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Functional Gene Analysis Reveals Cell Cycle Changes and Inflammation in Endothelial Cells Irradiated with a Single X-ray Dose

Bjorn Baselet 1,2, Niels Belmans 1,3, Emma Coninx 1, Donna Lowe 4, Ann Janssen 1, Arlette Michaux 1, Kevin Tabury 1,5, Kenneth Raj 4, Roel Quintens 1, Mohammed A. Benotmane 1, Sarah Baatout 1,6, Pierre Sonveaux 2* and An Aerts 1*

1 Radiobiology Unit, Belgian Nuclear Research Centre (SCK•CEN), Institute for Environment, Health and Safety, Mol, Belgium, 2 Institut de Recherche Expérimentale et Clinique (IREC), Pole of Pharmacology & Therapeutics, Université catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium, 3 Faculty of Medicine and Life Sciences, Biomedical Research Institute, Hasselt University, Hasselt, Belgium, * Centre for Radiation, Chemical and Environmental Hazards, Public Health England, Didcot, UK, 5 Biomedical Engineering Program and Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC, USA, 6 Department of Molecular Biotechnology, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

Background and Purpose: Epidemiological data suggests an excess risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) at low doses (0.05 and 0.1 Gy) of ionizing radiation (IR). Furthermore, the underlying biological and molecular mechanisms of radiation-induced CVD are still unclear. Because damage to the endothelium could be critical in IR-related CVD, this study aimed to identify the effects of radiation on immortalized endothelial cells in the context of atherosclerosis.

Material and Methods: Microarrays and RT-qPCR were used to compare the response of endothelial cells irradiated with a single X-ray dose (0.05, 0.1, 0.5, 2 Gy) measured after various post-irradiation (repair) times (1 day, 7 days, 14 days). To consolidate and mechanistically support the endothelial cell response to X-ray exposure identified via microarray analysis, DNA repair signaling (γH2AX/TP53BP1-foci quantification), cell cycle progression (BrdU/7AAD flow cytometric analysis), cellular senescence (β-galactosidase assay with CPRG and IGFBP7 quantification) and pro-inflammatory status (IL6 and CCL2) was assessed.

Results: Microarray results indicated persistent changes in cell cycle progression and inflammation. Cells underwent G1 arrest in a dose-dependent manner after high doses (0.5 and 2 Gy), which was compensated by increased proliferation after 1 week and almost normalized after 2 weeks. However, at this point irradiated cells showed an increased β-Gal activity and IGFBP7 secretion, indicative of premature senescence. The production of pro-inflammatory cytokines IL6 and CCL2 was increased at early time points.

Conclusions: IR induces pro-atherosclerotic processes in endothelial cells in a dose-dependent manner. These findings give an incentive for further research on the shape of the dose-response curve, as we show that even low doses of IR can induce premature endothelial senescence at later time points. Furthermore, our findings on...
INTRODUCTION

At higher doses of ionizing radiation (≥0.5 Gy), epidemiological data show a significant excess risk of late occurring cardiovascular disease (CVD; Hildebrandt, 2010; Shimizu et al., 2010; Darby et al., 2013). The term CVD encompasses coronary heart disease and peripheral arterial disease, and is most often related to atherosclerosis (Lusis, 2000). In the clinics, these doses are used in 50 to 60% of all cancer patients during radiotherapy (Gottfried et al., 1996). During these treatments, normal surrounding tissues also receive a part of the dose. For example, the mean dose of radiation to the heart during breast cancer radiotherapy ranges from 0.03 to 27.72 Gy, with an overall average of 4.9 Gy (Darby et al., 2013). Still, knowledge of the underlying biological and molecular mechanisms of radiation-induced CVD is limited (Little et al., 2008; Hildebrandt, 2010) and should be complemented with experimental findings.

