D of the closest water molecules, with the 881 highest observed value of $(2.46 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$, which can 882 be correlated to the clear limitations of the protein model to form 883 H-bonds. Energy contributions are also deviating strongly (Table 2), 884 885 notably due to negative intra-molecular potential energies due to the cancelling of Cb-14 energy terms (Table 5) in that particular 886 implementation of the model (SI 4). One also notices a very low 887 **Cb** term, *i.e.*, 2687.9 kJ mol⁻¹, *versus* all the other models. 888 889

In conclusion, model *mPASA*, regardless of its limits, still allows some preservation of the 3D folding when solvation is used. It is only at a very low temperature, *i.e.*, 150 K, that helices and extended strands appear to be stable (MD results not shown here). The interaction with the solvent is deeply perturbed, leading to a slight modification in the self-diffusion coefficient of the water molecules

890

891

892

893

894

and a drastic change in their ability to form "conventional" Hbonds with the solute. The use of the H-bond concept might be revisited. In a study about combining all-atom and coarse-grained water and MARTINI models [48], the authors mention that charged and polar solutes in water still represent a major challenge [41]. Coarse-grained water models cannot represent H-bonding properly, and the authors suggest to possibly consider supplementary H-bonding energy terms as, for example, achieved in the coarsegrained potential PRIMO developed by Kar *et al.* [43]. This is not without any relation to the conclusion presented by Sinitskiy *et al.* regarding the waste a high resolution model can be when used to represent some parts of a biomolecular system if other parts are modelled too coarsely [27].

4.6. mCDh MD trajectories

The implementation of model *mCDh* in a GROMACS topology file was achieved to provide a protein representation as similar as possible to the model used previously with the program package TINKER [11]. Contrarily to the reduced models analysed so far, it is not based on the definition of virtual sites (see Section 3.1). As for the results obtained with TINKER for isolated proteins, that led to a rather good preservation of secondary structure elements and of the 3D fold of the simulated proteins, the analysis of GROMACS MD trajectories also showed that the secondary structure elements of the protein complex are preserved, even if shorter. However, this appears to be less true in water where some elements like β -strands and helices deteriorate with time (Figs. 4 and 5). As illustrated in Fig. 5, the final configuration of the solvated system is very different from the original PDB structure, and the peptide adopts a hairpin-like structure as already observed with model *mCDa* with a low average number of H-bonds formed between the two partners, *i.e.*, 2.0 ± 1.2 (Table 3). Such a particular configuration leads to distance map with a topology rather similar to the All-atom-2 case, i.e., with limited contact areas involving very few contacts shorter than 0.4 nm (Fig. 6). Particularly, Arg+ residues of Ubiquitin and Glu_ residues of the UIM-1 stay located far apart (Fig. 5).

As models *mCD*, *mCDa*, and *mCDh* have the same charge description for the main chain, there is no large change in the number of H-bonds created between the solute main chains and water (Table 3). Their average numbers remain larger than the number of H-bonds observed with the all-atom models. Protein, water H-bonds are characterized by the same geometrical parameters as model *mCD*, *i.e.*, distance and angle distributions that stay close to the all-atom ones, while protein, protein H-bonds are geometrically similar as to the other reduced point charge models (Fig. 9).

On an energy point of view, model *mCDh*, like model *mPASA*, differs strongly from the all-atom and other reduced point charge models (Table 2 and SI 7). Intra-molecular Cb_1 and Cb_2 terms are more stabilizing than for the other CD-based models, with respective values of $\frac{56.6 \pm 286.9}{1000}$ and $\frac{-49.3 \pm 0.7 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}}{1000}$

944

945

946

947

948

949

950

951

952

953

954

955

956

957

958

959

960

96

962

963

964

965

966

967

968

969

970

971

972

973

974

975

976

977

978

979

980

98

982

983

984

985

986

987

988

080

990

991

992

993

994

995

996

997

998

999

1000

1001

1002

1003 1004

1005

in solution, and 1.7 ± 23.9 and -58.5 ± 0.4 kJ mol⁻¹ in vacuum. Contrarily, the inter-molecular E_{12} and Cb_{12} terms of the solvated system are destabilizing, for example with 0.13 ± 99.7 and 384.9 ± 18.4 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively.

