

RESEARCH OUTPUTS / RÉSULTATS DE RECHERCHE

Totality of evidence refutes neoplasm risk with fezolinetant - Authors' reply

Doux fils, Jonathan; Beaudart, Charlotte; Dogné, Jean-Michel

Published in:
The Lancet

DOI:
[10.1016/s0140-6736\(24\)00708-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(24)00708-6)

Publication date:
2024

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (HARVARD):

Doux fils, J, Beaudart, C & Dogné, J-M 2024, 'Totality of evidence refutes neoplasm risk with fezolinetant - Authors' reply', *The Lancet*, vol. 403, no. 10440, pp. 1988. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736\(24\)00708-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(24)00708-6)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Colorado, Aurora, CO, USA (NS); Department of Paediatrics, Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Valencia, Valencia, Spain (AC); Department of Clinical, Surgical, Diagnostic and Pediatric Sciences, University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy (REN); Research Center for Reproductive Medicine and Gynecological Endocrinology—Menopause Unit, Fondazione Policlinico IRCCS S Matteo, Pavia, Italy (REN); Department of Family and Community Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada (MS); Astellas Pharma Global Development, Northbrook, IL 60062, USA (FDO)

- 1 Douxfils J, Beaudart C, Dogné J-M. Risk of neoplasm with the neurokinin 3 receptor antagonist fezolinetant. *Lancet* 2023; **402**: 1623–25.
- 2 Neal-Perry G, Cano A, Lederman S, et al. Safety of fezolinetant for vasomotor symptoms associated with menopause: a randomized controlled trial. *Obstet Gynecol* 2023; **141**: 737–47.
- 3 Smith MT, Guyton KZ, Gibbons CF, et al. Key characteristics of carcinogens as a basis for organizing data on mechanisms of carcinogenesis. *Environ Health Perspect* 2016; **124**: 713–21.
- 4 US Food and Drug Administration. NDA 216578—ESN364 (fezolinetant) tablets for the treatment of moderate to severe vasomotor symptoms (VMS) associated with menopause. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/nda/2023/216578Orig1s000MedR.pdf (accessed April 12, 2024).
- 5 Sharma T, Gotzsche PC, Kuss O. The Yusuf-Peto method was not a robust method for meta-analyses of rare events data from antidepressant trials. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2017; **91**: 129–36.
- 6 Deeks JJ, Higgins JPT, Altman DG. Chapter 10: Analysing data and undertaking meta-analyses. <https://training.cochrane.org/handbook/current/chapter-10> (accessed April 12, 2024).

Authors' reply

We read the Correspondence by Genevieve Neal-Perry and colleagues on our analysis reporting an increased risk of neoplasm with the neurokinin 3 receptor antagonist fezolinetant. Their conclusion is in line with the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Clinical Review suggesting that the incidence rate of malignancy treatment emergent adverse events is within the normal background rate of cancer. However, the FDA document states that the background incidence rate of cancer for the age group 50–59 years is 0.56%.¹ The annual rate of neoplasms with fezolinetant at 45 mg is 1.48%.² This prompted our in-depth analysis.

Neal-Perry and colleagues concluded that a drug effect is not supported on the basis of the evaluation of fezolinetant structural properties,

non-clinical data, and an epidemiological literature review. They suggest that the short latency period to diagnosis, heterogeneity of tumour type, previous neoplastic or risk factor history, and presence of alternative baseline causes support their assessment.

First, any relevant pre-existing factors should be equally distributed among the different arms of the studies. A proper analysis of such pre-existing factors in the SKYLIGHT programme is not publicly available. Second, we reported a dose-dependent increase of the risk of neoplasm, suggesting that these treatment emergent adverse events could be associated with the pharmacodynamic properties of fezolinetant. Third, there is at least one potential underlying mechanism supporting a possible association with the observed increased risk of neoplasm. Indeed, neurokinin B is responsible for the release of kisspeptin. Kisspeptin can delay the metastatic cascade by preventing growth and colonisation of different metastatic cells in distant sites.³ By antagonising the neurokinin 3 receptor, fezolinetant could alleviate the dormancy of cancer cells.³

Neal-Perry and colleagues mentioned that the Peto method used to compute the odds ratio is not appropriate for investigating rare events. Our use of the Peto method was guided by the Cochrane Statistical Methods Group and others who report that this method works well when intervention effects are small, events are not particularly common, and the studies have similar numbers in experimental and comparator groups.^{4,5} The two other fixed-effect methods (ie, inverse variance and Mantel-Haenszel) lead to a similar conclusion (sensitivity analysis available on Open Science Framework), strengthening the robustness of our findings.

In conclusion, our data indicate an association between fezolinetant and the risk of neoplasm. The causal link of such an association is not established but we strongly believe that additional

non-clinical mechanistic studies and a careful monitoring of such events with real-world evidence are needed to further characterise this risk.

JD reports personal fees from Daiichi-Sankyo, Diagnostica Stago, Gedeon Richter, GyneBio Pharma, Mithra Pharmaceuticals, Norgine, Roche, Roche Diagnostics, Technoclone, Werfen, and YHLO outside the submitted work. All other authors declare no competing interests.

*Jonathan Douxfils, Charlotte Beudart, Jean-Michel Dogné jonathan.douxfils@unamur.be

Clinical Pharmacology and Toxicology Research Unit, Department of Pharmacy, Namur Research Institute for Life Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, University of Namur, Namur 5000, Belgium (JD, J-MD, CB); QUALIBlood, Namur, Belgium (JD); Department of Biological Hematology, CHU Clermont-Ferrand, Hôpital Estaing, Clermont-Ferrand, France (JD); WHO Collaborating Center for Epidemiology of Musculoskeletal Health and Aging, Division of Public Health, Epidemiology and Health Economics, University of Liège, Liège, Belgium (CB)

- 1 US Food and Drug Administration. NDA 216578-ESN364 (fezolinetant) tablets for the treatment of moderate to severe vasomotor symptoms (VMS) associated with menopause. 2023. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/nda/2023/216578orig1s000MedR.pdf (accessed July 6, 2023).
- 2 Douxfils J, Beudart C, Dogné JM. Risk of neoplasm with the neurokinin 3 receptor antagonist fezolinetant. *Lancet* 2023; **402**: 1623–25.
- 3 Ciaramella V, Della Corte CM, Ciardiello F, Morgillo F. Kisspeptin and cancer: molecular interaction, biological functions, and future perspectives. *Front Endocrinol* 2018; **9**: 115.
- 4 Deeks JJ, Higgins JPT, Altman DG. Chapter 10: Analysing data and undertaking meta-analyses. 2023. <https://training.cochrane.org/handbook/current/chapter-10> (accessed Oct 31, 2023).
- 5 Bradburn MJ, Deeks JJ, Berlin JA, Russell Localio A. Much ado about nothing: a comparison of the performance of meta-analytical methods with rare events. *Stat Med* 2007; **26**: 53–77.

Department of Error

GBD 2021 Causes of Death Collaborators. Global burden of 288 causes of death and life expectancy decomposition in 204 countries and territories and 811 subnational locations, 1990–2021: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2021. *Lancet* 2024; published online April 3. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(24\)00367-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(24)00367-2)—In this Article, the key in figure 1 has been corrected, the URL for the GBD data sources has been corrected, and the appendix has been updated. These changes have been made to the online version as of April 19, 2024 and the printed version is correct.



Published Online
April 19, 2024
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(24\)00824-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(24)00824-9)

For the sensitivity analysis see
<https://osf.io/cn9re/>