In addition to higher doses, low doses of ionizing radiation (≤0.1 Gy Fazel et al., 2009) are also increasingly used in the clinics, mainly for diagnostic medical purposes (UNSCEAR, 2008). Consequently, the possibility of an excess risk of CVD following exposure to ionizing radiation is of great societal concern. However, the current radiation protection system is based on the assumption that there is a threshold at 0.5 Gy where epidemiological evidence for non-cancer effects is suggestive (Hildebrandt, 2010). Indeed, over recent years, a growing body of epidemiological data suggested an excess risk of late occurring CVD at much lower doses, without a clear-cut threshold (Hildebrandt, 2010; Shimizu et al., 2010; Darby et al., 2013). However, due to a lack of statistical power, these data are suggestive rather than persuasive.

The mechanisms by which radiation causes CVD are at present unknown, but radiation acts, at least in part, by causing or promoting atherosclerosis (Borghini et al., 2013). Atherosclerosis is a multifactorial disease characterized by a chronic inflammatory process of the arterial wall. It is believed to be initiated by irritative stimuli (e.g., hypertension, dyslipidemia, and pro-inflammatory mediators) leading to endothelial dysfunction (Libby et al., 2011), a pathological state characterized by the loss of endothelial functions that are normally in place to maintain vascular integrity (Hirase and Node, 2012). This dysfunctional state induces a pro-inflammatory reaction in the endothelium, triggering the expression of adhesion molecules such as selectin P and intracellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM1; Collins et al., 2000) and the secretion of cytokines such as C-C Motif Chemokine Ligand 2 (CCL2; Boring et al., 1998) and interleukin-6 (IL6) (Schieffer et al., 2004). These molecules mediate the attachment of circulating monocytes and lymphocytes, driving the intimal immune cell infiltration. Eventually, a chronic inflammatory reaction ensues, resulting in the formation of an atheroma filled with foam cells and a necrotic core (Weber and Noels, 2011). By using bioinformatics and metanalytical approaches, knowledge on the molecular networks that are common in ionizing radiation, immune and inflammatory responses is emerging (Georgakilas et al., 2015). These studies will further help to understand the underlying molecular mechanisms of radiation-induced inflammatory reactions and will help to explain the pathogenesis of radiation-induced CVD.

In the radiobiological context, endothelial cells have been proposed to be a critical target in radiation-induced CVD (Little et al., 2008; Hildebrandt, 2010). Indeed, exposure of the vascular endothelium to IR can result in endothelial cell dysfunction (Bhattacharya and Asaithamby, 2016), a well-established cardiovascular risk factor that promotes the development and progression of atherosclerosis (Vita and Keaney, 2002; Widmer and Lerman, 2014). Although the mechanisms of radiation-induced CVD are far from being understood, inflammatory processes seem to be involved. Following irradiation, upregulation of several pro-inflammatory molecules by endothelial cells has been observed. For example, the expression of ICAM1 and selectin P increased after irradiation in both in vitro and in vivo experiments (Gallo et al., 1997; Hallahan and Virudachalam, 1997a,b; Van Der Meeren et al., 1999; Haubner et al., 2013). Furthermore, endothelial cells upregulate the secretion of several pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as IL6 and CCL2, after irradiation (Van Der Meeren et al., 1999; Haubner et al., 2013).

In this study, we tried to find molecular evidence for the presence of an excess risk of CVD following exposure of endothelial cells to low single X-ray doses (0.05 and 0.1 Gy), a caveat in current radiobiological knowledge. Furthermore, we aimed to identify underlying biological and molecular mechanisms of radiation-induced CVD after exposure of endothelial cells to a single X-ray dose (0.05, 0.1, 0.5, 2 Gy). Compared to the existing knowledge, our study looks at longer time spans after radiation exposure combined with the use of human coronary artery endothelial cells. These endothelial cells are linked to coronary artery disease, observed after radiation exposure during radiotherapy in females with breast cancer (Darby et al., 2013). Endothelial cells were irradiated with a single X-ray dose (0.05, 0.1, 0.5, 2 Gy) and transcriptomic changes were
measured after various post-irradiation (repair) times (1 day, 7 days, 14 days). We report that a single X-ray dose induces dose- and time-dependent transcriptional changes associated with atherosclerosis-related processes in immortalized human coronary artery endothelial cells.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cells and Irradiation**