As for mCD and mCDa, r_G of Ubiquitin is larger than the Allatom value, i.e., one gets a mean value of 1.28 ± 0.01 rather than 1.20 ± 0.01 nm, but the gyration radius value obtained in vacuum is similar to the corresponding All-atom value, i.e., 1.13 versus 1.15 ± 0.01 nm (Table 4). In water, one can additionally notice steps in the increase of r_G , due to the progressive loss of, first, the helix of Ubiquitin, followed by the disappearance of β -strands, occurring below 2.5 and at 10 ns, respectively (Fig. 4). MD trajectories generated with model *mCDh* suggest that, in water, the system is still evolving towards a different hyper-surface energy minimum. Thus, in addition to a lowering of the integration step that is required to carry out the MD simulations, the equilibration of the system seems very slow.

5. Conclusions and perspectives

Two reduced point charge models have been considered for Molecular Dynamics (MD) simulations of a protein complex, Vps27 UIM-1–Ubiquitin, using the program package GROMACS [15,16]. The first model, based on charges located at critical points (CP) of smoothed amino acid (AA) charge density (CD) distribution functions calculated from Amber99 atomic values, involves two point charges on the main chain of each AA, precisely located on atoms C and O, and up to six charges for the side chain. The second model, built by assigning charges to the maxima of AA smoothed promolecular electron density (ED) distribution functions, considers one point charge on the main chain and no more than two charges on the side chain.

For the first model, three different implementations were considered. In a first stage, the model is applied as is by considering charges as virtual sites in the system (model mCD). Second, rather than being located away from atom positions, most of the charges are set at selected atom positions. Their values are recalculated accordingly (model mCDa). Third, the charges are considered as additional masses attached to the system through harmonic bonds (model *mCDh*), as done in a previous work using the program package TINKER [11]. For the second model, only the first kind of implementation was considered (model mPASA).

MD simulations were carried out using the program GROMACS with the Amber99SB force field (FF), in water and in vacuum. The selected temperature was 300 K, except for model mCD where three lower temperature values, 277, 250, and 150 K, were also considered. The equilibration stages of mCD- and mCDa-based MD simulations were lengthened due to the increased ability of such models to sample various regions of the energy hyper-surface. Regarding the all-atom simulations, two starting configurations were selected: the PDB crystal structure (model All-atom) and a protein complex configuration obtained from a simulation using model mCDa (model All-atom-2). Energetic, structural, and dynamical information were retrieved from the analysis of the MD trajectories and discussed versus the All-atom model and available literature data. An emphasis was put on the secondary structure elements of the proteins, the conformation/configuration of UIM-1 versus Ubiquitin, and the characterization of H-bonds within the complex and with the solvent.

Regarding Ubiquitin, all three-dimensional (3D) folds remained rather similar, whatever the model used, during the simulations. However, the gyration radius, number and geometry of H-bonds, as well as the nature of the secondary structure elements varied. Vps27 UIM-1 was the most sensitive partner to the choice of the

point charge model. Its conformation and orientation versus Ubiguitin were highly variable.

1006

1007

1021

1022

1023

1024

1025

1026

1027

1028

1029

1030

1031

1032

1033

1034

1035

1036

1037

1038

1039

1040

1041

1042

1043

1044

1045

1046

1047

1048

1049

1050

1051

1052

1053

1054

1055

1056

1057

1058

1059

1060

1061

1062

1063

In vacuum, all models but the original All-atom one presented a 1008 better tendency than in water to preserve the secondary structure 1009 elements of the complex. In water, only the β -strands of Ubiquitin 1010 that are in closer contact with UIM-1 were always preserved. In 1011 both environments, model *mCD* led to the best fold description but 1012 strong deconstruction of secondary structure elements, while the 1013 inverse was observed for model mCDa. Model mCD is thus expected 1014 to provide long-range electrostatic interaction energy closer to the 1015 all-atom model, while model mCDa, which limits local conforma-1016 tional changes, is helpful to better preserve secondary structure 1017 elements of the proteins. Nevertheless, it can lead to a strong Vps27 1018 UIM-1 deformation, such as a α -helix to hairpin transition, which 1019 however corresponds to an energetically probable conformation 1020 (model All-atom-2).

