World Justice Project

World Justice Project
Rule of Law Index®
2020

The World Justice Project Rule of Law Index® 2020

The *World Justice Project (WJP) Rule of Law Index® 2020* report was prepared by the World Justice Project. The Index's conceptual framework and methodology were developed by Juan Carlos Botero, Mark David Agrast, and Alejandro Ponce. Data collection and analysis for the 2020 report was performed by Lindsey Bock, Erin Campbell, Alicia Evangelides, Emma Frerichs, Joshua Fuller, Amy Gryskiewicz, Camilo Gutiérrez Patiño, Matthew Harman, Alexa Hopkins, Ayyub Ibrahim, Sarah Chamness Long, Rachel L. Martin, Jorge A. Morales, Alejandro Ponce, Natalia Rodríguez Cajamarca, Leslie Solís Saravia, Rebecca Silvas, and Adriana Stephan, with the assistance of Claudia Bobadilla, Gabriel Hearn-Desautels, Maura McCrary, Emma Poplack, and Francesca Tinucci. The report was produced under the executive direction of Elizabeth Andersen.

Lead graphic designer for this report was Priyanka Khosla, with assistance from Courtney Babcock.

Lead website designer was Pitch Interactive, with assistance from Priyanka Khosla, Matthew Harman, Courtney Babcock, and Rebecca Silvas.

ISBN *(print version)*: 978-1-951330-34-7
ISBN *(online version)*: 978-1-951330-35-4

The World Justice Project

Board of Directors: Sheikha Abdulla Al-Misnad, Kamel Ayadi, William C. Hubbard, Hassan Bubacar Jallow, Suet-Fern Lee, Mondli Makhanya, Margaret McKeown, William H. Neukom, John Nery, Ellen Gracie Northfleet, James R. Silkenat and Petar Stoyanov.

Directors Emeritus: President Dr. Ashraf Ghani Ahmadzai

Officers: Mark D. Agrast, Vice President; Deborah Enix-Ross, Vice President; Nancy Ward, Vice President; William C. Hubbard, Chairman of the Board; Gerold W. Libby, General Counsel and Secretary; William H. Neukom, Founder and CEO; James R. Silkenat, Director and Treasurer.

Executive Director: Elizabeth Andersen

Chief Research Officer: Alejandro Ponce

The *WJP Rule of Law Index 2020* report was made possible by the generous supporters of the work of the World Justice Project listed in this report on page 203.

© Copyright 2020 by the World Justice Project. The *WJP Rule of Law Index* and the *World Justice Project Rule of Law Index* are trademarks of the World Justice Project. All Rights Reserved. Requests to reproduce this document should be sent to:

WJP Rule of Law Index Permissions
World Justice Project
1025 Vermont Avenue, NW, Suite 1200
Washington, DC 20005 USA

E-mail: wjp@worldjusticeproject.org
Subject line: WJP Rule of Law Index Permissions

World Justice Project

World Justice Project
Rule of Law Index®
2020

01

Section One
About the WJP Rule of Law Index

05 Introduction
06 Overview of Scores and Rankings
08 Features of the *WJP Rule of Law Index*
09 Defining the Rule of Law
11 Conceptual Framework of the *WJP Rule of Law Index*
12 Indicators of the *WJP Rule of Law Index*

02

Section Two
Scores and Rankings

16 Rule of Law Around the World
18 Rule of Law by Region
20 Rule of Law by Income
22 Rule of Law by Factor

03

Section Three
Country Profiles

32 How to Read the Country Profiles

04

Section Four
Behind the Numbers

162 Methodology
169 Contributing Experts
201 Acknowledgements
202 About the World Justice Project

The WJP Rule of Law Index is the world's leading source for original, independent data on the rule of law.

Introduction

The *World Justice Project (WJP) Rule of Law Index® 2020* is the latest report in an annual series measuring the rule of law based on the experiences and perceptions of the general public and in-country legal practitioners and experts worldwide.

Strengthening the rule of law is a major goal of citizens, governments, donors, businesses, and civil society organizations around the world. To be effective, rule of law development requires clarity about the fundamental features that define the rule of law, as well as an adequate basis for its evaluation and measurement.

The *WJP Rule of Law Index 2020* presents a portrait of the rule of law in 128 countries and jurisdictions by providing scores and rankings based on eight factors: Constraints on Government Powers, Absence of Corruption, Open Government, Fundamental Rights, Order and Security, Regulatory Enforcement, Civil Justice, and Criminal Justice.

The scores and rankings in the *WJP Rule of Law Index 2020* are derived from more than 130,000 household surveys and 4,000 legal practitioner and expert surveys worldwide. The Index is the world's most comprehensive dataset of its kind and the only to rely principally on primary data.

The Index is intended for a broad audience that includes policy makers, civil society organizations, academics, citizens, and legal professionals, among others. It is our hope that this diagnostic tool will help identify strengths and weaknesses and encourage policy choices, guide program development, and inform research to strengthen the rule of law within and across these countries and jurisdictions.

Overview of Scores and Rankings

The table below shows the overall scores and rankings of the WJP Rule of Law Index 2020 *in alphabetical order. Scores range from 0 to 1, with 1 indicating the strongest adherence to the rule of law.*

Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Score Change*	Global Rank	Global Rank Change†
Afghanistan	0.36	0.02	122	3 ▲
Albania	0.50	-0.01	78	4 ▼
Algeria	0.49	-0.01	83	8 ▼
Angola	0.43	0.01	110	4 ▲
Antigua and Barbuda	0.63	0.00	34	1 ▼
Argentina	0.58	0.00	48	2 ▼
Australia	0.80	0.00	11	0
Austria	0.82	-0.01	8	1 ▼
The Bahamas	0.61	-0.01	41	2 ▼
Bangladesh	0.41	-0.01	115	2 ▼
Barbados	0.65	0.00	29	0
Belarus	0.51	0.00	68	1 ▼
Belgium	0.79	0.00	14	0
Belize	0.48	0.00	89	1 ▼
Benin	0.50	0.00	81	0
Bolivia	0.38	0.00	121	0
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.52	-0.01	64	2 ▼
Botswana	0.60	0.01	43	1 ▲
Brazil	0.52	-0.02	67	7 ▼
Bulgaria	0.55	0.00	53	1 ▲
Burkina Faso	0.51	0.00	70	1 ▲
Cambodia	0.33	0.00	127	0
Cameroon	0.36	-0.02	124	2 ▼
Canada	0.81	0.00	9	0
Chile	0.67	-0.01	26	1 ▼
China	0.48	-0.01	88	4 ▼
Colombia	0.50	0.00	77	5 ▲
Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.34	0.01	126	0
Costa Rica	0.68	0.00	25	1 ▼
Cote d'Ivoire	0.46	0.00	95	0
Croatia	0.61	0.01	39	3 ▲
Czech Republic	0.73	0.00	18	1 ▲
Denmark	0.90	0.00	1	0
Dominica	0.58	0.00	46	1 ▼
Dominican Republic	0.48	0.01	90	7 ▲
Ecuador	0.49	0.01	86	3 ▲
Egypt	0.36	0.00	125	2 ▼
El Salvador	0.49	0.02	84	2 ▲
Estonia	0.81	0.00	10	0
Ethiopia	0.41	0.02	114	6 ▲
Finland	0.87	0.00	3	0
France	0.73	-0.01	20	3 ▼
The Gambia	0.50	-	74	-
Georgia	0.60	-0.01	42	1 ▼
Germany	0.84	0.00	6	0
Ghana	0.57	-0.01	51	3 ▼
Greece	0.61	-0.01	40	4 ▼
Grenada	0.59	-0.01	44	1 ▼
Guatemala	0.45	-0.01	101	3 ▼
Guinea	0.42	-0.02	111	4 ▼
Guyana	0.50	0.00	73	3 ▲
Honduras	0.40	0.00	116	1 ▲
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.76	0.00	16	0
Hungary	0.53	-0.01	60	2 ▼
India	0.51	0.00	69	0
Indonesia	0.53	0.01	59	4 ▲
Iran	0.43	-0.02	109	3 ▼
Italy	0.66	0.00	27	1 ▲
Jamaica	0.57	0.00	49	1 ▲
Japan	0.78	0.00	15	0
Jordan	0.57	0.00	50	1 ▼
Kazakhstan	0.52	0.00	62	4 ▲
Kenya	0.45	0.00	102	3 ▲
Kosovo	0.54	-	54	-
Kyrgyz Republic	0.48	0.01	87	0
Lebanon	0.45	-0.02	96	4 ▼
Liberia	0.45	-0.01	98	1 ▲
Madagascar	0.44	0.01	105	4 ▲
Malawi	0.52	0.00	65	3 ▲
Malaysia	0.58	0.03	47	4 ▲
Mali	0.44	-0.01	106	2 ▼

*Scores and change in scores are rounded to two decimal places.

†The change in rankings was calculated by comparing the positions of the 126 countries and jurisdictions measured in the 2019 Index with the rankings of the same 126 countries and jurisdictions in 2020, exclusive of the two new additions to the 2020 Index. The two new countries and jurisdictions added to the Index are: Kosovo and The Gambia.

The country scores and rankings for the* WJP Rule of Law Index 2020 *are derived from more than 130,000 household surveys and 4,000 expert surveys in 128 countries and jurisdictions.

Country/Jurisdiction	Overall Score*	Score Change*	Global Rank	Global Rank Change†
Mauritania	0.36	0.01	123	1 ▲
Mauritius	0.61	0.00	38	1 ▼
Mexico	0.44	-0.01	104	3 ▼
Moldova	0.50	0.01	82	3 ▲
Mongolia	0.53	-0.01	57	3 ▼
Morocco	0.50	0.00	79	3 ▼
Mozambique	0.41	-0.01	113	2 ▼
Myanmar	0.42	0.00	112	0
Namibia	0.63	0.00	35	1 ▼
Nepal	0.53	0.00	61	0
Netherlands	0.84	0.00	5	0
New Zealand	0.83	0.00	7	1 ▲
Nicaragua	0.39	-0.01	118	2 ▼
Niger	0.45	0.00	103	0
Nigeria	0.43	0.00	108	0
North Macedonia	0.53	-0.01	58	1 ▼
Norway	0.89	0.00	2	0
Pakistan	0.39	0.00	120	1 ▼
Panama	0.52	0.00	63	2 ▲
Peru	0.50	-0.01	80	7 ▼
Philippines	0.47	0.00	91	0
Poland	0.66	-0.01	28	1 ▼
Portugal	0.70	-0.01	23	1 ▼
Republic of Korea	0.73	0.00	17	1 ▲
Romania	0.63	-0.01	32	1 ▼
Russian Federation	0.47	-0.01	94	4 ▼
Rwanda	0.62	0.01	37	3 ▲
Senegal	0.55	0.00	52	0
Serbia	0.50	0.00	75	5 ▲
Sierra Leone	0.45	0.00	100	0
Singapore	0.79	-0.01	12	1 ▲
Slovenia	0.69	0.01	24	2 ▲
South Africa	0.59	0.01	45	2 ▲
Spain	0.73	0.01	19	2 ▲
Sri Lanka	0.52	0.00	66	2 ▼
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.63	-0.01	33	3 ▼
St. Lucia	0.62	0.00	36	2 ▲
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.64	0.02	31	4 ▲
Suriname	0.50	-0.01	76	5 ▼
Sweden	0.86	0.00	4	0
Tanzania	0.47	0.00	93	0
Thailand	0.51	0.00	71	6 ▲
Togo	0.45	0.00	99	3 ▲
Trinidad and Tobago	0.54	0.00	55	1 ▲
Tunisia	0.54	0.00	56	3 ▲
Turkey	0.43	0.00	107	3 ▲
Uganda	0.40	-0.01	117	2 ▼
Ukraine	0.51	0.00	72	6 ▲
United Arab Emirates	0.65	0.00	30	2 ▲
United Kingdom	0.79	-0.01	13	1 ▼
United States	0.72	0.00	21	1 ▼
Uruguay	0.71	0.00	22	1 ▲
Uzbekistan	0.47	0.01	92	4 ▲
Venezuela, RB	0.27	-0.01	128	0
Vietnam	0.49	0.00	85	2 ▼
Zambia	0.45	-0.01	97	3 ▼
Zimbabwe	0.39	-0.01	119	1 ▼

*Scores and change in scores are rounded to two decimal places.

†The change in rankings was calculated by comparing the positions of the 126 countries and jurisdictions measured in the 2019 Index with the rankings of the same 126 countries and jurisdictions in 2020, exclusive of the two new additions to the 2020 Index. The two new countries and jurisdictions added to the Index are: Kosovo and The Gambia.

Features of the WJP Rule of Law Index

The World Justice Project (WJP) developed the WJP Rule of Law Index *to serve as a quantitative tool for measuring the rule of law in practice. The Index's methodology and comprehensive definition of the rule of law are the products of intensive consultation and vetting with academics, practitioners, and community leaders from more than 100 countries and jurisdictions and 17 professional disciplines.*

The scores and rankings of the eight factors and 44 sub-factors of the Index draw from two sources of data collected by the WJP:

1. ***A General Population Poll (GPP)*** conducted by leading local polling companies, using a representative sample of 1,000[1] respondents in each country and jurisdiction;

2. ***Qualified Respondents' Questionnaires (QRQs)*** consisting of closed-ended questions completed by in-country legal practitioners, experts, and academics with expertise in civil and commercial law; constitutional law, civil liberties, and criminal law; labor law; and public health.[2]

Taken together, these two data sources provide current, original information reflecting the experiences and perceptions of the general public and in-country legal practitioners and experts in 128 countries and jurisdictions worldwide.

Rule of Law in Practice

The Index measures adherence to the rule of law by looking at policy outcomes, such as whether people have access to courts or whether crime is effectively controlled. This stands in contrast to efforts that focus on the written legal code, or the institutional means by which a society may seek to achieve these policy outcomes.

Comprehensive and Multi-Dimensional

While other indices cover particular aspects of the rule of law, such as absence of corruption or human rights, they do not yield a full picture of the state of the rule of law. The *WJP Rule of Law Index* is the only global instrument that looks at the rule of law comprehensively.

Perspective of Ordinary People

The *WJP Rule of Law Index* puts people at its core. It looks at a country's adherence to the rule of law from the perspective of ordinary individuals and their experiences with the rule of law in their societies. The Index examines practical, everyday situations, such as whether people can access public services and whether a dispute among neighbors can be resolved peacefully and cost-effectively by an independent adjudicator.

New Data Anchored in Actual Experiences

The Index is the only comprehensive set of indicators on the rule of law that is based on primary data. The Index's scores are built from the assessments of residents (1,000 respondents per country or jurisdiction) and local legal practitioners and experts, which ensure that the findings reflect the conditions experienced by actual people, including residents from marginalized sectors of society.