Human telomerase-immortalized coronary artery endothelial (TICAE) cells (ECACC) were grown in Human MesoEndo Endothelial Cell Medium (Cell Applications) and cultured at 37°C with 5% CO₂ in a humidified incubator as described elsewhere (Lowe and Raj, 2014). Cells were irradiated at >95% confluence with a dose rate of 0.50 Gy/min, using an AGO HS320/250 X-ray cabinet (only for microarray samples; 250 kV, 13 mA, 1.5 mm Al and 1.2 mm Cu) or an Xstrahl RX generator (for validation samples; 250 kV, 12 mA, 3.8 mm Al, and 1.4 mm Cu). Cells were not passaged during experiments, but medium was changed thrice per week.

**Microarrays**

Total RNA of TICAE cells was extracted according to manufacturer’s instructions using the AllPrep DNA/RNA/protein mini kit (Qiagen). RNA was quantified using a NanoDrop Spectrophotometer and its quality assessed with an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer. Samples with a RNA integrity number >8 were used for hybridization onto Affymetrix Human Gene 2.0 ST arrays, following manufacturer’s instructions. Raw data were uploaded to the Partek Genomics Suite (version 6.6) and normalized using a customized Robust Multi-chip Analysis algorithm with a Laplacian scale of 2 and a minimum foci size of 3 pixels. Six biological replicates were screened per condition, with an average accuracy of 95%.

**Reverse Transcription Quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR)**

RT-qPCR analysis was performed as previously described (Verreet et al., 2015) on a 7,500 Fast Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems). Gene expression was normalized to reference genes RAP2C and INPP1. Gene expression ratios were calculated using the Pfaffl method (Pfaffl, 2001). Data were normalized to the values of the respective control samples at the same time point (either 1 day, 7 days, 14 days) and presented as the average expression ratio.

**DNA Double Strand Break Repair Kinetics**

To identify DNA double strand breaks (DSBs) and early DNA damage repair response, cells were stained for phosphorylated histone H2AX (γH2AX) and tumor suppressor p53-binding protein 1 (TP53BP1). After irradiation, cells were fixed (2% PFA) and permeabilized (0.25% Triton X-100 in PBS), blocked (1% normal goat serum [Thermo Fisher] in Tris-NaCl [Perkin Elmer]) and probed with primary anti-γH2AX (1/300; Merck-Millipore #05-636) and anti-TP53BP1 (1/1,000; Novus Biologicals #NB100-304) antibodies (1 h, 37°C). After washing, cells were incubated with 1 μg/ml DAPI and primary Alexa Fluor 488 and 568 (Life technologies) antibodies (1 h, 37°C). Cells on slides were visualized with an Eclipse Ti microscope (NIKON) equipped with a 40 × Plan Fluor objective (NA 0.6) and an Andor Ixon EMCCD camera. Twelve fields (z-stack of 9 planes axially separated by 1 μm) were captured per replicate with a lateral spacing of 500 μm. Images were analyzed with FIJI software (Schindelin et al., 2012) using the Cellblocks toolbox (De Vos et al., 2010). In brief, software allowed to analyze each nucleus based on the DAPI signal using Gaussian filtering and region of interest identification. Within each nucleus, pixel size and intensity emitted from the Alexa 488 (γH2AX) and Alexa 568 (TP53BP1) fluorochromes along with their overlap were analyzed, after which the foci number per nucleus was determined in a fully automatic manner using a predefined threshold algorithm combined with multi-scale Laplacian filtering. Settings used were triangle threshold algorithm with a Laplacian scale of 2 and a minimum foci size of 3 pixels. Six biological replicates were screened per condition, and a minimum of 200 nuclei were analyzed per replicate.

**Cell Cycle**

TICAE cells were treated with 10 μM of BrdU for 1 h, followed by ethanol fixation for a minimum of 24 h. Cells were permeabilized and stained with rat anti-BrdU antibody (AbD Serotec, #OB0030CX) and 10 μg/ml 7-amino-actinomycin D (Sigma-Aldrich). Samples were run on a BD Accuri C6 flow cytometer, with a maximum flow speed of 300 events/s.