If the reduced point charge models do not favour the formation of a first hydration shell as clearly as with the all-atom model, they however allow the formation of solute-solvent H-bonds with geometrical properties similar to the all-atom case. Additionally, the large increase in the number of solute-solvent H-bonds is due to the C=O groups of atoms, except for model *mPASA* with only one charge of the AA main chain, while less side chain-water H-bonds are detected for all reduced point charge models. Intra-protein Hbonds are differently described with an angle distribution shifted towards higher angle values. In such aspects, the use of an all-atom description for the solvent molecules may still be meaningful.

MD simulations carried out with model mCD at various temperatures below 300 K led to the conclusion that this particular point charge model is able, at low temperature, to provide results that are essentially similar to the all-atom model. At 300 K, as results vary significantly from all-atom ones, one might find there a clue to conclude that, with reduced point charge models, energy barriers of the potential well are lowered, conformations can be perturbed more easily, but the location of that potential well on the energy hyper-surface is similar. In agreement with that conclusion, the deconstructed geometry obtained with model mCDa, and used as a starting point for an all-atom simulation, appeared to also probe a local energy well.

Model *mCDh* leads to the most time-consuming simulations as it involves the lowest time step value and seems to require longer equilibration stage.

Model mPASA led to the largest differences versus the all-atom model in terms of energetic, structural, and dynamical properties of the system. First, the implementation of the *mPASA* model is such as no Cb-14 contributions to the potential energy are involved. Second, the number of point charges is too low to allow a first hydration shell as in the all-atom and in the other reduced point charge models. Geometrical parameters, that are associated with the existing H-bonds, adopt larger distance and angle values. The structure of the complex is particularly deconstructed in vacuum, contrarily to the trends followed by the other models. Even in water, Ubiquitin undergoes the more important contraction effect with the smallest gyration radius, while the other point charge reduced model systematically lead to an increase of the radius. Considering such facts, the use of an all-atom description for the solvent molecules together with a mPASA description for the solute appears to be meaningless.

Properties that can still be described using reduced point charge 1064 models are the overall 3D fold of Ubiquitin and, depending on the 1065 degree of point charge reduction, H-bond interactions with water 1066 molecules. On the contrary, local intra-molecular geometries are 1067 reproduced with less success, leading for some models, to a loss of 1068 most regular secondary structure elements. This could be further investigated in order to refine the model. Indeed, a good mod-1070 elling of the Cb-14 interactions seems to favour the preservation of 1071

1073

1074

1075

1076

ARTICLE IN PRESS

L. Leherte, D.P. Vercauteren / Journal of Molecular Graphics and Modelling xxx (2013) xxx-xxx

the secondary structure. Also, an adequate choice of the reference atoms that are associated with the virtual sites seems important. A new implementation of the models should follow those criteria. On the whole, locating point charges on molecular field extrema appears to be a sensible choice.

As reduced point charge models describe long-range electro-1077 static interactions rather efficiently, they are well suited to model 1078 rigid systems, as well as protein, protein interactions. To model 1079 protein systems, one may also imagine a combination of several lev-1080 els of description, like in hybrid systems. Discussions have recently 1081 appeared on the subject [41,42]. The present models would be very 1082 easily implemented as they differ only by the number and location 1083 of point charges, without any change in atom types and sizes and 1084 FF formulae. Let us however mention that no trial was brought to 1085 these models to adapt the other FF parameters with the degree of 1086 point charge reduction. 1087

1088 Acknowledgments

1089The authors acknowledge the "Fonds National de la Recherche1090Scientifique" (FNRS-FRFC), the "Loterie Nationale" (convention no.10912.4578.02), and the University of Namur (UNamur) for the use of the1092Plateforme Technologique de Calcul Intensif (PTCI). They gratefully1093acknowledge F. Wautelet and L. Demelenne for program installa-1094tion and maintenance.