Culturally Competent

The Index has been designed to be applied in countries and jurisdictions with vastly different social, cultural, economic, and political systems. No society has ever attained—let alone sustained—a perfect realization of the rule of law. Every country faces the perpetual challenge of building and renewing the structures, institutions, and norms that can support and sustain a rule of law culture.

Country-Specific Data and Online Tools

In addition to this written report, an interactive online platform for country-specific *WJP Rule of Law Index* data is available at: worldjusticeproject.org. The interactive data site invites viewers to browse each of the 128 country and jurisdiction profiles and explore overall and factor scores.

[1] Due to small populations or obstacles to data collection in certain countries and jurisdictions, the sampling plan was adjusted in some cases. One adjustment was to decrease the sample size. For more information on specific countries and jurisdictions and sample sizes, see pages 166-168.

[2] Please see the "Methodology" section on page 162 of this report for more detailed information regarding data collection and score computation.

Defining the Rule of Law

Effective rule of law reduces corruption, combats poverty and disease, and protects people from injustices large and small. It is the foundation for communities of justice, opportunity, and peace—underpinning development, accountable government, and respect for fundamental rights. Traditionally, the rule of law has been viewed as the domain of lawyers and judges. But everyday issues of safety, rights, justice, and governance affect us all; everyone is a stakeholder in the rule of law.

Despite its profound importance for fair and functioning societies, the rule of law is notoriously difficult to define and measure. A simple way of approaching it is to examine a set of outcomes that the rule of law brings to societies, each of which reflects one aspect of the complex concept of the rule of law. The *WJP Rule of Law Index* seeks to embody these outcomes within a simple and coherent framework.

The *WJP Rule of Law Index* captures adherence to the rule of law as defined by the WJP's universal principles (see following page) through a comprehensive and multi-dimensional set of outcome indicators, each of which reflects a particular aspect of this complex concept. The theoretical framework linking these outcome indicators draws upon two main principles pertaining to the relationship between the state and the governed.

The first principle measures whether the law imposes limits on the exercise of power by the state and its agents, as well as individuals and private entities. This is measured in factors one, two, three, and four of the Index. The second principle measures whether the state limits the actions of members of society and fulfills its basic duties toward its population so that the public interest is served, people are protected from violence, and all members of society have access to dispute settlement and grievance mechanisms. This is measured in factors five, six, seven, and eight of the Index. Although broad in scope, this framework assumes very little about the functions of the state, and when it does, it incorporates functions that are recognized by practically all societies, such as the provision of justice or the guarantee of order and security.

The resulting set of indicators is also an effort to strike a balance between what scholars call a "thin" or minimalist conception of the rule of law that focuses on formal, procedural rules, and a "thick" conception that includes substantive characteristics, such as self-governance and various fundamental rights and freedoms. Striking this balance between "thin" and "thick" conceptions of the rule of law enables the Index to apply to different types of social and political systems, including those that lack many of the features that characterize democratic nations, while including sufficient substantive characteristics to render the rule of law as more than a system of rules. The Index recognizes that a system of law that fails to respect core human rights guaranteed under international law is at best "rule by law" and does not deserve to be called a rule of law system.

The rule of law affects all of us in our everyday lives. Although we may not be aware of it, the rule of law is profoundly important—and not just for lawyers or judges. Every sector of society is a stakeholder in the rule of law. Below are a few examples:

Business Environment

Imagine an investor seeking to commit resources abroad. She would probably think twice before investing in a country where corruption is rampant, property rights are ill-defined, and contracts are difficult to enforce. Uneven enforcement of regulations, corruption, insecure property rights, and ineffective means to settle disputes undermine legitimate business and deter both domestic and foreign investment.

Public Works

Consider the bridges, roads, or runways we traverse daily—or the offices and buildings in which we live, work, and play. What would happen if building codes governing design and safety were not enforced or government officials and contractors used low-quality materials in order to pocket the surplus? Weak regulatory enforcement and corruption decrease the security of physical infrastructure and waste scarce resources, which are essential to a thriving economy.

Public Health and Environment

Consider the implications of pollution, wildlife poaching, and deforestation for public health and the environment. What would happen if a company were pouring harmful chemicals into a river in a highly populated area and the environmental inspector ignored these actions in exchange for a bribe? Adherence to the rule of law is essential to holding governments, businesses, civil society organizations, and communities accountable for protecting public health and the environment.

The Four Universal Principles of the Rule of Law

The World Justice Project defines the rule of law as a durable system of laws, institutions, norms, and community commitment that delivers:

Universal Principle One

Accountability

The government as well as private actors are accountable under the law.

Universal Principle Two

Just Laws

The laws are clear, publicized, and stable; are applied evenly; and protect fundamental rights, including the security of persons and contract, property, and human rights.

Universal Principle Three

Open Government

The processes by which the laws are enacted, administered, and enforced are accessible, fair, and efficient.

Universal Principle Four

Accessible and Impartial Dispute Resolution

Justice is delivered timely by competent, ethical, and independent representatives and neutrals who are accessible, have adequate resources, and reflect the makeup of the communities they serve.

The four universal principles are further developed in the following eight factors of the annual* WJP Rule of Law Index*: Constraints on Government Powers, Absence of Corruption, Open Government, Fundamental Rights, Order and Security, Regulatory Enforcement, Civil Justice, and Criminal Justice.

Conceptual Framework of the WJP Rule of Law Index

The conceptual framework of the WJP Rule of Law Index *is comprised of eight factors further disaggregated into 44 sub-factors. These factors and sub-factors are presented below and described in detail in the section that follows.*

Factor One
Constraints on Government Powers

1.1 Government powers are effectively limited by the legislature
1.2 Government powers are effectively limited by the judiciary
1.3 Government powers are effectively limited by independent auditing and review
1.4 Government officials are sanctioned for misconduct
1.5 Government powers are subject to non-governmental checks
1.6 Transition of power is subject to the law

Factor Two
Absence of Corruption

2.1 Government officials in the executive branch do not use public office for private gain
2.2 Government officials in the judicial branch do not use public office for private gain
2.3 Government officials in the police and the military do not use public office for private gain
2.4 Government officials in the legislative branch do not use public office for private gain

Factor Three
Open Government

3.1 Publicized laws and government data
3.2 Right to information
3.3 Civic participation
3.4 Complaint mechanisms

Factor Four
Fundamental Rights

4.1 Equal treatment and absence of discrimination
4.2 The right to life and security of the person is effectively guaranteed
4.3 Due process of the law and rights of the accused
4.4 Freedom of opinion and expression is effectively guaranteed
4.5 Freedom of belief and religion is effectively guaranteed
4.6 Freedom from arbitrary interference with privacy is effectively guaranteed
4.7 Freedom of assembly and association is effectively guaranteed
4.8 Fundamental labor rights are effectively guaranteed

Factor Five
Order and Security

5.1 Crime is effectively controlled
5.2 Civil conflict is effectively limited
5.3 People do not resort to violence to redress personal grievances

Factor Six
Regulatory Enforcement

6.1 Government regulations are effectively enforced
6.2 Government regulations are applied and enforced without improper influence
6.3 Administrative proceedings are conducted without unreasonable delay
6.4 Due process is respected in administrative proceedings
6.5 The government does not expropriate without lawful process and adequate compensation

Factor Seven
Civil Justice

7.1 People can access and afford civil justice
7.2 Civil justice is free of discrimination
7.3 Civil justice is free of corruption
7.4 Civil justice is free of improper government influence
7.5 Civil justice is not subject to unreasonable delay
7.6 Civil justice is effectively enforced
7.7 Alternative dispute resolution mechanisms are accessible, impartial, and effective

Factor Eight
Criminal Justice

8.1 Criminal investigation system is effective
8.2 Criminal adjudication system is timely and effective
8.3 Correctional system is effective in reducing criminal behavior
8.4 Criminal justice system is impartial
8.5 Criminal justice system is free of corruption
8.6 Criminal justice system is free of improper government influence
8.7 Due process of the law and rights of the accused

Informal Justice and the Rule of Law

The conceptual framework of the Index includes a ninth factor on informal justice that is not included in the Index's aggregate scores and rankings. Informal justice systems often play a large role in countries where formal legal institutions are weak, remote, or perceived as ineffective. For this reason, the WJP has devoted significant effort to collecting data on informal justice through our surveys. Nonetheless, the complexities of these systems and the difficulties of systematically measuring their fairness and effectiveness make cross-country assessments extraordinarily challenging.

Factor 9: Informal Justice

9.1 Informal justice is timely and effective
9.2 Informal justice is impartial and free of improper influence
9.3 Informal justice respects and protects fundamental rights

Indicators of the WJP Rule of Law Index

Factor 1: Constraints on Government Powers

1.1 Government powers are effectively limited by the legislature
Measures whether legislative bodies have the ability in practice to exercise effective checks on and oversight of the government.

1.2 Government powers are effectively limited by the judiciary
Measures whether the judiciary has the independence and the ability in practice to exercise effective checks on the government.

1.3 Government powers are effectively limited by independent auditing and review
Measures whether comptrollers or auditors, as well as national human rights ombudsman agencies, have sufficient independence and the ability to exercise effective checks on and oversight of the government.

1.4 Government officials are sanctioned for misconduct
Measures whether government officials in the executive, legislature, judiciary, and the police are investigated, prosecuted, and punished for official misconduct and other violations.

1.5 Government powers are subject to non-governmental checks
Measures whether an independent media, civil society organizations, political parties, and individuals are free to report and comment on government policies without fear of retaliation.

1.6 Transition of power is subject to the law
Measures whether government officials are elected or appointed in accordance with the rules and procedures set forth in the constitution. Where elections take place, it also measures the integrity of the electoral process, including access to the ballot, the absence of intimidation, and public scrutiny of election results.

Factor 2: Absence of Corruption

2.1 Government officials in the executive branch do not use public office for private gain
Measures the prevalence of bribery, informal payments, and other inducements in the delivery of public services and the enforcement of regulations. It also measures whether government procurement and public works contracts are awarded through an open and competitive bidding process, and whether government officials at various levels of the executive branch refrain from embezzling public funds.

2.2 Government officials in the judicial branch do not use public office for private gain
Measures whether judges and judicial officials refrain from soliciting and accepting bribes to perform duties or expedite processes, and whether the judiciary and judicial rulings are free of improper influence by the government, private interests, and criminal organizations.

2.3 Government officials in the police and the military do not use public office for private gain
Measures whether police officers and criminal investigators refrain from soliciting and accepting bribes to perform basic police services or to investigate crimes, and whether government officials in the police and the military are free of improper influence by private interests or criminal organizations.

2.4 Government officials in the legislative branch do not use public office for private gain
Measures whether members of the legislature refrain from soliciting or accepting bribes or other inducements in exchange for political favors or favorable votes on legislation.

Factor 3: Open Government

3.1 Publicized laws and government data

Measures whether basic laws and information on legal rights are publicly available, presented in plain language, and made accessible in all languages. It also measures the quality and accessibility of information published by the government in print or online, and whether administrative regulations, drafts of legislation, and high court decisions are made accessible to the public in a timely manner.

3.2 Right to information

Measures whether requests for information held by a government agency are granted, whether these requests are granted within a reasonable time period, if the information provided is pertinent and complete, and if requests for information are granted at a reasonable cost and without having to pay a bribe. It also measures whether people are aware of their right to information, and whether relevant records are accessible to the public upon request.

3.3 Civic participation

Measures the effectiveness of civic participation mechanisms, including the protection of the freedoms of opinion and expression, assembly and association, and the right to petition the government. It also measures whether people can voice concerns to various government officers, and whether government officials provide sufficient information and notice about decisions affecting the community.

3.4 Complaint mechanisms

Measures whether people are able to bring specific complaints to the government about the provision of public services or the performance of government officers in carrying out their legal duties in practice, and how government officials respond to such complaints.

Factor 4: Fundamental Rights

4.1 Equal treatment and absence of discrimination

Measures whether individuals are free from discrimination—based on socio-economic status, gender, ethnicity, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, or gender identity—with respect to public services, employment, court proceedings, and the justice system.

4.2 The right to life and security of the person is effectively guaranteed

Measures whether the police inflict physical harm upon criminal suspects during arrest and interrogation, and whether political dissidents or members of the media are subjected to unreasonable searches, arrest, detention, imprisonment, threats, abusive treatment, or violence.

4.3 Due process of the law and rights of the accused

Measures whether the basic rights of criminal suspects are respected, including the presumption of innocence and the freedom from arbitrary arrest and unreasonable pre-trial detention. It also measures whether criminal suspects are able to access and challenge evidence used against them, whether they are subject to abusive treatment, and whether they are provided with adequate legal assistance. In addition, it measures whether the basic rights of prisoners are respected once they have been convicted of a crime.

4.4 Freedom of opinion and expression is effectively guaranteed

Measures whether an independent media, civil society organizations, political parties, and individuals are free to report and comment on government policies without fear of retaliation.

4.5 Freedom of belief and religion is effectively guaranteed

Measures whether members of religious minorities can worship and conduct religious practices freely and publicly, and whether non-adherents are protected from having to submit to religious laws.

4.6 Freedom from arbitrary interference with privacy is effectively guaranteed

Measures whether the police or other government officials conduct physical searches without warrants, or intercept electronic communications of private individuals without judicial authorization.

4.7 Freedom of assembly and association is effectively guaranteed

Measures whether people can freely attend community meetings, join political organizations, hold peaceful public demonstrations, sign petitions, and express opinions against government policies and actions without fear of retaliation.

4.8 Fundamental labor rights are effectively guaranteed

Measures the effective enforcement of fundamental labor rights, including freedom of association and the right to collective bargaining, the absence of discrimination with respect to employment, and freedom from forced labor and child labor.

Factor 5: Order and Security

5.1 Crime is effectively controlled

Measures the prevalence of common crimes, including homicide, kidnapping, burglary and theft, armed robbery, and extortion, as well as people's general perceptions of safety in their communities.

5.2 Civil conflict is effectively limited

Measures whether people are effectively protected from armed conflict and terrorism.

5.3 People do not resort to violence to redress personal grievances

Measures whether people resort to intimidation or violence to resolve civil disputes amongst themselves or to seek redress from the government, and whether people are free from mob violence.

Factor 6: Regulatory Enforcement

6.1 Government regulations are effectively enforced
Measures whether government regulations, such as labor, environmental, public health, commercial, and consumer protection regulations are effectively enforced.

6.2 Government regulations are applied and enforced without improper influence
Measures whether the enforcement of regulations is subject to bribery or improper influence by private interests, and whether public services, such as the issuance of permits and licenses and the administration of public health services, are provided without bribery or other inducements.

6.3 Administrative proceedings are conducted without unreasonable delay
Measures whether administrative proceedings at the national and local levels are conducted without unreasonable delay.

6.4 Due process is respected in administrative proceedings
Measures whether the due process of law is respected in administrative proceedings conducted by national and local authorities in issue areas such as the environment, taxes, and labor.