**Senescence**

To determine senescence-associated β-galactosidase activity, cells were lysed in M-PER reagent (Thermoﬁsher Scientiﬁc). Lysates were incubated at 37°C for 18 h in reaction buffer (1 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM chloroformol-β-D-galactopyranoside in 50 mM phosphate buffer, pH 6.0). Reaction was stopped by adding 1 M of Na₂CO₃, and absorbance was measured at 570 nm.
**Multiplex Bead Array**

C-C motif chemokine 2 (CCL2), interleukin 6 (IL6) and insulin-like growth factor binding protein 7 (IGFBP7) levels in cell culture supernatants were analyzed using a multiplex magnetic bead array (R&D systems). Assays were performed according to manufacturer’s instructions. Samples were run on a Luminex 200 and analyzed with xPONENT 3.1 (Luminex Corporation).

**Statistics**

Data show means ± SEM. Microarray data were filtered to exclude genes expressed below the background signal in at least 67% of all samples and analyzed using two-way ANOVA. Differentially expressed genes were identified as those with a fold change >1.5 and \( P < 0.05 \) after correction for multiple testing according to Benjamini and Hochberg (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995). Other data were analyzed using two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-hoc test. \( P < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Irradiation of Endothelial Cells Represses the Expression of Genes Involved in Cell Cycling and Induces Inflammation**

Functional enrichment analysis revealed that, 1 day after exposure to a single X-ray dose of 2 Gy, the upregulated differentially expressed genes were involved in cell cycle arrest and cytokine production and the downregulated ones in cell cycle-related processes such as mitotic cell cycle, chromosome organization and microtubule dynamics (Figures 1A,B). ChiP enrichment analysis was then used to identify transcription factors that control sets of differentially expressed genes. The identified transcription factors were shown to regulate cell cycle responses to DNA damage [such as p53 (Lane, 1992), Myc (Wasylishen and Penn, 2010), FOXM1 (Zona et al., 2014) and members of the E2F family (Bertoli et al., 2013)] and cytokine production (RELA and NF-κB; Magné et al., 2006) (Figures 1C,D).

At day 7 and 14 after exposure to a single X-ray dose of 2 Gy, 129 and 59 genes were differentially expressed, respectively (Table 1). On day 7, gene ontology analysis highlighted inflammation-related processes, including induction of the negative regulation of T-helper 1 type immune response, cytokine-mediated signaling and suppression of MHC1 antigen processing and presentation (Figures 1E,F). ChiP enrichment analysis identified several inflammation-associated transcription factors (Figures 1F,G), among which PPARδ, known to regulate multiple pro-inflammatory pathways (Barish et al., 2008), endothelial cell proliferation and angiogenesis (Piqueras et al., 2007) and SMAD2/3, involved in TGFβ signaling (Derynick and Zhang, 2003). Furthermore, transcription factors vitamin D receptor, which promotes autophagy and cell survival pathways (Uberti et al., 2014), and BACH1, involved in oxidative stress response and cell-cycle progression (Wang et al., 2016a), were identified. Although not listed in the top 10, members of the E2F and p53 families of transcription factors were also identified (Supplementary data files), indicating a persistent cell cycle response. On day 14 following the exposure to a single X-ray dose of 2 Gy, no enrichment of transcription factors or gene ontology terms was identified.

**Ionizing Radiation Causes a Dose-Dependent Repression of the Expression of Genes Controlling Mitotic Endothelial Cell Proliferation**

To confirm the effect of a single X-ray dose on the proliferation of endothelial cells, as observed in the microarray data, we performed RT-qPCR analysis on independent samples. *BUB1, FAM111B* and *MKI67* genes were selected for their involvement in mitotic cell cycle progression: *BUB1* is a mitotic checkpoint kinase (Bolanos-Garcia and Blundell, 2011), *MKI67* encodes proliferation marker Ki67 (Gerdes et al., 1983) and *FAM111B* has an unknown function but could be related to DNA replication (Aviner et al., 2015). Microarray and RT-qPCR data matched for *BUB1* (Figure 2A), *MKI67* (Figure 2B) and *FAM111B* (Figure 2C), with a significant decrease in gene expression detected on day 1 post-irradiation. While the response was essentially transient at 0.5 Gy, a large number of genes were still differentially expressed in TICAE cells 7 and 14 days after irradiation with a single X-ray dose of 2 Gy.