1095 Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmgm. 2013.10.011.

References

1099

1100

1101

1102

1103

1104

1105 1106

1107

1108

1109

1110

1111

1112

1113

1114

1115

1116

1117

1118

1119

1120

1121

1122

1123

1124

1125

1126

1127

1128

1129

1130

1131

1132

1133

1134

1135

1136

1137

1138

1139

- L. Leherte, D.P. Vercauteren, Implementation of a protein reduced point charge model towards Molecular Dynamics applications, J. Phys. Chem. A 115 (2011) 12531–12543.
- [2] S. Cranford, M.J. Buehler, Coarse-graining parametrization and multiscale simulation of hierarchical systems. Part I: theory and model formulation, in: P. Derosa, T. Cagin (Eds.), Multiscale Modeling: From Atoms to Devices, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2010, pp. 13–34.
- [3] V. Tozzini, Multiscale modeling of proteins, Acc. Chem. Res. 43 (2010) 220–230.
- [4] M.G. Saunders, G.A. Voth, Coarse-graining of multiprotein assemblies, Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 22 (2012) 144–150.
- [5] H. Shen, Z. Xia, G. Li, P. Ren, A review of physics-based coarse-grained potentials for the simulations of protein structure and dynamics, Annu. Rep. Comput. Chem. 8 (2012) 129–148.
- [6] M.G. Saunders, G.A. Voth, Coarse-graining methods for computational biology, Annu. Rev. Biophys. 42 (2013) 73–93.
- [7] A. Arnold, O. Lenz, S. Kesselheim, R. Weeber, F. Fahrenberger, D. Roehm, P. Košovan, C. Holm, ESPResSo 3.1 Molecular Dynamics software for coarse-grained models, in: M. Griebel, M.A. Schweitzer (Eds.), Meshfree Methods for Partial Differential Equations VI, Lecture Notes in Computational Science and Engineering, vol. 89, Springer, Berlin, 2013, pp. 1–23.
- [8] A. Mirzoev, A.P. Lyubartsev, MagiC: software package for multiscale modeling, J. Chem. Theory Comput. 9 (2013) 1512–1520.
- [9] L. Leherte, D.P. Vercauteren, Coarse point charge models for proteins from smoothed molecular electrostatic potentials, J. Chem. Theory Comput. 5 (2009) 3279–3298.
- [10] L. Leherte, D.P. Vercauteren, Charge density distributions derived from smoothed electrostatic potential functions: Design of protein reduced point charge models, J. Comput.-Aided Mol. Des. 25 (2011) 913–930.
- [11] TINKER X Software Tools for Molecular Design, v. 5.0, http://dasher. wustl.edu/tinker/ (accessed 10.06.2013).
- [12] J. Wang, P. Cieplak, P.A. Kollman, How well does a restrained electrostatic potential (RESP) model perform in calculating conformational energies of organic and biological molecules, J. Comput. Chem. 21 (2000) 1999–2012.
- [13] L. Amat, R. Carbó-Dorca, Molecular electronic density fitting using elementary Jacobi rotations under atomic shell approximation, J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 40 (2000) 1188–1198.
- [14] L. Amat, R. Carbó-Dorca, Quantum similarity measures under atomic shell approximation: first order density fitting using elementary Jacobi rotations, J. Comput. Chem. 18 (1997) 2023–2039. Parameters are available at; http://iqc.udg.es/cat/similarity/ASA/funcset.html (accessed 24.06.2013).