6.5 The government does not expropriate without lawful process and adequate compensation
Measures whether the government respects the property rights of people and corporations, refrains from the illegal seizure of private property, and provides adequate compensation when property is legally expropriated.

Factor 7: Civil Justice

7.1 People can access and afford civil justice
Measures the accessibility and affordability of civil courts, including whether people are aware of available remedies; can access and afford legal advice and representation; and can access the court system without incurring unreasonable fees, encountering unreasonable procedural hurdles, or experiencing physical or linguistic barriers.

7.2 Civil justice is free of discrimination
Measures whether the civil justice system discriminates in practice based on socio-economic status, gender, ethnicity, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, or gender identity.

7.3 Civil justice is free of corruption
Measures whether the civil justice system is free of bribery and improper influence by private interests.

7.4 Civil justice is free of improper government influence
Measures whether the civil justice system is free of improper government or political influence.

7.5 Civil justice is not subject to unreasonable delay
Measures whether civil justice proceedings are conducted and judgments are produced in a timely manner without unreasonable delay.

7.6 Civil justice is effectively enforced
Measures the effectiveness and timeliness of the enforcement of civil justice decisions and judgments in practice.

7.7 Alternative dispute resolution mechanisms are accessible, impartial, and effective
Measures whether alternative dispute resolution mechanisms (ADRs) are affordable, efficient, enforceable, and free of corruption.

Factor 8: Criminal Justice

8.1 Criminal investigation system is effective
Measures whether perpetrators of crimes are effectively apprehended and charged. It also measures whether police, investigators, and prosecutors have adequate resources, are free of corruption, and perform their duties competently.

8.2 Criminal adjudication system is timely and effective
Measures whether perpetrators of crimes are effectively prosecuted and punished. It also measures whether criminal judges and other judicial officers are competent and produce speedy decisions.

8.3 Correctional system is effective in reducing criminal behavior
Measures whether correctional institutions are secure, respect prisoners' rights, and are effective in preventing recidivism.

8.4 Criminal justice system is impartial
Measures whether the police and criminal judges are impartial and whether they discriminate in practice based on socio-economic status, gender, ethnicity, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, or gender identity.

8.5 Criminal justice system is free of corruption
Measures whether the police, prosecutors, and judges are free of bribery and improper influence from criminal organizations.

8.6 Criminal justice system is free of improper government influence
Measures whether the criminal justice system is independent from government or political influence.

8.7 Due process of the law and rights of the accused
Measures whether the basic rights of criminal suspects are respected, including the presumption of innocence and the freedom from arbitrary arrest and unreasonable pre-trial detention. It also measures whether criminal suspects are able to access and challenge evidence used against them, whether they are subject to abusive treatment, and whether they are provided with adequate legal assistance. In addition, it measures whether the basic rights of prisoners are respected once they have been convicted of a crime.

Scores & Rankings

Rule of Law Around the World

The table below shows the overall scores and rankings of the WJP Rule of Law Index 2020 *by country rank. Scores range from 0 to 1, with 1 indicating the strongest adherence to the rule of law.*

Adherence to the rule of law





	Overall Score*	Global Rank
Denmark	0.90	1
Norway	0.89	2
Finland	0.87	3
Sweden	0.86	4
Netherlands	0.84	5
Germany	0.84	6
New Zealand	0.83	7
Austria	0.82	8
Canada	0.81	9
Estonia	0.81	10
Australia	0.80	11
Singapore	0.79	12
United Kingdom	0.79	13
Belgium	0.79	14
Japan	0.78	15
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.76	16
Republic of Korea	0.73	17
Czech Republic	0.73	18
Spain	0.73	19
France	0.73	20
United States	0.72	21
Uruguay	0.71	22
Portugal	0.70	23
Slovenia	0.69	24
Costa Rica	0.68	25
Chile	0.67	26
Italy	0.66	27
Poland	0.66	28
Barbados	0.65	29
United Arab Emirates	0.65	30
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.64	31
Romania	0.63	32
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.63	33

	Overall Score*	Global Rank
Antigua and Barbuda	0.63	34
Namibia	0.63	35
St. Lucia	0.62	36
Rwanda	0.62	37
Mauritius	0.61	38
Croatia	0.61	39
Greece	0.61	40
The Bahamas	0.61	41
Georgia	0.60	42
Botswana	0.60	43
Grenada	0.59	44
South Africa	0.59	45
Dominica	0.58	46
Malaysia	0.58	47
Argentina	0.58	48
Jamaica	0.57	49
Jordan	0.57	50
Ghana	0.57	51
Senegal	0.55	52
Bulgaria	0.55	53
Kosovo	0.54	54
Trinidad and Tobago	0.54	55
Tunisia	0.54	56
Mongolia	0.53	57
North Macedonia	0.53	58
Indonesia	0.53	59
Hungary	0.53	60
Nepal	0.53	61
Kazakhstan	0.52	62
Panama	0.52	63
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.52	64
Malawi	0.52	65
Sri Lanka	0.52	66
Brazil	0.52	67

	Overall Score*	Global Rank
Belarus	0.51	68
India	0.51	69
Burkina Faso	0.51	70
Thailand	0.51	71
Ukraine	0.51	72
Guyana	0.50	73
The Gambia	0.50	74
Serbia	0.50	75
Suriname	0.50	76
Colombia	0.50	77
Albania	0.50	78
Morocco	0.50	79
Peru	0.50	80
Benin	0.50	81
Moldova	0.50	82
Algeria	0.49	83
El Salvador	0.49	84

*Scores are rounded to two decimal places.



	Overall Score*	Global Rank
Vietnam	0.49	85
Ecuador	0.49	86
Kyrgyz Republic	0.48	87
China	0.48	88
Belize	0.48	89
Dominican Republic	0.48	90
Philippines	0.47	91
Uzbekistan	0.47	92
Tanzania	0.47	93
Russian Federation	0.47	94
Cote d'Ivoire	0.46	95
Lebanon	0.45	96
Zambia	0.45	97
Liberia	0.45	98
Togo	0.45	99
Sierra Leone	0.45	100

	Overall Score*	Global Rank
Guatemala	0.45	101
Kenya	0.45	102
Niger	0.45	103
Mexico	0.44	104
Madagascar	0.44	105
Mali	0.44	106
Turkey	0.43	107
Nigeria	0.43	108
Iran	0.43	109
Angola	0.43	110
Guinea	0.42	111
Myanmar	0.42	112
Mozambique	0.41	113
Ethiopia	0.41	114
Bangladesh	0.41	115
Honduras	0.40	116

	Overall Score*	Global Rank
Uganda	0.40	117
Nicaragua	0.39	118
Zimbabwe	0.39	119
Pakistan	0.39	120
Bolivia	0.38	121
Afghanistan	0.36	122
Mauritania	0.36	123
Cameroon	0.36	124
Egypt	0.36	125
Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.34	126
Cambodia	0.33	127
Venezuela, RB	0.27	128

*Scores are rounded to two decimal places.

Rule of Law Around the World
by Region

East Asia & Pacific

Country/Jurisdiction	Regional Rank	Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Overall Score*	Change in Global Rank†
New Zealand	1/15	0.83	7	0.00	1 ▲
Australia	2/15	0.80	11	0.00	0
Singapore	3/15	0.79	12	-0.01	1 ▲
Japan	4/15	0.78	15	0.00	0
Hong Kong SAR, China	5/15	0.76	16	0.00	0
Republic of Korea	6/15	0.73	17	0.00	1 ▲
Malaysia	7/15	0.58	47	0.03	4 ▲
Mongolia	8/15	0.53	57	-0.01	3 ▼
Indonesia	9/15	0.53	59	0.01	4 ▲
Thailand	10/15	0.51	71	0.00	6 ▲
Vietnam	11/15	0.49	85	0.00	2 ▼
China	12/15	0.48	88	-0.01	4 ▼
Philippines	13/15	0.47	91	0.00	0
Myanmar	14/15	0.42	112	0.00	0
Cambodia	15/15	0.33	127	0.00	0

Eastern Europe & Central Asia

Country/Jurisdiction	Regional Rank	Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Overall Score*	Change in Global Rank†
Georgia	1/14	0.60	42	-0.01	1 ▼
Kosovo	2/14	0.54	54		-
North Macedonia	3/14	0.53	58	-0.01	1 ▼
Kazakhstan	4/14	0.52	62	0.00	4 ▲
Bosnia and Herzegovina	5/14	0.52	64	-0.01	2 ▼
Belarus	6/14	0.51	68	0.00	1 ▼
Ukraine	7/14	0.51	72	0.00	6 ▲
Serbia	8/14	0.50	75	0.00	5 ▲
Albania	9/14	0.50	78	-0.01	4 ▼
Moldova	10/14	0.50	82	0.01	3 ▲
Kyrgyz Republic	11/14	0.48	87	0.01	0
Uzbekistan	12/14	0.47	92	0.01	4 ▲
Russian Federation	13/14	0.47	94	-0.01	4 ▼
Turkey	14/14	0.43	107	0.00	3 ▲

Latin America & Caribbean

Country/Jurisdiction	Regional Rank	Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Overall Score*	Change in Global Rank†
Uruguay	1/30	0.71	22	0.00	1 ▲
Costa Rica	2/30	0.68	25	0.00	1 ▼
Chile	3/30	0.67	26	-0.01	1 ▼
Barbados	4/30	0.65	29	0.00	0
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	5/30	0.64	31	0.02	4 ▲
St. Kitts and Nevis	6/30	0.63	33	-0.01	3 ▼
Antigua and Barbuda	7/30	0.63	34	0.00	1 ▼
St. Lucia	8/30	0.62	36	0.00	2 ▲
The Bahamas	9/30	0.61	41	-0.01	2 ▼
Grenada	10/30	0.59	44	-0.01	1 ▼
Dominica	11/30	0.58	46	0.00	1 ▼
Argentina	12/30	0.58	48	0.00	2 ▼
Jamaica	13/30	0.57	49	0.00	1 ▲
Trinidad and Tobago	14/30	0.54	55	0.00	1 ▲
Panama	15/30	0.52	63	0.00	2 ▲
Brazil	16/30	0.52	67	-0.02	7 ▼
Guyana	17/30	0.50	73	0.00	3 ▲
Suriname	18/30	0.50	76	-0.01	5 ▼
Colombia	19/30	0.50	77	0.00	5 ▲
Peru	20/30	0.50	80	-0.01	7 ▼
El Salvador	21/30	0.49	84	0.02	2 ▲
Ecuador	22/30	0.49	86	0.01	3 ▲
Belize	23/30	0.48	89	0.00	1 ▼
Dominican Republic	24/30	0.48	90	0.01	7 ▲
Guatemala	25/30	0.45	101	-0.01	3 ▼
Mexico	26/30	0.44	104	-0.01	3 ▼
Honduras	27/30	0.40	116	0.00	1 ▲
Nicaragua	28/30	0.39	118	-0.01	2 ▼
Bolivia	29/30	0.38	121	0.00	0
Venezuela, RB	30/30	0.27	128	-0.01	0

Adherence to the rule of law



†The change in rankings was calculated by comparing the positions of the 126 countries and jurisdictions measured in the 2019 Index with the rankings of the same 126 countries and jurisdictions in 2020, exclusive of the two new additions to the 2020 Index. The two new countries and jurisdictions added to the Index are: Kosovo and The Gambia.

*Scores and change in scores are rounded to two decimal places

EU, EFTA, & NA *(European Union, European Free Trade Association, and North America)*

Country/Jurisdiction	Regional Rank	Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Overall Score*	Change in Global Rank†
Denmark	1/24	0.90	1	0.00	0
Norway	2/24	0.89	2	0.00	0
Finland	3/24	0.87	3	0.00	0
Sweden	4/24	0.86	4	0.00	0
Netherlands	5/24	0.84	5	0.00	0
Germany	6/24	0.84	6	0.00	0
Austria	7/24	0.82	8	-0.01	1 ▼
Canada	8/24	0.81	9	0.00	0
Estonia	9/24	0.81	10	0.00	0
United Kingdom	10/24	0.79	13	-0.01	1 ▼
Belgium	11/24	0.79	14	0.00	0
Czech Republic	12/24	0.73	18	0.00	1 ▲
Spain	13/24	0.73	19	0.01	2 ▲
France	14/24	0.73	20	-0.01	3 ▼
United States	15/24	0.72	21	0.00	1 ▼
Portugal	16/24	0.70	23	-0.01	1 ▼
Slovenia	17/24	0.69	24	0.01	2 ▲
Italy	18/24	0.66	27	0.00	1 ▲
Poland	19/24	0.66	28	-0.01	1 ▼
Romania	20/24	0.63	32	-0.01	1 ▼
Croatia	21/24	0.61	39	0.01	3 ▲
Greece	22/24	0.61	40	-0.01	4 ▼
Bulgaria	23/24	0.55	53	0.00	1 ▲
Hungary	24/24	0.53	60	-0.01	2 ▼

Middle East & North Africa

Country/Jurisdiction	Regional Rank	Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Overall Score*	Change in Global Rank†
United Arab Emirates	1/8	0.65	30	0.00	2 ▲
Jordan	2/8	0.57	50	0.00	1 ▼
Tunisia	3/8	0.54	56	0.00	3 ▲
Morocco	4/8	0.50	79	0.00	3 ▼
Algeria	5/8	0.49	83	-0.01	8 ▼
Lebanon	6/8	0.45	96	-0.02	4 ▼
Iran	7/8	0.43	109	-0.02	3 ▼
Egypt	8/8	0.36	125	0.00	2 ▼

South Asia

Country/Jurisdiction	Regional Rank	Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Overall Score*	Change in Global Rank†
Nepal	1/6	0.53	61	0.00	0
Sri Lanka	2/6	0.52	66	0.00	2 ▼
India	3/6	0.51	69	0.00	0
Bangladesh	4/6	0.41	115	-0.01	2 ▼
Pakistan	5/6	0.39	120	0.00	1 ▼
Afghanistan	6/6	0.36	122	0.02	3 ▲