**TABLE 1 | Irradiation with a single dose of X-rays induces differential gene expression in TICAE cells.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1 day</th>
<th>7 days</th>
<th>14 days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.05 Gy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (−1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1 Gy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 (−1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5 Gy</td>
<td>162 (+22/−140)</td>
<td>2 (+1/−1)</td>
<td>4 (−4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Gy</td>
<td>522 (+107/−415)</td>
<td>129 (+7W/−50)</td>
<td>59 (+41/−18)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*TICAE cells were analyzed at the indicated time points after irradiation with a single dose of X-rays. Changes are shown compared to sham irradiation, as described in Materials and Methods (n = 3). Numbers between brackets indicate up (+) and/or down (−) regulated genes per condition.
expression at a single X-ray dose of 0.5 or 2 Gy on day 1 post-irradiation, followed by a slight increase on day 7 and a return to basal expression on day 14. However, contrary to microarray results, RT-qPCR indicated a dose-dependent increase in the expression of all three genes at all irradiation doses on day 7 post-irradiation. This could be due to differences in the normalization technique used in the assays (Morey et al., 2006).

Radiation Exposure Activates DNA Damage Signaling, Induces an Acute G1 Arrest and Leads to Premature Senescence

To consolidate and mechanistically support the endothelial cell response to a single X-ray dose exposure identified during microarray analysis, we performed immunocytochemical...
FIGURE 2 | Irradiation induces dose- and time-dependent repression of the expression of genes controlling mitotic endothelial cell proliferation.

Graphs show changes in gene expression of (A) BUB1, (B) MKI67 and (C) FAM111B. Left graphs show data obtained using microarrays (n = 3) and right graphs using RT-qPCR (n = 6). Of note, FAM111B expression was below detection threshold by RT-qPCR on day 14 post-irradiation. *P < 0.05, †P < 0.005, ‡P < 0.001 compared to sham, using 2-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-hoc test.

stainings for γH2AX and TP53BP1, two markers of DNA DSB repair (Wang et al., 2014) that interact during DNA damage response and are linearly related to DSB number and radiation dose (Wang et al., 2014; Kleiner et al., 2015). Irradiation with a single X-ray dose rapidly and dose-dependently increased the number of γH2AX, TP53BP1 and colocalized γH2AX+TP53BP1
foci (Figures 3A–D, Supplementary Figures 2–5). Foci numbers were maximal 30 min to 1 h after irradiation, followed by an almost complete decline at 24 h, except for 2 Gy where residual foci were probably indicative of lethal DNA damage (Banáth et al., 2010). To study the effect of a single X-ray dose on cellular proliferation, we performed a cell cycle analysis to study the cell cycle progression. On day 1 after irradiation, we detected a dose-dependent increase in the percentage of cells in G0/G1 and a decrease in the percentage of cells in S and G2/M phases, indicating that the cells arrested at the G1 checkpoint (Figure 4A). On day 7 post-irradiation, cells reached a state of contact inhibition and were mostly in G0/G1 in the sham condition. Irradiated cells displayed a cell cycle profile similar to that of control cells, except for the 2 Gy dose, where significantly more cells were in S phase and less in G0/G1, indicating higher cellular proliferation. Increased proliferation was presumably due to the absence of contact inhibition resulting from cell death, as well as a longer and stronger G1 arrest induced at day 1. On day 14 post-irradiation, we detected no difference for sham-irradiated cells vs. cells irradiated with a single dose of 0.05, 0.1 and 0.5 Gy. Compared to sham, endothelial cells irradiated with a single X-ray dose of 2 Gy still had a disturbed cell cycle progression with more cells in G0/G1 and less in G2/M, which may be indicative of premature senescence. In accordance, all radiation doses increased senescence-associated β-galactosidase activity (Dimri et al., 1995; Figure 4B) and insulin-like growth factor-binding protein 7 (IGFBP7) secretion (Wajapeyee et al., 2008; Figure 4C) in TICAE cells on day 14 post-irradiation.