- [15] B. Hess, C. Kutzner, D. van der Spoel, E. Lindahl, GROMACS 4 algorithms for highly efficient, load-balanced, and scalable molecular simulation, J. Chem. Theory Comput. 4 (2008) 435–447.
- [16] S. Pronk, S. Páll, R. Schulz, P. Larsson, P. Bjelkmar, R. Apostolov, M.R. Shirts, J.C. Smith, P.M. Kasson, D. van der Spoel, B. Hess, E. Lindahl, GROMACS 4.5: a high-throughput and highly parallel open source molecular simulation toolkit, Bioinformatics 29 (2013) 845–854.
- [17] J. Kostrowicki, L. Piela, B.J. Cherayil, H.A. Scheraga, Performance of the diffusion equation method in searches for optimum structures of clusters of Lennard₇ Jones atoms, J. Phys. Chem. 95 (1991) 4113–4119.
- [18] Y. Leung, J.-S. Zhang, Z.-B. Xu, Clustering by scale-space filtering, IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell. 22 (2000) 1396–1410.
- [19] E. Spiga, D. Alemani, M.T. Degiacomi, M. Cascella, M. Dal Peraro, Electrostaticconsistent coarse-grained potentials for molecular simulations of proteins, J. Chem. Theory Comput. 9 (2013) 3515–3526.
- [20] O. Borodin, G.D. Smith, Force Field Fitting Toolkit, The University of Utah, http://www.eng.utah.edu/~gdsmith/fff.html (accessed 26.08.2009).
- [21] T.J. Dolinsky, J.E. Nielsen, J.A. McCammon, N.A. Baker, PDB2PQ.R. An automated pipeline for the setup of Poisson-Boltzmann electrostatics calculations, Nucleic Acids Res, 32 (2004) W665-W667.
- [22] PDB2PQR, an automated pipeline for the setup, execution, and analysis of Poisson-Boltzmann electrostatics calculations, 2007, SourceForge Project Page, http://pdb2pqr.sourceforge.net/ (accessed 24.06.2013).
- [23] U.C. Singh, P.A. Kollman, An approach to computing electrostatic charges for molecules, J. Comput. Chem. 5 (1984) 129–145.
- [24] F. Eisenmenger, U.H.E. Hansmann, S. Hayryan, C.-K. Hu, An enhanced version of SMMP-open-source software package for simulation of proteins, Comput. Phys. Commun. 174 (2006) 422–429.
- [25] Simple Molecular Mechanics for Proteins, http://developer.berlios.de/ projects/smmp/ (accessed 24.06.2013).
 [26] OpenDX, The Open Source Software Project Based on IBM's Visualization Data
- [26] OpenDX, The Open Source Software Project Based on IBM's Visualization Data Explorer, Visualization and Imagery Solutions, Inc., http://www.opendx.org (accessed 20.08.2013).
- [27] A.V. Sinitskiy, M.G. Saunders, G.A. Voth, Optimal number of coarse-grained sites in different components of large biomolecular complexes, J. Phys. Chem. B 116 (2012) 8363–8374.
- [28] D.J. Heisterberg, Ohio Supercomputer Center, Translation from FORTRAN to C and input/output by J. Labanowski, Ohio Supercomputer Center, Labanowski, 1990 (technical report).
- [29] CCL quaternion-mol-fit, 1999, Computational Chemistry List, Ltd, http://www.ccl.net/cca/software/SOURCES/C/quaternion-mol-fit/ (accessed 24.06.2013).
- [30] K.A. Swanson, R.S. Kang, S.D. Stamenova, L. Hicke, I. Radhakrishnan, Solution structure of Vps27 UIM-ubiquitin complex important for endosomal sorting and receptor downregulation, EMBO J. 22 (2003) 4597–4606.
- [31] J.H. Hurley, S. Lee, G. Prag, Ubiquitin-binding domains, Biochem. J. 399 (2006) 361–372.
- [32] N.G. Sgourakis, M.M. Patel, A.E. Garcia, G.I. Makhatadze, S.A. McCallum, Conformational dynamics and structural plasticity play critical roles in the ubiquitin recognition of a UIM domain, J. Mol. Biol. 396 (2010) 1128–1144.
- [33] JunGoo Jee, Unambiguous determination of intermolecular hydrogen bond of NMR structure by Molecular Dynamics refinement using all-atom force field and implicit solvent model, Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 31 (2010) 2717–2720.
- [34] Y.C. Kim, G. Hummer, Coarse-grained models for simulations of multiprotein complexes: application to ubiquitin binding, J. Mol. Biol. 375 (2008) 1416–1433.
- [35] Y.C. Kim, R.B. Best, J. Mittal, Macromolecular crowding effects on protein-protein binding affinity and specificity, J. Chem. Phys. 133 (2010) 7, 205101.
- [36] S.A. Showalter, R. Brüschweiler, Validation of Molecular Dynamics simulations of biomolecules using NMR spin relaxation as benchmarks: application to the AMBER99SB force field, J. Chem. Theory Comput. 3 (2007) 961–975.
- [37] V. Hornak, R. Abel, A. Okur, B. Strockbine, A. Roitberg, C. Simmerling, Comparison of multiple Amber force fields and development of improved protein backbone parameters, Proteins 65 (2006) 712–725.
- [38] H.W. Horn, W.C. Swope, J.W. Pitera, J.D. Madura, T.J. Dick, G.L. Hura, T. Head-Gordon, Development of an improved four-site water model for biomolecular simulations: TIP4P-Ew, J. Chem. Phys. 120 (2004) 9665–9678.
- [39] K.R. Hadley, C. McCabe, Coarse-grained molecular models of water: a review, Mol. Simul. 38 (2012) 671–681.
- [40] L. Darré, M.R. Machado, S. Pantano, Coarse-grained models of water, WIRES: Comput. Mol. Sci. 2 (2012) 921–930.
- [41] T.A. Wassenaar, H.I. Ingólfsson, M. Priess, S.J. Marrink, L.V. Schäfer, Mixing MAR-TINI: electrostatic coupling in hybrid atomistic-coarse-grained biomolecular simulations, J. Phys. Chem. B 117 (2013) 3516–3530.
- [42] K. Meier, A. Choutko, J. Dolenc, A.P. Eichenberger, S. Riniker, W.F. van Gunsteren, Multi-resolution simulation of biomolecular systems: a review of methodological issues, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 52 (2013) 2820–2834.
- [43] P. Kar, S.M. Gopal, Y.-M. Cheng, A. Predeus, M. Feig, PRIM.O., A transferable coarse-grained force field for proteins, J. Chem. Theory Comput. 9 (2013) 3769–3788.
- [44] N.A. Baker, D. Sept, S. Joseph, M.J. Holst, J.A. McCammon, Electrostatics of nanosystems: application to microtubules and the ribosome, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 98 (2001) 10037–10041.