Sub-Saharan Africa

Country/Jurisdiction	Regional Rank	Overall Score*	Global Rank	Change in Overall Score*	Change in Global Rank†
Namibia	1/31	0.63	35	0.00	1 ▼
Rwanda	2/31	0.62	37	0.01	3 ▲
Mauritius	3/31	0.61	38	0.00	1 ▼
Botswana	4/31	0.60	43	0.01	1 ▲
South Africa	5/31	0.59	45	0.01	2 ▲
Ghana	6/31	0.57	51	-0.01	3 ▼
Senegal	7/31	0.55	52	0.00	0
Malawi	8/31	0.52	65	0.00	3 ▲
Burkina Faso	9/31	0.51	70	0.00	1 ▲
The Gambia	10/31	0.50	74		-
Benin	11/31	0.50	81	0.00	0
Tanzania	12/31	0.47	93	0.00	0
Cote d'Ivoire	13/31	0.46	95	0.00	0
Zambia	14/31	0.45	97	-0.01	3 ▼
Liberia	15/31	0.45	98	-0.01	1 ▲
Togo	16/31	0.45	99	0.00	3 ▲
Sierra Leone	17/31	0.45	100	0.00	0
Kenya	18/31	0.45	102	0.00	3 ▲
Niger	19/31	0.45	103	0.00	0
Madagascar	20/31	0.44	105	0.01	4 ▲
Mali	21/31	0.44	106	-0.01	2 ▼
Nigeria	22/31	0.43	108	0.00	0
Angola	23/31	0.43	110	0.01	4 ▲
Guinea	24/31	0.42	111	-0.02	4 ▼
Mozambique	25/31	0.41	113	-0.01	2 ▼
Ethiopia	26/31	0.41	114	0.02	6 ▲
Uganda	27/31	0.40	117	-0.01	2 ▼
Zimbabwe	28/31	0.39	119	-0.01	1 ▼
Mauritania	29/31	0.36	123	0.01	1 ▲
Cameroon	30/31	0.36	124	-0.02	2 ▼
Congo, Dem. Rep.	31/31	0.34	126	0.01	0

Adherence to the rule of law



*Scores are rounded to two decimal places

Rule of Law Around the World
by Income

Low Income

Country/Jurisdiction	Low Income Rank	Overall Score*	Global Rank
Rwanda	1/19	0.62	37
Nepal	2/19	0.53	61
Malawi	3/19	0.52	65
Burkina Faso	4/19	0.51	70
The Gambia	5/19	0.50	74
Benin	6/19	0.50	81
Tanzania	7/19	0.47	93
Liberia	8/19	0.45	98
Togo	9/19	0.45	99
Sierra Leone	10/19	0.45	100
Niger	11/19	0.45	103
Madagascar	12/19	0.44	105
Mali	13/19	0.44	106
Guinea	14/19	0.42	111
Mozambique	15/19	0.41	113
Ethiopia	16/19	0.41	114
Uganda	17/19	0.40	117
Afghanistan	18/19	0.36	122
Congo, Dem. Rep.	19/19	0.34	126

Lower Middle Income

Country/Jurisdiction	Lower Middle Income Rank	Overall Score*	Global Rank
Ghana	1/30	0.57	51
Senegal	2/30	0.55	52
Tunisia	3/30	0.54	56
Mongolia	4/30	0.53	57
Indonesia	5/30	0.53	59
India	6/30	0.51	69
Ukraine	7/30	0.51	72
Morocco	8/30	0.50	79
Moldova	9/30	0.50	82
El Salvador	10/30	0.49	84
Vietnam	11/30	0.49	85
Kyrgyz Republic	12/30	0.48	87
Philippines	13/30	0.47	91
Uzbekistan	14/30	0.47	92
Cote d'Ivoire	15/30	0.46	95
Zambia	16/30	0.45	97
Kenya	17/30	0.45	102
Nigeria	18/30	0.43	108
Angola	19/30	0.43	110
Myanmar	20/30	0.42	112
Bangladesh	21/30	0.41	115
Honduras	22/30	0.40	116
Nicaragua	23/30	0.39	118
Zimbabwe	24/30	0.39	119
Pakistan	25/30	0.39	120
Bolivia	26/30	0.38	121
Mauritania	27/30	0.36	123
Cameroon	28/30	0.36	124
Egypt	29/30	0.36	125
Cambodia	30/30	0.33	127

Adherence to the rule of law

Weaker — *Stronger*

0.0 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.0

*Scores are rounded to two decimal places

Upper Middle Income

Country/Jurisdiction	Upper Middle Income Rank	Overall Score*	Global Rank
Costa Rica	1/42	0.68	25
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	2/42	0.64	31
Romania	3/42	0.63	32
Namibia	4/42	0.63	35
St. Lucia	5/42	0.62	36
Mauritius	6/42	0.61	38
Georgia	7/42	0.60	42
Botswana	8/42	0.60	43
Grenada	9/42	0.59	44
South Africa	10/42	0.59	45
Dominica	11/42	0.58	46
Malaysia	12/42	0.58	47
Argentina	13/42	0.58	48
Jamaica	14/42	0.57	49
Jordan	15/42	0.57	50
Bulgaria	16/42	0.55	53
Kosovo	17/42	0.54	54
North Macedonia	18/42	0.53	58
Kazakhstan	19/42	0.52	62
Bosnia and Herzegovina	20/42	0.52	64
Sri Lanka	21/42	0.52	66
Brazil	22/42	0.52	67
Belarus	23/42	0.51	68
Thailand	24/42	0.51	71
Guyana	25/42	0.50	73
Serbia	26/42	0.50	75
Suriname	27/42	0.50	76
Colombia	28/42	0.50	77
Albania	29/42	0.50	78
Peru	30/42	0.50	80
Algeria	31/42	0.49	83
Ecuador	32/42	0.49	86
China	33/42	0.48	88
Belize	34/42	0.48	89
Dominican Republic	35/42	0.48	90
Russian Federation	36/42	0.47	94
Lebanon	37/42	0.45	96
Guatemala	38/42	0.45	101
Mexico	39/42	0.44	104
Turkey	40/42	0.43	107
Iran	41/42	0.43	109
Venezuela, RB	42/42	0.27	128

High Income

Country/Jurisdiction	High Income Rank	Overall Score*	Global Rank
Denmark	1/37	0.90	1
Norway	2/37	0.89	2
Finland	3/37	0.87	3
Sweden	4/37	0.86	4
Netherlands	5/37	0.84	5
Germany	6/37	0.84	6
New Zealand	7/37	0.83	7
Austria	8/37	0.82	8
Canada	9/37	0.81	9
Estonia	10/37	0.81	10
Australia	11/37	0.80	11
Singapore	12/37	0.79	12
United Kingdom	13/37	0.79	13
Belgium	14/37	0.79	14
Japan	15/37	0.78	15
Hong Kong SAR, China	16/37	0.76	16
Republic of Korea	17/37	0.73	17
Czech Republic	18/37	0.73	18
Spain	19/37	0.73	19
France	20/37	0.73	20
United States	21/37	0.72	21
Uruguay	22/37	0.71	22
Portugal	23/37	0.70	23
Slovenia	24/37	0.69	24
Chile	25/37	0.67	26
Italy	26/37	0.66	27
Poland	27/37	0.66	28
Barbados	28/37	0.65	29
United Arab Emirates	29/37	0.65	30
St. Kitts and Nevis	30/37	0.63	33
Antigua and Barbuda	31/37	0.63	34
Croatia	32/37	0.61	39
Greece	33/37	0.61	40
The Bahamas	34/37	0.61	41
Trinidad and Tobago	35/37	0.54	55
Hungary	36/37	0.53	60
Panama	37/37	0.52	63

Adherence to the rule of law

Weaker — *Stronger*

0.0 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.0

*Scores are rounded to two decimal places

Constraints on Government Powers

Factor 1 measures the extent to which those who govern are bound by law. It comprises the means, both constitutional and institutional, by which the powers of the government and its officials and agents are limited and held accountable under the law. It also includes non-governmental checks on the government's power, such as a free and independent press. For a further breakdown of Constraints on Government Powers by sub-factor, please refer to page 12.

Country/Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank
Denmark	0.94	1
Norway	0.94	2
Finland	0.92	3
Sweden	0.87	4
Netherlands	0.86	5
Germany	0.85	6
New Zealand	0.85	7
Austria	0.85	8
Canada	0.84	9
Estonia	0.83	10
Belgium	0.83	11
Australia	0.82	12
United Kingdom	0.82	13
Portugal	0.78	14
Costa Rica	0.76	15
Uruguay	0.76	16
Spain	0.74	17
Czech Republic	0.73	18
France	0.73	19
Chile	0.72	20
Republic of Korea	0.72	21
United States	0.71	22
Italy	0.71	23
Japan	0.71	24
Namibia	0.69	25
Indonesia	0.68	26
Greece	0.68	27
Ghana	0.68	28
Singapore	0.67	29
Slovenia	0.65	30
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.65	31
Jamaica	0.64	32
South Africa	0.63	33
Barbados	0.63	34
Antigua and Barbuda	0.62	35
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.62	36
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.62	37
Romania	0.62	38
Botswana	0.62	39
Argentina	0.61	40
India	0.61	41
Tunisia	0.61	42
The Bahamas	0.61	43
The Gambia	0.60	44
Rwanda	0.60	45
Nepal	0.60	46
Mauritius	0.60	47
Peru	0.60	48
St. Lucia	0.59	49
Malaysia	0.58	50
Poland	0.58	51
Grenada	0.58	52
Croatia	0.58	53
Senegal	0.56	54
Trinidad and Tobago	0.56	55
Burkina Faso	0.56	56
Malawi	0.56	57
Guyana	0.56	58
United Arab Emirates	0.56	59
Sri Lanka	0.55	60
Georgia	0.55	61
Panama	0.54	62
Colombia	0.53	63
Brazil	0.53	64
Mongolia	0.53	65
Dominica	0.53	66
Benin	0.53	67
Nigeria	0.53	68
Guatemala	0.52	69
Liberia	0.52	70
Kosovo	0.52	71
Tanzania	0.51	72
Sierra Leone	0.51	73
Morocco	0.51	74
Philippines	0.50	75
Lebanon	0.50	76
El Salvador	0.50	77
Kenya	0.49	78
Pakistan	0.49	79
Jordan	0.49	80
Suriname	0.48	81
Thailand	0.48	82
Algeria	0.48	83
Kyrgyz Republic	0.48	84
Ecuador	0.48	85
Mali	0.47	86
North Macedonia	0.47	87
Dominican Republic	0.47	88
Mexico	0.46	89
Ukraine	0.46	90
Bulgaria	0.46	91
Moldova	0.46	92
Madagascar	0.46	93
Zambia	0.46	94
Vietnam	0.45	95
Albania	0.45	96
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.45	97
Myanmar	0.45	98
Belize	0.44	99
Afghanistan	0.44	100
Mozambique	0.43	101
Kazakhstan	0.42	102
Angola	0.42	103
Cote d'Ivoire	0.41	104
Uganda	0.40	105
Niger	0.40	106
Bangladesh	0.40	107
Hungary	0.40	108
Guinea	0.39	109
Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.39	110
Serbia	0.39	111
Ethiopia	0.39	112
Cameroon	0.37	113
Iran	0.37	114
Russian Federation	0.36	115
Bolivia	0.36	116
Togo	0.36	117
Belarus	0.36	118
Honduras	0.34	119
Uzbekistan	0.33	120
Mauritania	0.33	121
Zimbabwe	0.33	122
China	0.32	123
Turkey	0.30	124
Cambodia	0.29	125
Egypt	0.27	126
Nicaragua	0.26	127
Venezuela, RB	0.17	128

Adherence to the rule of law

Weaker — *Stronger*

0.0 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.0

*Scores are rounded to two decimal places

Absence of Corruption

Factor 2 measures the absence of corruption in government. The factor considers three forms of corruption: bribery, improper influence by public or private interests, and misappropriation of public funds or other resources. These three forms of corruption are examined with respect to government officers in the executive branch, the judiciary, the military, police, and the legislature.
For a further breakdown of Absence of Corruption by sub-factor, please refer to page 12.

Country/Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank
Denmark	0.95	1
Norway	0.94	2
Singapore	0.91	3
Sweden	0.91	4
Finland	0.89	5
Netherlands	0.88	6
New Zealand	0.87	7
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.84	8
Canada	0.83	9
United Kingdom	0.82	10
Germany	0.82	11
Austria	0.82	12
Japan	0.82	13
Australia	0.82	14
Belgium	0.80	15
United Arab Emirates	0.80	16
Estonia	0.79	17
France	0.74	18
United States	0.74	19
Poland	0.73	20
Spain	0.73	21
Uruguay	0.73	22
Portugal	0.72	23
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.70	24
Barbados	0.70	25
Chile	0.69	26
Georgia	0.68	27
Republic of Korea	0.67	28
Slovenia	0.66	29
Costa Rica	0.66	30
Czech Republic	0.65	31
Grenada	0.65	32
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.64	33
St. Lucia	0.64	34
Italy	0.63	35
Rwanda	0.63	36
The Bahamas	0.63	37
Antigua and Barbuda	0.61	38
Jordan	0.60	39
Dominica	0.60	40
Malaysia	0.60	41
Botswana	0.59	42
Mauritius	0.59	43
Croatia	0.58	44
Greece	0.56	45
Romania	0.56	46
Jamaica	0.55	47
Belarus	0.54	48
Senegal	0.54	49
Namibia	0.53	50
China	0.53	51
Argentina	0.52	52
Hungary	0.51	53
Thailand	0.49	54
Trinidad and Tobago	0.49	55
Tunisia	0.49	56
Kazakhstan	0.49	57
South Africa	0.48	58
The Gambia	0.48	59
Turkey	0.47	60
Sri Lanka	0.47	61
Kosovo	0.46	62
Myanmar	0.46	63
Philippines	0.46	64
Ethiopia	0.46	65
Guyana	0.46	66
Algeria	0.45	67
Suriname	0.45	68
Brazil	0.45	69
North Macedonia	0.44	70
Bulgaria	0.44	71
Serbia	0.44	72
Morocco	0.44	73
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.44	74
Belize	0.43	75
Iran	0.43	76
Russian Federation	0.43	77
Togo	0.43	78
Angola	0.43	79
Burkina Faso	0.42	80
Tanzania	0.42	81
Panama	0.42	82
Mongolia	0.42	83
Vietnam	0.42	84
India	0.42	85
Ecuador	0.42	86
Malawi	0.41	87
Nepal	0.41	88
Uzbekistan	0.40	89
Niger	0.40	90
Egypt	0.40	91
Indonesia	0.39	92
Ghana	0.39	93
Dominican Republic	0.39	94
Colombia	0.39	95
Benin	0.38	96
Zambia	0.38	97
El Salvador	0.38	98
Mozambique	0.37	99
Albania	0.37	100
Lebanon	0.36	101
Bangladesh	0.36	102
Cote d'Ivoire	0.36	103
Sierra Leone	0.35	104
Nicaragua	0.34	105
Moldova	0.34	106
Mali	0.34	107
Guatemala	0.34	108
Nigeria	0.33	109
Ukraine	0.33	110
Peru	0.33	111
Liberia	0.32	112
Kyrgyz Republic	0.32	113
Honduras	0.32	114
Zimbabwe	0.32	115
Pakistan	0.31	116
Venezuela, RB	0.31	117
Afghanistan	0.30	118
Mauritania	0.29	119
Guinea	0.29	120
Mexico	0.27	121
Kenya	0.27	122
Madagascar	0.27	123
Bolivia	0.27	124
Uganda	0.26	125
Cameroon	0.26	126
Cambodia	0.24	127
Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.16	128

Adherence to the rule of law

Weaker — *Stronger*

0.0 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.0

*Scores are rounded to two decimal places

Open Government

Factor 3 measures the openness of government defined by the extent to which a government shares information, empowers people with tools to hold the government accountable, and fosters citizen participation in public policy deliberations. This factor measures whether basic laws and information on legal rights are publicized and evaluates the quality of information published by the government. For a further breakdown of Open Government by sub-factor, please refer to page 13.