**FIGURE 3 | Irradiation induces endothelial DNA damage signaling.** Graphs represent the amount of γH2AX (A), TP53BP1 (B) and colocalized γH2AX/TP53BP1 (C) foci 30 min, 1, 4, and 24 h after irradiation with a single X-ray dose of 0.05, 0.1, 0.5 and 2 Gy (n = 6). Data show means ± SEM. *P < 0.05, †P < 0.005, ‡P < 0.001 compared to sham on the same day, using 2-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-hoc test. (D) Representative images showing γH2AX (green), TP53BP1 (red) and γH2AX+TP53BP1 (yellow) foci in DAPI stained nuclei (blue) of TICAE cells 30 min after irradiation with a single X-ray dose of 2 Gy.
FIGURE 4 | Irradiation reversibly inhibits cell cycle progression, induces premature endothelial senescence and promotes endothelial cell inflammation. (A) The histogram represents the % of TICAE cells in the different phases of the cell cycle at the indicated times after irradiation with the indicated doses (n = 6). (B) The histogram represents the activity of senescence-associated β-galactosidase (SA-β-gal) 14 days after irradiation at the indicated doses (n = 16). Data are normalized to cell numbers and control values. (C,D,E) Histograms represent the amount of IGFBP7 (C), IL6 (D) and CCL2 (E) secreted by TICAE cells at the indicated times after irradiation at the indicated doses (n = 8). Data are normalized to cell numbers alone (C) or to cell numbers and control values (D,E). Data show means ± SEM. *P < 0.05, †P < 0.005, ‡P < 0.001 compared to sham on the same day, using 2-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-hoc test.
Irradiation Induces IL6 and CCL2 Expression in Endothelial Cells

To confirm the induction of inflammation in irradiated TICAE cells, we focused on two pro-inflammatory cytokines involved in atherosclerosis: IL6 (Schuett et al., 2009) and CCL2 (Harrington, 2000). On days 1, 2 and 7 post-irradiation, IL6 secretion was significantly increased in endothelial cells irradiated with a single X-ray dose of 0.5 and 2 Gy (Figure 4D), while CCL2 secretion increased on days 2 and 7 post-irradiation following a single X-ray dose of 0.5 and 2 Gy (Figure 4E). Both pro-inflammatory cytokines returned to control levels after 14 days, thus indicating the presence of transient radiation-induced inflammation.

Altogether, gene expression, cell cycle and cytokine analysis unraveled an altered proliferation and an increased inflammatory state in endothelial cells at 1, 2, and 7 days after exposure to a single X-ray dose. At 14 days post-irradiation, cellular proliferation and inflammatory state reverted back to levels similar to those observed in non-irradiated (control) samples. However, at this time point the endothelial cells irradiated with a single X-ray dose, ranging from 0.05 to 2 Gy, demonstrated an increased senescence-associated-β-galactosidase activity and IGFBP7 secretion, indicative of premature senescence at all doses under investigation.

DISCUSSION

Our study aimed at investigating whether endothelial cell irradiation induced pro-atherosclerotic processes as suggested by epidemiological data (Shimizu et al., 2010; Darby et al., 2013). Furthermore, we aimed to determine whether low doses (0.05 and 0.1 Gy; doses received during serial diagnostics) of ionizing radiation could induce the same effects as those observed at higher doses (0.5 and 2 Gy; doses received on healthy tissues during radiotherapy) of ionizing radiation. We report dose- and time-dependent repression of endothelial cell cycling with an increased senescence-associated β-galactosidase activity and inflammatory cytokine secretion (Figure 5). These changes are indicative of a pro-atherosclerotic phenotype in endothelial cells.

