

RESEARCH OUTPUTS / RÉSULTATS DE RECHERCHE

Evaluating the electronic and vibrational contributions to second and third harmonic scattering responses

Beaujean, Pierre

Publication date:
2019

Document Version
le PDF de l'éditeur

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (HARVARD):

Beaujean, P 2019, 'Evaluating the electronic and vibrational contributions to second and third harmonic scattering responses'.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Introduction and objectives

The interaction between an electric field (\vec{F}) and a molecular system induces a dipole moment, through reorganization of the electron cloud (**electronic** contribution) and of the nuclei (**vibrational** contribution):

$$\Delta\vec{\mu}(\vec{F}) = \alpha \cdot \vec{F} + \frac{1}{2!} \beta : \vec{F}^2 + \frac{1}{3!} \gamma : \vec{F}^3 + \dots$$

where α is the polarizability (rank 2 tensor) and β , γ are the first and second hyperpolarizabilities (rank 3 and 4 tensors). The experiments rely on relative rather than absolute measurements, which requires precise knowledge of the response of these reference compounds.

The goals of this work^{1,2} are:

- The definition of reference values. Here, a model system, the **water** molecule, will be considered;
- The definition of an appropriate level of approximation since it is the first quantum chemistry investigations on γ_{THS} ;
- To assess the impact of vibrational contributions.

Computational details


Gas phase geometries and hyperpolarizabilities computed with Dalton 2016, with a hierarchy of coupled cluster methods (CCS, CC2, CCSD), in combination with quadratic/cubic response functions⁴ and the (d-)aug-cc-pVXZ (X=D, T) family to check the impact of the basis set.



A homemade code (NACHOS) was developed to perform numerical differentiation in order to carry out vibrational contributions.

Results

- Impact of the basis set and of the method on the static first and second hyperpolarizabilities of water. The electronic value is marked with a cross, while the total (electronic+vibrational) is marked with plain circles:



Substantial electron correlation and basis sets effects are evidenced (especially for DR_{THS}). The CCSD/d-aug-cc-pVTZ level is mandatory to study for investigating the impact of vibrational contribution for water. For the electronic part, it is in excellent agreement with calculation at the CCSD/d-aug-cc-pV5Z level.¹


Outlook

The amplitude of the vibrational contributions on other reference molecules still need to be investigated. We also would like to check the impact of solvation on γ_{THS} (which is expected to be smaller than on β_{SHS}).

Acknowledgements

Computational resources have been provided by the Consortium des Équipements de Calcul Intensif (CÉCI), funded by the Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique (F.R.S.-FNRS) under the Grant No. 2.5020.11.

SHS and THS measurements



For a non-polarized incident signal, in the laboratory frame,³

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \beta_{SHS} &= \sqrt{\langle \beta_{ZZZ}^2 \rangle + \langle \beta_{ZXX}^2 \rangle} \\ \gamma_{THS} &= \sqrt{\langle \gamma_{ZZZZ}^2 \rangle + \langle \gamma_{ZXXX}^2 \rangle} \end{aligned} \right\} DR = \frac{I_{VV}}{I_{HV}}$$


The depolarization ratio (DR) is linked to the geometry of the NLOphore.¹

Vibrational contributions

In the frozen nuclei approximation, the vibrational contributions are missing. They are divided in two parts, **ZPVA** and **pv**, which requires different geometrical derivatives of molecular properties w.r.t. normal modes, up to **second order**.⁵

$$\Delta P^{ZPVA} = [P]^I, \beta^{pv} = [\mu\alpha]^0 + [\mu^3]^I + [\mu\alpha]^{II},$$

$$\gamma^{pv} = [\alpha^2]^0 + [\mu\beta]^0 + [\mu^2\alpha]^I + [\alpha^2]^{II} + [\mu\beta]^{II} + [\mu^4]^{III}.$$




- Contributions of the different parts and frequency dispersion, at the CCSD/d-aug-cc-pVTZ level (missing contribution analysis):

	β_{SHS}				DR_{SHS}			
	P^e	ΔP^{ZPVA}	P^{pv}	P^{tot}	P^e	ΔP^{ZPVA}	P^{pv}	P^{tot}
static	11.04	7.6%	-24.2%	9.49 (-16%)	7.73	-2.3%	0.7%	7.55 (-2%)
1064 nm	11.93	6.4%	3.2%	13.19 (9%)	8.21	-2.8%	-2.6%	7.76 (-6%)
	γ_{THS}				DR_{THS}			
	P^e	ΔP^{ZPVA}	P^{pv}	P^{tot}	P^e	ΔP^{ZPVA}	P^{pv}	P^{tot}
static	1821	3.5%	9.8%	2101 (13%)	76	6.3%	50.7%	166 (54%)
1064 nm	2288	4.4%	-0.2%	2387 (4%)	49	4.8%	-1.1%	51 (4%)

The pure vibrational contribution is large in the static limit, but its frequency dispersion $\propto (\omega_a^2 - \omega_i^2)^{-1}$: it tends to zero for large ω_i . The ZPVA contribution remain important. Alltogether, the vibrational contributions are **small, but not negligible** and nonsystematic.

- Impact of the normal modes (missing mode analysis):



Stretching (A_1 and B_2) modes are of first importance, due to a large cubic force constants. Bending mode (A_1) only interesting for static β^{pv} .

References

- [1] Beaujean, P.; Champagne, B. *Theoretical Chemistry Accounts* **2018**, *137*, 50.
- [2] Beaujean, P.; Champagne, B. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **2019**, *151*, 064303.
- [3] Verbiest, T.; Clays, K.; Rodriguez, V. *Second-Order Nonlinear Optical Characterization Techniques: An Introduction*; Taylor & Francis, 2009.
- [4] (a) Aidas, K. et al. *WIREs Comput. Mol. Sci.* **2014**, *4*, 269–284; (b) Hättig, C.; Christiansen, O.; Koch, H.; Jørgensen, P. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1997**, *269*, 428–434; (c) Hättig, C. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1998**, *296*, 245–252.
- [5] (a) Bishop, D. M. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **1990**, *62*, 343–374; (b) Bishop, D. M.; Kirtman, B. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1991**, *95*, 2646–2658; (c) Bishop, D. M.; Kirtman, B. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1992**, *97*, 5255–5256; (d) Bishop, D. M.; Luis, J. M.; Kirtman, B. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1998**, *108*, 10013–10017.