Country/Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank
Norway	0.89	1
Denmark	0.88	2
Sweden	0.86	3
Finland	0.86	4
Netherlands	0.82	5
New Zealand	0.82	6
Australia	0.81	7
Estonia	0.81	8
Canada	0.81	9
Germany	0.79	10
United Kingdom	0.79	11
France	0.78	12
United States	0.78	13
Belgium	0.76	14
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.73	15
Uruguay	0.72	16
Austria	0.71	17
Chile	0.71	18
Spain	0.71	19
Republic of Korea	0.71	20
Costa Rica	0.70	21
Japan	0.68	22
Czech Republic	0.67	23
Portugal	0.66	24
Slovenia	0.65	25
Singapore	0.64	26
Colombia	0.64	27
Argentina	0.64	28
Italy	0.63	29
South Africa	0.62	30
Brazil	0.61	31
India	0.61	32
Croatia	0.61	33
Greece	0.61	34
Romania	0.61	35
Mexico	0.60	36
Poland	0.60	37
Panama	0.59	38
Rwanda	0.58	39
Namibia	0.58	40
Georgia	0.57	41
Ukraine	0.57	42
Jamaica	0.56	43
Kosovo	0.56	44
Trinidad and Tobago	0.56	45
Bulgaria	0.56	46
Peru	0.55	47
Moldova	0.55	48
Indonesia	0.55	49
Mauritius	0.54	50
Kyrgyz Republic	0.54	51
El Salvador	0.53	52
Dominican Republic	0.53	53
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.52	54
Philippines	0.52	55
Antigua and Barbuda	0.52	56
Barbados	0.52	57
Nepal	0.52	58
Sri Lanka	0.51	59
Guatemala	0.51	60
Thailand	0.51	61
The Bahamas	0.50	62
Dominica	0.50	63
Ghana	0.50	64
Ecuador	0.50	65
Botswana	0.50	66
St. Lucia	0.49	67
Tunisia	0.49	68
Russian Federation	0.49	69
Mongolia	0.49	70
North Macedonia	0.48	71
Kenya	0.48	72
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.47	73
Burkina Faso	0.47	74
Liberia	0.47	75
Serbia	0.47	76
Albania	0.47	77
Vietnam	0.46	78
Madagascar	0.46	79
Hungary	0.46	80
Kazakhstan	0.46	81
Grenada	0.46	82
Mali	0.46	83
Guyana	0.45	84
Belize	0.45	85
Morocco	0.44	86
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.44	87
Senegal	0.43	88
Malawi	0.43	89
Bolivia	0.43	90
Pakistan	0.43	91
China	0.43	92
Bangladesh	0.43	93
Nigeria	0.43	94
Malaysia	0.42	95
Honduras	0.42	96
Turkey	0.42	97
Jordan	0.42	98
Benin	0.41	99
Afghanistan	0.41	100
Lebanon	0.40	101
Uganda	0.40	102
Sierra Leone	0.40	103
Suriname	0.39	104
Tanzania	0.38	105
Zambia	0.38	106
Cote d'Ivoire	0.38	107
Nicaragua	0.37	108
Myanmar	0.37	109
Angola	0.37	110
Guinea	0.37	111
Belarus	0.36	112
United Arab Emirates	0.36	113
The Gambia	0.36	114
Mozambique	0.35	115
Niger	0.35	116
Algeria	0.34	117
Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.34	118
Cameroon	0.34	119
Uzbekistan	0.33	120
Ethiopia	0.32	121
Zimbabwe	0.32	122
Togo	0.30	123
Iran	0.28	124
Venezuela, RB	0.28	125
Cambodia	0.27	126
Mauritania	0.27	127
Egypt	0.22	128

Adherence to the rule of law



*Scores are rounded to two decimal places

Fundamental Rights

Factor 4 recognizes that a system of positive law that fails to respect core human rights established under international law is at best "rule by law," and does not deserve to be called a rule of law system. Since there are many other indices that address human rights, and because it would be impossible for the Index to assess adherence to the full range of rights, this factor focuses on a relatively modest menu of rights that are firmly established under the United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights and are most closely related to rule of law concerns. For a further breakdown of Fundamental Rights by sub-factor, please refer to page 13.

Country/Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank
Denmark	0.92	1
Norway	0.91	2
Finland	0.91	3
Sweden	0.87	4
Germany	0.85	5
Austria	0.85	6
Netherlands	0.84	7
Belgium	0.84	8
Canada	0.82	9
Estonia	0.82	10
New Zealand	0.81	11
Australia	0.79	12
United Kingdom	0.79	13
Czech Republic	0.79	14
Costa Rica	0.79	15
Spain	0.79	16
Portugal	0.78	17
Uruguay	0.78	18
Japan	0.77	19
Slovenia	0.75	20
France	0.73	21
Republic of Korea	0.73	22
Italy	0.73	23
Barbados	0.73	24
Chile	0.72	25
United States	0.72	26
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.70	27
Romania	0.70	28
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.70	29
Argentina	0.70	30
Antigua and Barbuda	0.70	31
Singapore	0.68	32
Croatia	0.67	33
Namibia	0.66	34
St. Lucia	0.66	35
The Bahamas	0.66	36
Greece	0.65	37
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.65	38
Poland	0.64	39
Jamaica	0.64	40
South Africa	0.64	41
Panama	0.64	42
Mauritius	0.64	43
Grenada	0.63	44
Dominica	0.63	45
Peru	0.62	46
Ghana	0.61	47
Georgia	0.61	48
Ukraine	0.61	49
Bulgaria	0.61	50
Senegal	0.60	51
Kosovo	0.60	52
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.59	53
Albania	0.59	54
North Macedonia	0.59	55
Trinidad and Tobago	0.59	56
Botswana	0.58	57
Malawi	0.58	58
Hungary	0.58	59
Dominican Republic	0.58	60
Mongolia	0.57	61
Serbia	0.57	62
Tunisia	0.57	63
Burkina Faso	0.57	64
Guyana	0.56	65
Suriname	0.55	66
The Gambia	0.55	67
Moldova	0.54	68
Benin	0.54	69
Guatemala	0.54	70
Mali	0.54	71
Sri Lanka	0.54	72
El Salvador	0.54	73
Colombia	0.53	74
Liberia	0.52	75
Nepal	0.52	76
Ecuador	0.52	77
Mexico	0.52	78
Indonesia	0.52	79
Malaysia	0.52	80
Rwanda	0.51	81
Kyrgyz Republic	0.51	82
Brazil	0.51	83
India	0.51	84
Sierra Leone	0.51	85
Guinea	0.50	86
Belize	0.50	87
Lebanon	0.50	88
Niger	0.49	89
Thailand	0.49	90
Jordan	0.48	91
Madagascar	0.47	92
Algeria	0.47	93
Belarus	0.47	94
Kenya	0.47	95
Bolivia	0.46	96
Togo	0.46	97
United Arab Emirates	0.46	98
Nigeria	0.46	99
Kazakhstan	0.46	100
Vietnam	0.46	101
Cote d'Ivoire	0.45	102
Morocco	0.45	103
Russian Federation	0.44	104
Tanzania	0.43	105
Zambia	0.42	106
Philippines	0.41	107
Afghanistan	0.41	108
Mozambique	0.41	109
Uzbekistan	0.41	110
Honduras	0.41	111
Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.41	112
Mauritania	0.40	113
Angola	0.39	114
Pakistan	0.38	115
Nicaragua	0.37	116
Uganda	0.37	117
Cameroon	0.36	118
Cambodia	0.35	119
Ethiopia	0.35	120
Zimbabwe	0.34	121
Bangladesh	0.32	122
Turkey	0.32	123
Venezuela, RB	0.31	124
Myanmar	0.31	125
China	0.29	126
Egypt	0.28	127
Iran	0.22	128

Adherence to the rule of law



*Scores are rounded to two decimal places

Order and Security

Factor 5 measures how well a society ensures the security of persons and property. Security is one of the defining aspects of any rule of law society and is a fundamental function of the state. It is also a precondition for the realization of the rights and freedoms that the rule of law seeks to advance. For a further breakdown of Order and Security by sub-factor, please refer to page 13.

Country/Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank
Singapore	0.93	1
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.93	2
Denmark	0.93	3
Norway	0.93	4
Japan	0.92	5
Sweden	0.92	6
United Arab Emirates	0.91	7
Finland	0.91	8
Canada	0.91	9
Austria	0.90	10
Uzbekistan	0.90	11
Czech Republic	0.90	12
Hungary	0.89	13
New Zealand	0.89	14
Estonia	0.89	15
Slovenia	0.89	16
Germany	0.89	17
Australia	0.87	18
Poland	0.86	19
Netherlands	0.85	20
Croatia	0.85	21
Rwanda	0.84	22
Republic of Korea	0.84	23
United Kingdom	0.84	24
Kosovo	0.84	25
Romania	0.83	26
Spain	0.82	27
United States	0.81	28
Belgium	0.81	29
Belarus	0.80	30
Moldova	0.80	31
North Macedonia	0.79	32
Barbados	0.79	33
Malaysia	0.79	34
Georgia	0.79	35
Albania	0.79	36
Portugal	0.79	37
Antigua and Barbuda	0.78	38
Kazakhstan	0.78	39
China	0.78	40
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.78	41
Serbia	0.77	42
Bulgaria	0.77	43
Vietnam	0.77	44
Mongolia	0.77	45
Grenada	0.77	46
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.76	47
Benin	0.76	48
Jordan	0.76	49
Kyrgyz Republic	0.76	50
Mauritius	0.75	51
Ukraine	0.75	52
France	0.75	53
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.75	54
The Bahamas	0.75	55
Italy	0.75	56
St. Lucia	0.74	57
Dominica	0.74	58
Algeria	0.74	59
Namibia	0.74	60
Togo	0.73	61
Nepal	0.73	62
Iran	0.73	63
Guinea	0.72	64
Ghana	0.72	65
Greece	0.71	66
Thailand	0.71	67
Botswana	0.71	68
The Gambia	0.71	69
Sri Lanka	0.71	70
Madagascar	0.70	71
Belize	0.70	72
Nicaragua	0.70	73
Uruguay	0.69	74
Zambia	0.69	75
Senegal	0.69	76
Turkey	0.69	77
Tanzania	0.69	78
Malawi	0.69	79
Tunisia	0.68	80
Costa Rica	0.68	81
Indonesia	0.68	82
Morocco	0.68	83
Cote d'Ivoire	0.68	84
Trinidad and Tobago	0.68	85
Chile	0.67	86
Zimbabwe	0.67	87
Cambodia	0.67	88
Sierra Leone	0.67	89
Panama	0.66	90
Russian Federation	0.66	91
Lebanon	0.66	92
Honduras	0.66	93
Myanmar	0.65	94
Mauritania	0.65	95
El Salvador	0.65	96
Philippines	0.65	97
Suriname	0.65	98
Niger	0.64	99
Brazil	0.64	100
Ethiopia	0.63	101
Dominican Republic	0.63	102
Bangladesh	0.63	103
Ecuador	0.63	104
Peru	0.63	105
Guyana	0.62	106
Burkina Faso	0.62	107
Argentina	0.62	108
Jamaica	0.61	109
South Africa	0.61	110
Liberia	0.61	111
Angola	0.60	112
Uganda	0.59	113
India	0.59	114
Bolivia	0.59	115
Guatemala	0.59	116
Kenya	0.58	117
Egypt	0.57	118
Colombia	0.56	119
Mozambique	0.55	120
Mexico	0.53	121
Mali	0.51	122
Venezuela, RB	0.48	123
Cameroon	0.48	124
Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.48	125
Pakistan	0.37	126
Nigeria	0.35	127
Afghanistan	0.29	128

Adherence to the rule of law

Weaker — *Stronger*

0.0 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.0

*Scores are rounded to two decimal places

Regulatory Enforcement

Factor 6 measures the extent to which regulations are fairly and effectively implemented and enforced. Regulations, both legal and administrative, structure behaviors within and outside of the government. This factor does not assess which activities a government chooses to regulate, nor does it consider how much regulation of a particular activity is appropriate. Rather, it examines how regulations are implemented and enforced. For a further breakdown of Regulatory Enforcement by sub-factor, please refer to page 14.

Country/Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank
Denmark	0.90	1
Norway	0.87	2
Singapore	0.87	3
Finland	0.86	4
New Zealand	0.85	5
Germany	0.85	6
Netherlands	0.85	7
Sweden	0.84	8
Austria	0.84	9
Australia	0.82	10
Canada	0.81	11
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.81	12
United Kingdom	0.81	13
Belgium	0.80	14
Estonia	0.79	15
Japan	0.79	16
France	0.76	17
United Arab Emirates	0.73	18
Republic of Korea	0.72	19
United States	0.71	20
Czech Republic	0.71	21
Spain	0.70	22
Uruguay	0.70	23
Costa Rica	0.67	24
Slovenia	0.65	25
Chile	0.64	26
Mauritius	0.63	27
Portugal	0.62	28
Poland	0.62	29
Botswana	0.61	30
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.61	31
Italy	0.61	32
Antigua and Barbuda	0.60	33
Jordan	0.60	34
Barbados	0.60	35
St. Lucia	0.60	36
Rwanda	0.59	37
Namibia	0.58	38
Greece	0.58	39
Malaysia	0.57	40
Georgia	0.57	41
Romania	0.57	42
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.57	43
Senegal	0.57	44
South Africa	0.56	45
Morocco	0.56	46
Grenada	0.56	47
Croatia	0.56	48
Indonesia	0.55	49
Ghana	0.55	50
Jamaica	0.54	51
Tunisia	0.53	52
Bulgaria	0.53	53
Argentina	0.53	54
Dominica	0.53	55
Colombia	0.52	56
Cote d'Ivoire	0.52	57
El Salvador	0.51	58
Trinidad and Tobago	0.51	59
Brazil	0.51	60
Panama	0.51	61
Nepal	0.51	62
Belarus	0.51	63
The Bahamas	0.51	64
Kazakhstan	0.51	65
Benin	0.50	66
China	0.49	67
Peru	0.49	68
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.49	69
Mali	0.49	70
Togo	0.49	71
Ecuador	0.49	72
Russian Federation	0.49	73
India	0.49	74
Philippines	0.48	75
North Macedonia	0.48	76
Serbia	0.48	77
Burkina Faso	0.48	78
Sri Lanka	0.47	79
Niger	0.47	80
Suriname	0.47	81
Mongolia	0.47	82
Thailand	0.47	83
Guyana	0.47	84
Algeria	0.47	85
Hungary	0.47	86
Myanmar	0.46	87
Iran	0.46	88
Vietnam	0.45	89
Malawi	0.45	90
Mexico	0.45	91
Lebanon	0.45	92
Kenya	0.45	93
Tanzania	0.44	94
Kyrgyz Republic	0.44	95
Uzbekistan	0.44	96
Kosovo	0.44	97
Albania	0.44	98
Nigeria	0.43	99
Ukraine	0.43	100
Moldova	0.43	101
Liberia	0.43	102
Belize	0.43	103
Nicaragua	0.43	104
Zambia	0.43	105
Uganda	0.42	106
Guatemala	0.42	107
Dominican Republic	0.42	108
Cameroon	0.42	109
Turkey	0.41	110
Bangladesh	0.41	111
Mozambique	0.40	112
Angola	0.40	113
Bolivia	0.40	114
Pakistan	0.39	115
Ethiopia	0.39	116
Honduras	0.39	117
The Gambia	0.38	118
Sierra Leone	0.37	119
Madagascar	0.37	120
Afghanistan	0.37	121
Guinea	0.37	122
Egypt	0.37	123
Zimbabwe	0.36	124
Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.35	125
Mauritania	0.28	126
Cambodia	0.27	127
Venezuela, RB	0.20	128

Adherence to the rule of law



*Scores are rounded to two decimal places

Civil Justice

Factor 7 measures whether ordinary people can resolve their grievances peacefully and effectively through the civil justice system. It measures whether civil justice systems are accessible and affordable as well as free of discrimination, corruption, and improper influence by public officials. It examines whether court proceedings are conducted without unreasonable delays and whether decisions are enforced effectively. It also measures the accessibility, impartiality, and effectiveness of alternative dispute resolution mechanisms. For a further breakdown of Civil Justice by sub-factor, please refer to page 14.