A predominant effect of IR exposure is cell cycle arrest. Accordingly, in endothelial cells we showed that irradiation with a single X-ray dose ≥0.5 Gy represses the expression of genes that support cell cycle progression. We evidenced a G1 arrest 1 day after single dose irradiation with 0.5 and 2 Gy of X-rays. G1 arrest generally results from p53 activation in response to DNA damage (Bernhard et al., 1995), which was evidenced with enrichment analysis and the formation of γH2AX and TP53BP1 foci. To determine the complexity of the DNA damage after exposure to ionizing radiation, future studies should look at the colocalization between DSB and non-DSB damage (Nikitaki et al., 2016). G1 arrest was followed by a progressive restoration of cell cycling for all doses, except for 2 Gy where cell cycling restoration was followed by an additional G1 arrest, which coincided with increased senescence-associated β-galactosidase activity and IGFBP7 secretion. Thus, exposure to a single X-ray dose induced markers linked to senescence, even at a dose as low as 0.05 Gy. Persistent p53 family signaling due to persistent DNA damage, as seen in our enrichment analysis at day 7, could be responsible for premature senescence (Rufini et al., 2013). Our findings at low doses of X-rays are consistent with previous studies having evidenced premature senescence at higher doses in the same cell line (Lowe and Raj, 2014) and upon chronic exposure to low dose rates in different endothelial cells (Yentrapalli et al., 2013a,b; Rombouts et al., 2014). Senescent endothelial cells are shown to be present in human atherosclerotic plaques (Minamino et al., 2002) and are emerging as a contributor to the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis (Wang et al., 2016b).

Therefore, premature senescence could play a role in radiation-induced CVD (Wang et al., 2016b), and research with cell cycle modulators as radioprotectors is warranted. However, there is no universal marker or hallmark of senescence identified so far that is entirely specific to the senescent state of a cell. Furthermore, not all senescent cells express all the possible senescence markers identified so far. As a consequence, future studies are needed to corroborate our in vitro findings in other in vivo as well as in vivo models. These studies should use highly sensitive techniques adapted to determine the presence of endothelial cell senescence even at low doses of ionizing radiation. One of such innovative and highly sensitive dyes is Sudan-Black-B, a specific lipofuscin stain that can be used to detect both replicative and stress-induced senescence independently of sample preparation (Evangelou et al., 2017).

Inflammation plays a pivotal role in the development, progression and final outcome of atherosclerosis (Libby, 2002). In this study, we evidenced radiation-induced inflammation in endothelial cells after exposure to a single X-ray dose of 0.5 and 2 Gy. Although the cause of this pro-inflammatory reaction is not entirely clear, the release of damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) by stressed and apoptotic cells could be involved. One of the DAMPs released by apoptotic cells, called HMGB1, has been described to induce IL6 and CCL2 secretion as well as the expression of ICAM1 and VCAM1 in endothelial cells (Sun et al., 2013). Binding of these DAMPs to Toll-like receptors on endothelial cells upregulates pro-inflammatory signaling molecules such as NF-κB (Fiuza et al., 2003). In accordance, transcriptional regulation by pro-inflammatory factors RELA and PPAR8, negative regulation of the T-helper 1 type immune response, suppression of MHC1 antigen processing and presentation, and cytokine-mediated signaling were detected with enrichment analyses. Inflamed endothelial cells initiate the formation of atherosclerotic plaques by attracting leukocytes and enabling their extravasation and migration (Libby, 2002). After activation, leukocytes form a dynamic and multilateral relationship with the vascular wall cells, ultimately driving and determining the course of the disease (Libby, 2002). Accordingly, we detected increased levels of pro-atherosclerotic and pro-inflammatory cytokines IL6 and CCL2 in endothelial cells after irradiation with a single X-ray dose of 0.5 and 2 Gy. IL6 contributes to plaque initiation and destabilization via a variety of mechanisms that include the release of other pro-inflammatory cytokines, prothrombotic mediators, acute phase reactants and lipoprotein oxidation (Schuett et al., 2009). CCL2 recruits monocytes into the subendothelial cell layer, a key step in the initiation and development of plaques (Harrington, 2000). Similar to our data, other endothelial cell types were found to produce significant amounts of pro-inflammatory molecules.
FIGURE 5 | Schematic overview based on our experimental findings and literature that explains the possible role of p53 and NF-κB signaling in radiation-induced premature senescence. Irradiation of endothelial cells with a single X-ray dose leads to the formation of DNA damage, resulting in both p53 and NF-κB signaling, well-known signaling responses in irradiated cells ([Yu, 2012](#)). At day 0–2 post-irradiation, induced p53 signaling can result in a G1/S cell cycle block ([Agarwal et al., 1995](#)), which is removed at day 7 post-irradiation. Although not conclusive (question mark symbol), we also suggest the presence of a persistent p53 signaling at this time-point. NF-κB signaling on day 0–2 post-irradiation, on another hand, could induce endothelial inflammation ([Kempe et al., 2005](#)) that persists until day 7 post-irradiation. Both the persistent p53 signaling ([Rufini et al., 2013](#)) and inflammatory state ([Freund et al., 2010; Kojima et al., 2013](#)) may lead to premature senescence observed at day 14 after irradiation with a single X-ray dose. Symbols indicate the irradiation dose at which the described effects were observed: ‡ = 2 Gy, † = 0.5 Gy, * = 0.1 Gy, and $ = 0.05 Gy.