Please cite this article in press as: L. Leherte, D.P. Vercauteren, Evaluation of reduced point charge models of proteins through Molecular Dynamics simulations: Application to the Vps27 UIM-1–Ubiquitin complex, J. Mol. Graph. Model. (2013), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmgm.2013.10.011

1140

1141

1142

1143

1144

1145

1146

1147

1148

1149

1150

1151

1152

1153

1154

1155

1156

1157

1158

1159

1160

1161

1162

1163

1164

1165

1166

1167

1168

1169

1170

1172

1173

1221

1222

1223

1224

1225

1226

1230

L. Leherte, D.P. Vercauteren / Journal of Molecular Graphics and Modelling xxx (2013) xxx-xxx

- [45] W. Humphrey, A. Dalk, K. Schulten, VMD, Visual Molecular Dynamics, J. Mol. Graph, 14 (1996) 33-38.
- 1227 1228 1229
 - [46] J.J. Virtanen, L. Makowski, T.R. Sosnick, K.F. Freed, Modeling the hydration layer around proteins: HyPred, Biophys. J. 99 (2010) 1–9.
 [47] X. Chen, I. Weber, R.W. Harrison, Hydration water and bulk water in proteins have distinct properties in radial distributions calculated from

105 atomic resolution crystal structures, J. Phys. Chem. B 112 (2008) 12073-12080.

[48] S.J. Marrink, D.P. Tieleman, Perspective on the Martini model, Chem. Soc. Rev. (2013), http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C3CS60093A.