Country/Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank
Denmark	0.86	1
Norway	0.85	2
Netherlands	0.85	3
Germany	0.85	4
Sweden	0.82	5
Singapore	0.82	6
Finland	0.81	7
Estonia	0.80	8
Japan	0.79	9
New Zealand	0.78	10
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.77	11
Austria	0.77	12
Republic of Korea	0.76	13
Australia	0.76	14
Belgium	0.76	15
Uruguay	0.74	16
United Kingdom	0.71	17
France	0.71	18
Canada	0.70	19
Czech Republic	0.69	20
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.69	21
Portugal	0.68	22
St. Lucia	0.68	23
United Arab Emirates	0.68	24
Spain	0.67	25
Namibia	0.67	26
Antigua and Barbuda	0.66	27
Slovenia	0.66	28
Barbados	0.64	29
Rwanda	0.64	30
Mauritius	0.64	31
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.64	32
Chile	0.63	33
Poland	0.63	34
Malaysia	0.63	35
United States	0.62	36
Jordan	0.62	37
Costa Rica	0.62	38
Romania	0.62	39
Kazakhstan	0.62	40
South Africa	0.61	41
Botswana	0.61	42
Belarus	0.60	43
Grenada	0.60	44
Argentina	0.59	45
Ghana	0.59	46
Greece	0.59	47
Dominica	0.59	48
Trinidad and Tobago	0.58	49
The Bahamas	0.57	50
Senegal	0.57	51
Croatia	0.57	52
Algeria	0.56	53
Italy	0.56	54
Malawi	0.56	55
Bulgaria	0.56	56
North Macedonia	0.55	57
Iran	0.55	58
Cote d'Ivoire	0.54	59
Morocco	0.54	60
Ukraine	0.54	61
Russian Federation	0.54	62
Brazil	0.54	63
China	0.53	64
Guyana	0.53	65
El Salvador	0.53	66
Georgia	0.53	67
Mongolia	0.53	68
The Gambia	0.52	69
Jamaica	0.51	70
Belize	0.51	71
Uzbekistan	0.51	72
Serbia	0.51	73
Tunisia	0.50	74
Nigeria	0.50	75
Tanzania	0.50	76
Ecuador	0.49	77
Suriname	0.49	78
Colombia	0.49	79
Kyrgyz Republic	0.49	80
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.48	81
Nepal	0.48	82
Panama	0.48	83
Thailand	0.48	84
Togo	0.48	85
Moldova	0.48	86
Albania	0.48	87
Zambia	0.47	88
Vietnam	0.46	89
Burkina Faso	0.46	90
Kenya	0.46	91
Philippines	0.46	92
Kosovo	0.46	93
Mozambique	0.46	94
Indonesia	0.46	95
Hungary	0.45	96
Dominican Republic	0.45	97
India	0.45	98
Sri Lanka	0.45	99
Peru	0.45	100
Zimbabwe	0.45	101
Niger	0.45	102
Turkey	0.44	103
Angola	0.44	104
Sierra Leone	0.44	105
Liberia	0.44	106
Madagascar	0.43	107
Uganda	0.43	108
Ethiopia	0.42	109
Lebanon	0.42	110
Mali	0.42	111
Honduras	0.41	112
Benin	0.41	113
Cameroon	0.41	114
Guinea	0.41	115
Mexico	0.39	116
Egypt	0.39	117
Pakistan	0.38	118
Bangladesh	0.38	119
Nicaragua	0.38	120
Guatemala	0.37	121
Myanmar	0.37	122
Afghanistan	0.37	123
Mauritania	0.36	124
Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.35	125
Bolivia	0.33	126
Venezuela, RB	0.27	127
Cambodia	0.26	128

Adherence to the rule of law



*Scores are rounded to two decimal places

Criminal Justice

Factor 8 evaluates a country's criminal justice system. An effective criminal justice system is a key aspect of the rule of law, as it constitutes the conventional mechanism to redress grievances and bring action against individuals for offenses against society. An assessment of the delivery of criminal justice should take into consideration the entire system, including the police, lawyers, prosecutors, judges, and prison officers. For a further breakdown of Criminal Justice by sub-factor, please refer to page 14.

Country/Jurisdiction	Factor Score*	Factor Rank
Norway	0.83	1
Finland	0.83	2
Denmark	0.83	3
Sweden	0.80	4
Austria	0.80	5
Singapore	0.79	6
Germany	0.79	7
Netherlands	0.76	8
Japan	0.76	9
Canada	0.74	10
Australia	0.73	11
United Kingdom	0.72	12
New Zealand	0.72	13
Hong Kong SAR, China	0.72	14
Estonia	0.71	15
Czech Republic	0.71	16
Belgium	0.71	17
Republic of Korea	0.71	18
United Arab Emirates	0.67	19
Spain	0.67	20
Italy	0.64	21
United States	0.63	22
France	0.62	23
The Bahamas	0.61	24
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.61	25
Poland	0.60	26
Portugal	0.59	27
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.59	28
Botswana	0.59	29
Barbados	0.57	30
Costa Rica	0.57	31
Jordan	0.57	32
Uruguay	0.56	33
Antigua and Barbuda	0.56	34
Slovenia	0.56	35
Chile	0.56	36
Romania	0.56	37
Malaysia	0.56	38
Namibia	0.55	39
Dominica	0.55	40
St. Lucia	0.55	41
Rwanda	0.54	42
Mauritius	0.53	43
South Africa	0.53	44
Suriname	0.52	45
Georgia	0.52	46
Croatia	0.51	47
Grenada	0.50	48
Jamaica	0.50	49
Greece	0.50	50
Ghana	0.49	51
Mongolia	0.49	52
Burkina Faso	0.49	53
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.48	54
Belarus	0.47	55
Kosovo	0.47	56
Hungary	0.47	57
Kazakhstan	0.46	58
Malawi	0.46	59
Vietnam	0.46	60
Bulgaria	0.45	61
China	0.45	62
North Macedonia	0.45	63
Senegal	0.45	64
Sri Lanka	0.44	65
Uzbekistan	0.44	66
Nepal	0.44	67
Argentina	0.44	68
Algeria	0.43	69
Thailand	0.43	70
Benin	0.43	71
Albania	0.43	72
The Gambia	0.42	73
Tunisia	0.42	74
Zambia	0.41	75
Nigeria	0.40	76
Serbia	0.40	77
India	0.40	78
Indonesia	0.39	79
Kenya	0.38	80
Tanzania	0.38	81
Guyana	0.38	82
Morocco	0.38	83
Niger	0.38	84
Turkey	0.38	85
Egypt	0.37	86
Iran	0.37	87
Zimbabwe	0.37	88
Moldova	0.36	89
Ukraine	0.36	90
Belize	0.36	91
Angola	0.36	92
Cote d'Ivoire	0.36	93
Togo	0.36	94
Dominican Republic	0.36	95
Madagascar	0.36	96
Ecuador	0.36	97
Pakistan	0.35	98
Sierra Leone	0.35	99
Lebanon	0.35	100
Colombia	0.34	101
Ethiopia	0.34	102
Brazil	0.34	103
Bangladesh	0.33	104
Kyrgyz Republic	0.33	105
Panama	0.33	106
Peru	0.33	107
Mozambique	0.33	108
Trinidad and Tobago	0.32	109
Russian Federation	0.31	110
Liberia	0.31	111
Philippines	0.31	112
Uganda	0.31	113
Afghanistan	0.31	114
Guinea	0.31	115
El Salvador	0.31	116
Mauritania	0.30	117
Guatemala	0.30	118
Mexico	0.30	119
Mali	0.30	120
Nicaragua	0.29	121
Myanmar	0.27	122
Honduras	0.26	123
Cambodia	0.26	124
Congo, Dem. Rep.	0.26	125
Cameroon	0.24	126
Bolivia	0.22	127
Venezuela, RB	0.13	128

Adherence to the rule of law



*Scores are rounded to two decimal places



Country Profiles

How to Read the Country Profiles

This section presents profiles for the 128 countries and jurisdictions included in the WJP Rule of Law Index® 2020 *report. Each profile presents the featured country's scores for each of the* WJP Rule of Law Index's *factors and sub-factors, and draws comparisons between the scores of the featured country and the scores of other indexed countries in the same regional and income groups.*

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score (strong adherence to rule of law) and 0 signifies the lowest possible score (weak adherence to rule of law). The country profiles consist of four sections, outlined below.

Displays the country's overall rule of law score; its overall regional, income, and global ranks; and its change in score and rank from the 2019 edition of the Index.

Section 1

Section 2

Displays the featured country's individual factor scores, along with its regional, income, and global group rankings. The regional, income, and global rankings are distributed across three tiers — low, medium, and high — as indicated by the color of the box where the score is found.



Section 4

Presents the individual sub-factor scores underlying each of the factors listed in Section 3 of the country profile.

Each of the 44 sub-factors is represented by a gray line drawn from the center to the periphery of the circle. The center of the circle corresponds to the worst possible score for each sub-factor (0), and the outer edge of the circle marks the best possible score for each sub-factor (1).

The featured country's scores for 2020 are represented by the purple line. The featured country's scores for 2019 are represented by the gray line.

Section 3

Displays the country's disaggregated scores for each of the sub-factors that compose the *WJP Rule of Law Index*.

The featured country's score is represented by the purple bar and labeled at the end of the bar. The average score of the country's region is represented by the orange line. The average score of the country's income group is represented by the green line.

Afghanistan

Region: South Asia
Income Group: Low

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.36	6/6	18/19	122/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.02 ▲	3 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.44	0.00	5/6	12/19	100/128
Absence of Corruption	0.30	0.03*	6/6	15/19	118/128
Open Government	0.41	0.04*	6/6	9/19	100/128
Fundamental Rights	0.41	0.02	4/6	15/19	108/128
Order and Security	0.29	-0.01	6/6	19/19	128/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.37	0.02	6/6	17/19	121/128
Civil Justice	0.37	-0.01	6/6	18/19	123/128
Criminal Justice	0.31	0.03	6/6	16/19	114/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Afghanistan South Asia Low

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.59
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.35
1.3	Independent auditing	0.45
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.32
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.59
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.34

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.38
2.2	In the judiciary	0.19
2.3	In the police/military	0.41
2.4	In the legislature	0.24

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.24
3.2	Right to information	0.40
3.3	Civic participation	0.56
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.44

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.36
4.2	Right to life & security	0.29
4.3	Due process of law	0.33
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.59
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.41
4.6	Right to privacy	0.31
4.7	Freedom of association	0.60
4.8	Labor rights	0.41

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.45
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.04
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.38

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.34
6.2	No improper influence	0.41
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.48
6.4	Respect for due process	0.25
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.38

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.49
7.2	No discrimination	0.18
7.3	No corruption	0.17
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.31
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.39
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.56
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.50

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.31
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.41
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.32
8.4	No discrimination	0.21
8.5	No corruption	0.26
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.30
8.7	Due process of law	0.33

Albania

Region: Eastern Europe & Central Asia
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.5	9/14	29/42	78/128

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▾	-4 ▾

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.45	-0.03*	7/14	32/42	96/128
Absence of Corruption	0.37	0.02	11/14	37/42	100/128
Open Government	0.47	0.00	10/14	28/42	77/128
Fundamental Rights	0.59	-0.02	5/14	17/42	54/128
Order and Security	0.79	-0.01	7/14	7/42	36/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.44	0.00	11/14	37/42	98/128
Civil Justice	0.48	0.03	12/14	33/42	87/128
Criminal Justice	0.43	-0.04	8/14	27/42	72/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Albania Eastern Europe & Central Asia Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.48
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.30
1.3	Independent auditing	0.55
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.39
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.53
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.47

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.39
2.2	In the judiciary	0.32
2.3	In the police/military	0.52
2.4	In the legislature	0.23

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.46
3.2	Right to information	0.43
3.3	Civic participation	0.44
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.54

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.59
4.2	Right to life & security	0.71
4.3	Due process of law	0.53
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.53
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.79
4.6	Right to privacy	0.56
4.7	Freedom of association	0.51
4.8	Labor rights	0.49

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.87
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.49

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.44
6.2	No improper influence	0.45
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.55
6.4	Respect for due process	0.38
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.38

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.53
7.2	No discrimination	0.51
7.3	No corruption	0.28
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.33
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.53
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.52
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.62

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.43
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.41
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.41
8.4	No discrimination	0.55
8.5	No corruption	0.33
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.33
8.7	Due process of law	0.53

Algeria

Region: Middle East & North Africa
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.49	5/8	31/42	83/128

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	-8 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.48	0.00	6/8	26/42	83/128
Absence of Corruption	0.45	0.00	4/8	24/42	67/128
Open Government	0.34	-0.07	6/8	40/42	117/128
Fundamental Rights	0.47	-0.01	4/8	35/42	93/128
Order and Security	0.74	0.02	3/8	19/42	59/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.47	-0.05	5/8	32/42	85/128
Civil Justice	0.56	0.01	3/8	16/42	53/128
Criminal Justice	0.43	0.00	3/8	25/42	69/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Algeria Middle East & North Africa Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.51
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.43
1.3	Independent auditing	0.60
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.50
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.41
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.44