such as IL6, IL8, ICAM1 and VCAM1 following irradiation with a single X-ray dose of 2 Gy ([Van Der Meeren et al., 1999; Haubner et al., 2013](#)). However, these studies did not address long-term effects of radiation exposure. The significance of an inflammatory reaction in irradiated endothelial cells has yet to be determined as it could induce adverse effects on the vascular function ([Hingorani et al., 2000; Paolletti et al., 2004](#)). In this context, previous in vivo experiments indicated that irradiation predisposes ApoE<sup>−/−</sup> obese mice to atherosclerotic plaques with an inflammatory phenotype prone to hemorrhage ([Stewart et al., 2006](#)). These prothrombotic changes may also accelerate atherosclerosis ([Hoving et al., 2012](#)). Interestingly, inflammation has also been identified as a cause of cellular senescence ([Freund et al., 2010](#)), and IL6 has been shown to induce senescence in fibroblasts through IGFBP-related processes ([Kojima et al., 2013](#)). We found similar responses in endothelial cells.

The current study used telomerase-immortalized human coronary artery endothelial cells. These endothelial cells are not tumorigenic (unpublished data), were shown to have a similar response to IR compared to their primary counterparts ([Lowe and Raj, 2014](#)) and display all major endothelial phenotypic markers, such as von Willebrand factor, PECAM1 and cadherin-5 (unpublished data). However, one must stress that our in vitro study did not integrate several biological aspects related to the complexity of the development of radiation-induced CVD in humans. For example, not only macrovascular (e.g., coronary arteries) but also microvascular injury could be at the basis of radiation-induced CVD ([Darby et al., 2010](#)). In the context of
the ProCardio FP7 project, our findings will be integrated with other in vitro, in vivo and epidemiological data to increase our understanding of radiation-induced CVD.

In conclusion, we found that exposure of endothelial cells to a single X-ray dose induces a dose- and time-dependent cell cycle arrest, senescence and inflammation. These findings are indicative of the activation of pro-atherosclerotic processes and bring insights into the underlying molecular mechanisms of the endothelial response to X-ray irradiation. Although we cannot conclude that there is no threshold effect of irradiation-induced cardiovascular risk, our findings give an incentive for further research on the shape of the dose-response curve. Future research should also explore fractionated radiotherapy in its clinical mode and should further investigate the link between the possible release of DAMPs by irradiated endothelial cells and their response to radiation. Elucidation of the role of inflammation and premature senescence after radiation exposure, their timing and their involvement in the onset, progression and outcome of human atherosclerosis is now warranted in order to optimize the radiation protection system and to devise cardiovascular risk-reducing strategies if necessary. Optimization could be sought in the field of modern radiotherapy techniques, which reduce the dose to the normal tissues (MacDonald et al., 2013; Beck et al., 2014; Ngwa et al., 2014), and radiation protectants or mitigators that could reduce the deleterious effects of irradiation on the normal tissues (Raviraj et al., 2014).
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