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.40
2.2	In the judiciary	0.54
2.3	In the police/military	0.49
2.4	In the legislature	0.39

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.24
3.2	Right to information	0.31
3.3	Civic participation	0.38
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.45

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.62
4.2	Right to life & security	0.56
4.3	Due process of law	0.54
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.41
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.16
4.6	Right to privacy	0.46
4.7	Freedom of association	0.42
4.8	Labor rights	0.58

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.67
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.94
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.61

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.42
6.2	No improper influence	0.52
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.47
6.4	Respect for due process	0.44
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.48

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.55
7.2	No discrimination	0.61
7.3	No corruption	0.60
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.41
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.73
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.49
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.56

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.29
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.44
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.47
8.4	No discrimination	0.62
8.5	No corruption	0.44
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.24
8.7	Due process of law	0.54

Angola

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Lower Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.43	23/31	19/30	110/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.01 ▲	4 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.42	0.03	21/31	19/30	103/128
Absence of Corruption	0.43	0.00	10/31	6/30	79/128
Open Government	0.37	0.05	21/31	24/30	110/128
Fundamental Rights	0.39	0.01	27/31	22/30	114/128
Order and Security	0.60	0.02*	24/31	23/30	112/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.40	-0.01	23/31	23/30	113/128
Civil Justice	0.44	0.00	20/31	20/30	104/128
Criminal Justice	0.36	0.01	18/31	17/30	92/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Angola Sub-Saharan Africa Lower Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.52
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.40
1.3	Independent auditing	0.37
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.34
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.43
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.45

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.35
2.2	In the judiciary	0.51
2.3	In the police/military	0.47
2.4	In the legislature	0.37

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.12
3.2	Right to information	0.40
3.3	Civic participation	0.38
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.56

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.50
4.2	Right to life & security	0.30
4.3	Due process of law	0.33
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.43
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.52
4.6	Right to privacy	0.19
4.7	Freedom of association	0.43
4.8	Labor rights	0.41

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.48
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.32

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.41
6.2	No improper influence	0.49
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.29
6.4	Respect for due process	0.46
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.36

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.48
7.2	No discrimination	0.60
7.3	No corruption	0.50
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.33
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.25
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.31
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.63

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.36
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.38
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.25
8.4	No discrimination	0.37
8.5	No corruption	0.49
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.34
8.7	Due process of law	0.33

Antigua and Barbuda

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.63	7/30	31/37	34/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	-1 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.62	0.01	6/30	29/37	35/128
Absence of Corruption	0.61	0.00	10/30	32/37	38/128
Open Government	0.52	-0.01	15/30	32/37	56/128
Fundamental Rights	0.70	0.00	8/30	27/37	31/128
Order and Security	0.78	0.00	2/30	28/37	38/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.60	0.02	5/30	30/37	33/128
Civil Justice	0.66	0.00	4/30	25/37	27/128
Criminal Justice	0.56	0.00	7/30	30/37	34/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Antigua and Barbuda — Latin America & Caribbean — High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.61
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.71
1.3	Independent auditing	0.59
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.46
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.60
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.74

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.58
2.2	In the judiciary	0.83
2.3	In the police/military	0.73
2.4	In the legislature	0.30

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.29
3.2	Right to information	0.58
3.3	Civic participation	0.63
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.57

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.65
4.2	Right to life & security	0.81
4.3	Due process of law	0.60
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.60
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.66
4.6	Right to privacy	0.68
4.7	Freedom of association	0.72
4.8	Labor rights	0.86

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.81
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.54

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.56
6.2	No improper influence	0.82
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.46
6.4	Respect for due process	0.51
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.67

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.75
7.2	No discrimination	0.63
7.3	No corruption	0.79
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.77
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.41
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.47
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.80

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.50
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.56
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.52
8.4	No discrimination	0.49
8.5	No corruption	0.68
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.57
8.7	Due process of law	0.60

Argentina

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.58	12/30	13/42	48/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	-2 ▾

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.61	-0.01	9/30	8/42	40/128
Absence of Corruption	0.52	0.00	13/30	16/42	52/128
Open Government	0.64	0.01	5/30	3/42	28/128
Fundamental Rights	0.70	-0.01	7/30	4/42	30/128
Order and Security	0.62	0.00	24/30	36/42	108/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.53	0.00	11/30	15/42	54/128
Civil Justice	0.59	0.01	10/30	14/42	45/128
Criminal Justice	0.44	-0.02	14/30	24/42	68/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Argentina Latin America & Caribbean Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.65
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.46
1.3	Independent auditing	0.70
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.39
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.70
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.79

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.49
2.2	In the judiciary	0.67
2.3	In the police/military	0.61
2.4	In the legislature	0.30

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.70
3.2	Right to information	0.53
3.3	Civic participation	0.68
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.63

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.65
4.2	Right to life & security	0.79
4.3	Due process of law	0.57
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.70
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.76
4.6	Right to privacy	0.69
4.7	Freedom of association	0.77
4.8	Labor rights	0.65

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.56
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.29

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.47
6.2	No improper influence	0.60
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.49
6.4	Respect for due process	0.50
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.58

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.73
7.2	No discrimination	0.70
7.3	No corruption	0.57
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.52
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.31
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.55
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.77

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.27
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.41
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.35
8.4	No discrimination	0.54
8.5	No corruption	0.48
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.43
8.7	Due process of law	0.57

Australia

Region: East Asia & Pacific
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.8	2/15	11/37	11/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	—

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.82	-0.01	2/15	12/37	12/128
Absence of Corruption	0.82	0.00	5/15	14/37	14/128
Open Government	0.81	-0.01	2/15	7/37	7/128
Fundamental Rights	0.79	0.00	2/15	12/37	12/128
Order and Security	0.87	0.00	5/15	17/37	18/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.82	0.01	3/15	10/37	10/128
Civil Justice	0.76	-0.01	6/15	14/37	14/128
Criminal Justice	0.73	0.00	3/15	11/37	11/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Australia East Asia & Pacific High

Constraints on Government Powers



Absence of Corruption



Open Government



Fundamental Rights



Order and Security



Regulatory Enforcement



Civil Justice



Criminal Justice



Austria

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.82	7/24	8/37	8/128

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	-1 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.85	0.00	7/24	8/37	8/128
Absence of Corruption	0.82	-0.02	9/24	12/37	12/128
Open Government	0.71	-0.01	13/24	17/37	17/128
Fundamental Rights	0.85	0.00	6/24	6/37	6/128
Order and Security	0.90	0.00	6/24	10/37	10/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.84	-0.01	7/24	9/37	9/128
Civil Justice	0.77	-0.01	8/24	12/37	12/128
Criminal Justice	0.80	0.00	5/24	5/37	5/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Austria EU & EFTA & North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.84
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.84
1.3	Independent auditing	0.78
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.83
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.84
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.94

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.78
2.2	In the judiciary	0.94
2.3	In the police/military	0.93
2.4	In the legislature	0.63

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.71
3.2	Right to information	0.63
3.3	Civic participation	0.81
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.71

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.73
4.2	Right to life & security	0.97
4.3	Due process of law	0.83
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.84
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.82
4.6	Right to privacy	0.92
4.7	Freedom of association	0.88
4.8	Labor rights	0.82

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.92
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.79

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.87
6.2	No improper influence	0.93
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.72
6.4	Respect for due process	0.84
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.82

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.72
7.2	No discrimination	0.69
7.3	No corruption	0.86
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.87
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.67
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.82
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.74

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.65
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.81
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.81
8.4	No discrimination	0.70
8.5	No corruption	0.88
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.92
8.7	Due process of law	0.83

The Bahamas

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.61	9/30	34/37	41/128

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	-2 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.61	0.00	10/30	31/37	43/128
Absence of Corruption	0.63	-0.02	9/30	31/37	37/128
Open Government	0.50	0.00	18/30	34/37	62/128
Fundamental Rights	0.66	-0.01	10/30	30/37	36/128
Order and Security	0.75	0.00	6/30	31/37	55/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.51	0.00	18/30	36/37	64/128
Civil Justice	0.57	0.00	13/30	33/37	50/128
Criminal Justice	0.61	-0.01	1/30	24/37	24/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



The Bahamas — Latin America & Caribbean — High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.57
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.62
1.3	Independent auditing	0.52
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.44
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.69
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.79

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.54
2.2	In the judiciary	0.79
2.3	In the police/military	0.78
2.4	In the legislature	0.41

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.31
3.2	Right to information	0.45
3.3	Civic participation	0.70
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.56

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.49
4.2	Right to life & security	0.82
4.3	Due process of law	0.56
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.69
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.74
4.6	Right to privacy	0.52
4.7	Freedom of association	0.78
4.8	Labor rights	0.64

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.76
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.48

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.42
6.2	No improper influence	0.65
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.40
6.4	Respect for due process	0.54
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.53

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.62
7.2	No discrimination	0.49
7.3	No corruption	0.66
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.61
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.46
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.44
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.74

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.50
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.55
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.46
8.4	No discrimination	0.59
8.5	No corruption	0.78
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.86
8.7	Due process of law	0.56

Bangladesh

Region: South Asia
Income Group: Lower Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.41	4/6	21/30	115/128

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▾	-2 ▾

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.40	-0.02	6/6	21/30	107/128
Absence of Corruption	0.36	-0.01	4/6	16/30	102/128
Open Government	0.43	-0.01	5/6	17/30	93/128
Fundamental Rights	0.32	-0.01	6/6	28/30	122/128
Order and Security	0.63	0.02*	3/6	22/30	103/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.41	-0.01	4/6	22/30	111/128
Civil Justice	0.38	-0.01	5/6	25/30	119/128
Criminal Justice	0.33	0.00	5/6	20/30	104/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Bangladesh South Asia Lower Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.54
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.48
1.3	Independent auditing	0.37
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.37
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.28
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.34

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.41
2.2	In the judiciary	0.35
2.3	In the police/military	0.30
2.4	In the legislature	0.38

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.35
3.2	Right to information	0.55
3.3	Civic participation	0.33
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.48

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.42
4.2	Right to life & security	0.19
4.3	Due process of law	0.28
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.28
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.50
4.6	Right to privacy	0.16
4.7	Freedom of association	0.34
4.8	Labor rights	0.42

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.76
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.92
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.20

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.46
6.2	No improper influence	0.42
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.30
6.4	Respect for due process	0.32
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.57

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.45
7.2	No discrimination	0.32
7.3	No corruption	0.36
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.45
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.20
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.35
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.55

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.41
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.45
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.35
8.4	No discrimination	0.23
8.5	No corruption	0.35
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.26
8.7	Due process of law	0.28

Barbados

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.65	4/30	28/37	29/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	—

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.63	-0.02	5/30	28/37	34/128
Absence of Corruption	0.70	0.01	3/30	24/37	25/128
Open Government	0.52	0.00	16/30	33/37	57/128
Fundamental Rights	0.73	-0.01	3/30	23/37	24/128
Order and Security	0.79	0.02	1/30	26/37	33/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.60	-0.03	6/30	31/37	35/128
Civil Justice	0.64	-0.01	5/30	27/37	29/128
Criminal Justice	0.57	0.00	4/30	28/37	30/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Barbados Latin America & Caribbean High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.70
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.71
1.3	Independent auditing	0.43
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.42
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.71
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.80

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.63
2.2	In the judiciary	0.89
2.3	In the police/military	0.82
2.4	In the legislature	0.45

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.25
3.2	Right to information	0.52
3.3	Civic participation	0.70
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.61

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.62
4.2	Right to life & security	0.83
4.3	Due process of law	0.57
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.71
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.81
4.6	Right to privacy	0.79
4.7	Freedom of association	0.77
4.8	Labor rights	0.70

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.89
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.46

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.55
6.2	No improper influence	0.77
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.32
6.4	Respect for due process	0.67
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.69

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.73
7.2	No discrimination	0.67
7.3	No corruption	0.79
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.75
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.31
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.52
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.72

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.51
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.43
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.56
8.4	No discrimination	0.43
8.5	No corruption	0.77
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.76
8.7	Due process of law	0.57

Belarus

Region: Eastern Europe & Central Asia
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.51	6/14	23/42	68/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	-1 ▾

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.36	-0.01	12/14	39/42	118/128
Absence of Corruption	0.54	-0.01	2/14	13/42	48/128
Open Government	0.36	0.00	13/14	39/42	112/128
Fundamental Rights	0.47	0.00	10/14	36/42	94/128
Order and Security	0.80	0.00	3/14	3/42	30/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.51	0.00	2/14	19/42	63/128
Civil Justice	0.60	0.00	2/14	12/42	43/128
Criminal Justice	0.47	-0.01	3/14	17/42	55/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Belarus Eastern Europe & Central Asia Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.27
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.28
1.3	Independent auditing	0.50
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.54
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.25
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.29

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.45
2.2	In the judiciary	0.73
2.3	In the police/military	0.66
2.4	In the legislature	0.34

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.24
3.2	Right to information	0.36
3.3	Civic participation	0.33
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.52

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.71
4.2	Right to life & security	0.62
4.3	Due process of law	0.43
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.25
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.61
4.6	Right to privacy	0.32
4.7	Freedom of association	0.36
4.8	Labor rights	0.46

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.88
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.53

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.64
6.2	No improper influence	0.57
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.64
6.4	Respect for due process	0.35
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.34

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.61
7.2	No discrimination	0.68
7.3	No corruption	0.62
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.27
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.83
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.53
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.66

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.53
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.60
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.43
8.4	No discrimination	0.61
8.5	No corruption	0.56
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.13
8.7	Due process of law	0.43

Belgium

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.79	11/24	14/37	14/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	—

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.83	0.00	10/24	11/37	11/128
Absence of Corruption	0.80	0.00	10/24	15/37	15/128
Open Government	0.76	-0.01	12/24	14/37	14/128
Fundamental Rights	0.84	0.00	8/24	8/37	8/128
Order and Security	0.81	-0.01	19/24	25/37	29/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.80	-0.01	10/24	14/37	14/128
Civil Justice	0.76	0.00	9/24	15/37	15/128
Criminal Justice	0.71	-0.01	12/24	17/37	17/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Belgium EU & EFTA & North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.83
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.78
1.3	Independent auditing	0.90
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.75
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.80
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.90

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.77
2.2	In the judiciary	0.94
2.3	In the police/military	0.89
2.4	In the legislature	0.60

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.70
3.2	Right to information	0.64
3.3	Civic participation	0.82
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.88

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.78
4.2	Right to life & security	0.95
4.3	Due process of law	0.79
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.80
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.82
4.6	Right to privacy	0.90
4.7	Freedom of association	0.85
4.8	Labor rights	0.81

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.85
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.89
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.69

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.74
6.2	No improper influence	0.88
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.68
6.4	Respect for due process	0.78
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.91

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.72
7.2	No discrimination	0.80
7.3	No corruption	0.83
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.87
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.45
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.82
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.82

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.58
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.67
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.54
8.4	No discrimination	0.68
8.5	No corruption	0.83
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.86
8.7	Due process of law	0.79

Belize

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.48	23/30	34/42	89/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	-1 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.44	-0.02	26/30	34/42	99/128
Absence of Corruption	0.43	-0.01	18/30	31/42	75/128
Open Government	0.45	0.00	24/30	32/42	85/128
Fundamental Rights	0.50	0.01	26/30	31/42	87/128
Order and Security	0.70	-0.03	9/30	25/42	72/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.43	0.01	24/30	38/42	103/128
Civil Justice	0.51	0.01	18/30	26/42	71/128
Criminal Justice	0.36	0.02	16/30	32/42	91/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Belize Latin America & Caribbean Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.50
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.51
1.3	Independent auditing	0.26
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.24
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.52
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.61

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.38
2.2	In the judiciary	0.60
2.3	In the police/military	0.52
2.4	In the legislature	0.23

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.36
3.2	Right to information	0.39
3.3	Civic participation	0.52
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.53

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.51
4.2	Right to life & security	0.58
4.3	Due process of law	0.38
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.52
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.54
4.6	Right to privacy	0.38
4.7	Freedom of association	0.57
4.8	Labor rights	0.52

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.66
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.44

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.44
6.2	No improper influence	0.56
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.37
6.4	Respect for due process	0.30
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.48

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.52
7.2	No discrimination	0.47
7.3	No corruption	0.60
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.50
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.47
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.39
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.63

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.33
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.35
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.20
8.4	No discrimination	0.38
8.5	No corruption	0.48
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.41
8.7	Due process of law	0.38

Benin

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.5	11/31	6/19	81/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	—

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.53	-0.02	11/31	6/19	67/128
Absence of Corruption	0.38	0.00	16/31	10/19	96/128
Open Government	0.41	0.02	15/31	8/19	99/128
Fundamental Rights	0.54	-0.02	10/31	4/19	69/128
Order and Security	0.76	-0.02	2/31	2/19	48/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.50	0.00	9/31	3/19	66/128
Civil Justice	0.41	0.03	27/31	16/19	113/128
Criminal Justice	0.43	-0.01	10/31	5/19	71/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Benin Sub-Saharan Africa Low

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.54
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.45
1.3	Independent auditing	0.42
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.49
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.51
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.77

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.44
2.2	In the judiciary	0.46
2.3	In the police/military	0.45
2.4	In the legislature	0.17

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.29
3.2	Right to information	0.38
3.3	Civic participation	0.55
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.44

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.68
4.2	Right to life & security	0.48
4.3	Due process of law	0.49
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.51
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.77
4.6	Right to privacy	0.23
4.7	Freedom of association	0.63
4.8	Labor rights	0.57

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.77
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.51

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.50
6.2	No improper influence	0.70
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.36
6.4	Respect for due process	0.38
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.57

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.41
7.2	No discrimination	0.56
7.3	No corruption	0.39
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.33
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.37
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.36
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.47

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.37
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.38
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.31
8.4	No discrimination	0.73
8.5	No corruption	0.42
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.32
8.7	Due process of law	0.49

Bolivia

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Lower Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.38	29/30	26/30	121/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	—

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.36	0.00	27/30	23/30	116/128
Absence of Corruption	0.27	0.00	30/30	28/30	124/128
Open Government	0.43	0.01	26/30	15/30	90/128
Fundamental Rights	0.46	0.00	27/30	12/30	96/128
Order and Security	0.59	0.00	26/30	25/30	115/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.40	0.00	28/30	24/30	114/128
Civil Justice	0.33	-0.02	29/30	29/30	126/128
Criminal Justice	0.22	0.02	29/30	30/30	127/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Bolivia Latin America & Caribbean Lower Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.36
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.28
1.3	Independent auditing	0.33
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.31
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.46
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.45

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.36
2.2	In the judiciary	0.18
2.3	In the police/military	0.28
2.4	In the legislature	0.23

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.32
3.2	Right to information	0.42
3.3	Civic participation	0.47
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.51

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.40
4.2	Right to life & security	0.46
4.3	Due process of law	0.33
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.46
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.64
4.6	Right to privacy	0.35
4.7	Freedom of association	0.53
4.8	Labor rights	0.53

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.58
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.19

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.46
6.2	No improper influence	0.49
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.46
6.4	Respect for due process	0.19
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.42

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.47
7.2	No discrimination	0.33
7.3	No corruption	0.22
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.20
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.22
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.30
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.56

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.21
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.14
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.17
8.4	No discrimination	0.32
8.5	No corruption	0.23
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.17
8.7	Due process of law	0.33

Bosnia and Herzegovina

Region: Eastern Europe & Central Asia
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.52	5/14	20/42	64/128

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▾	-2 ▾

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.45	0.00	8/14	33/42	97/128
Absence of Corruption	0.44	0.00	8/14	30/42	74/128
Open Government	0.47	0.00	8/14	26/42	73/128
Fundamental Rights	0.59	0.00	4/14	16/42	53/128
Order and Security	0.76	0.00	10/14	13/42	47/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.49	0.00	4/14	23/42	69/128
Civil Justice	0.48	-0.03	10/14	31/42	81/128
Criminal Justice	0.48	-0.02	2/14	16/42	54/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Bosnia and Herzegovina — Eastern Europe & Central Asia — Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers



Absence of Corruption



Open Government



Fundamental Rights



Order and Security



Regulatory Enforcement



Civil Justice



Criminal Justice



Botswana

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.6	4/31	8/42	43/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.01 ▲	1 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.62	0.03	4/31	7/42	39/128
Absence of Corruption	0.59	-0.01	2/31	9/42	42/128
Open Government	0.50	0.01	6/31	22/42	66/128
Fundamental Rights	0.58	0.01	6/31	19/42	57/128
Order and Security	0.71	0.00	8/31	23/42	68/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.61	0.01	2/31	3/42	30/128
Civil Justice	0.61	0.01	5/31	11/42	42/128
Criminal Justice	0.59	0.02	1/31	2/42	29/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Botswana Sub-Saharan Africa Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.63
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.62
1.3	Independent auditing	0.52
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.56
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.59
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.77

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.55
2.2	In the judiciary	0.76
2.3	In the police/military	0.74
2.4	In the legislature	0.32

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.21
3.2	Right to information	0.54
3.3	Civic participation	0.64
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.60

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.65
4.2	Right to life & security	0.60
4.3	Due process of law	0.58
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.59
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.60
4.6	Right to privacy	0.39
4.7	Freedom of association	0.68
4.8	Labor rights	0.58

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.66
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.47

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.56
6.2	No improper influence	0.73
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.42
6.4	Respect for due process	0.62
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.72

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.51
7.2	No discrimination	0.60
7.3	No corruption	0.68
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.65
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.50
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.64
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.68

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.42
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.54
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.61
8.4	No discrimination	0.62
8.5	No corruption	0.69
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.65
8.7	Due process of law	0.58

Brazil

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.52	16/30	22/42	67/128

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.02 ▾	-7 ▾

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.53	-0.03*	18/30	18/42	64/128
Absence of Corruption	0.45	-0.01	17/30	26/42	69/128
Open Government	0.61	-0.01	6/30	5/42	31/128
Fundamental Rights	0.51	-0.04*	25/30	30/42	83/128
Order and Security	0.64	-0.01	19/30	31/42	100/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.51	-0.01	16/30	18/42	60/128
Civil Justice	0.54	-0.01	14/30	21/42	63/128
Criminal Justice	0.34	-0.01	20/30	37/42	103/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Brazil Latin America & Caribbean Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers



Absence of Corruption



Open Government



Fundamental Rights



Order and Security



Regulatory Enforcement



Civil Justice



Criminal Justice



Bulgaria

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.55	23/24	16/42	53/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	1 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.46	0.00	23/24	31/42	91/128
Absence of Corruption	0.44	0.00	24/24	28/42	71/128
Open Government	0.56	0.02	23/24	12/42	46/128
Fundamental Rights	0.61	0.00	23/24	14/42	50/128
Order and Security	0.77	0.00	21/24	11/42	43/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.53	-0.01	23/24	14/42	53/128
Civil Justice	0.56	0.00	23/24	17/42	56/128
Criminal Justice	0.45	0.00	24/24	20/42	61/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Bulgaria EU & EFTA & North America Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.53
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.39
1.3	Independent auditing	0.40
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.32
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.61
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.53

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.41
2.2	In the judiciary	0.58
2.3	In the police/military	0.63
2.4	In the legislature	0.14

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.56
3.2	Right to information	0.46
3.3	Civic participation	0.60
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.61

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.59
4.2	Right to life & security	0.64
4.3	Due process of law	0.58
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.61
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.72
4.6	Right to privacy	0.40
4.7	Freedom of association	0.67
4.8	Labor rights	0.63

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.83
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.47

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.66
6.2	No improper influence	0.62
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.55
6.4	Respect for due process	0.33
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.50

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.69
7.2	No discrimination	0.58
7.3	No corruption	0.50
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.38
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.42
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.65
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.67

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.37
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.57
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.30
8.4	No discrimination	0.54
8.5	No corruption	0.49
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.33
8.7	Due process of law	0.58

Burkina Faso

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.51	9/31	4/19	70/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	1 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.56	0.01	9/31	4/19	56/128
Absence of Corruption	0.42	-0.02	11/31	5/19	80/128
Open Government	0.47	0.01	8/31	3/19	74/128
Fundamental Rights	0.57	-0.01	8/31	2/19	64/128
Order and Security	0.62	-0.04*	21/31	13/19	107/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.48	0.01	12/31	6/19	78/128
Civil Justice	0.46	0.00	15/31	7/19	90/128
Criminal Justice	0.49	0.02	7/31	2/19	53/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Burkina Faso Sub-Saharan Africa Low

Constraints on Government Powers



Absence of Corruption



Open Government



Fundamental Rights



Order and Security



Regulatory Enforcement



Civil Justice



Criminal Justice



Cambodia

Region: East Asia & Pacific
Income Group: Lower Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.33	15/15	30/30	127/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	—

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.29	0.00	15/15	28/30	125/128
Absence of Corruption	0.24	-0.01	15/15	30/30	127/128
Open Government	0.27	0.01	15/15	28/30	126/128
Fundamental Rights	0.35	0.00	13/15	26/30	119/128
Order and Security	0.67	0.00	13/15	16/30	88/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.27	-0.02	15/15	30/30	127/128
Civil Justice	0.26	0.02	15/15	30/30	128/128
Criminal Justice	0.26	0.01	15/15	28/30	124/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Cambodia East Asia & Pacific Lower Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.39
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.25
1.3	Independent auditing	0.23
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.27
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.30
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.31

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.27
2.2	In the judiciary	0.14
2.3	In the police/military	0.23
2.4	In the legislature	0.31

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.21
3.2	Right to information	0.25
3.3	Civic participation	0.34
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.29

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.46
4.2	Right to life & security	0.22
4.3	Due process of law	0.27
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.30
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.48
4.6	Right to privacy	0.18
4.7	Freedom of association	0.40
4.8	Labor rights	0.49

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.81
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.19

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.24
6.2	No improper influence	0.24
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.42
6.4	Respect for due process	0.16
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.30

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.36
7.2	No discrimination	0.19
7.3	No corruption	0.11
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.18
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.27
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.27
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.42

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.35
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.39
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.27
8.4	No discrimination	0.23
8.5	No corruption	0.17
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.16
8.7	Due process of law	0.27

Cameroon

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Lower Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.36	30/31	28/30	124/128

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.02 ▼	-2 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.37	-0.02	28/31	22/30	113/128
Absence of Corruption	0.26	-0.02	30/31	29/30	126/128
Open Government	0.34	-0.01	27/31	25/30	119/128
Fundamental Rights	0.36	-0.03*	29/31	25/30	118/128
Order and Security	0.48	-0.05*	29/31	28/30	124/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.42	0.00	21/31	21/30	109/128
Civil Justice	0.41	0.03	28/31	22/30	114/128
Criminal Justice	0.24	-0.04	31/31	29/30	126/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Cameroon Sub-Saharan Africa Lower Middle

Constraints on Government Powers



Absence of Corruption



Open Government



Fundamental Rights



Order and Security



Regulatory Enforcement



Civil Justice



Criminal Justice



Canada

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.81	8/24	9/37	9/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	—

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.84	-0.01	8/24	9/37	9/128
Absence of Corruption	0.83	0.01	6/24	9/37	9/128
Open Government	0.81	0.00	7/24	9/37	9/128
Fundamental Rights	0.82	-0.01	9/24	9/37	9/128
Order and Security	0.91	0.00	5/24	9/37	9/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.81	0.00	8/24	11/37	11/128
Civil Justice	0.70	0.00	12/24	19/37	19/128
Criminal Justice	0.74	0.01	8/24	10/37	10/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Canada EU & EFTA & North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.80
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.85
1.3	Independent auditing	0.80
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.77
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.85
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.95

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.80
2.2	In the judiciary	0.95
2.3	In the police/military	0.88
2.4	In the legislature	0.71

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.88
3.2	Right to information	0.66
3.3	Civic participation	0.84
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.84

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.68
4.2	Right to life & security	0.94
4.3	Due process of law	0.78
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.85
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.82
4.6	Right to privacy	0.89
4.7	Freedom of association	0.87
4.8	Labor rights	0.73

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.91
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.80

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.76
6.2	No improper influence	0.95
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.71
6.4	Respect for due process	0.88
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.76

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.58
7.2	No discrimination	0.57
7.3	No corruption	0.90
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.89
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.47
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.75
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.77

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.69
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.67
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.69
8.4	No discrimination	0.53
8.5	No corruption	0.85
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.96
8.7	Due process of law	0.78

Chile

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.67	3/30	25/37	26/128

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	-1 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.72	0.00	3/30	19/37	20/128
Absence of Corruption	0.69	-0.01	4/30	25/37	26/128
Open Government	0.71	-0.01	2/30	18/37	18/128
Fundamental Rights	0.72	-0.01	4/30	24/37	25/128
Order and Security	0.67	0.00	14/30	36/37	86/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.64	-0.01	3/30	25/37	26/128
Civil Justice	0.63	0.00	7/30	28/37	33/128
Criminal Justice	0.56	-0.01	8/30	32/37	36/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Chile Latin America & Caribbean High

Constraints on Government Powers



Absence of Corruption



Open Government



Fundamental Rights



Order and Security



Regulatory Enforcement



Civil Justice



Criminal Justice



China

Region: East Asia & Pacific
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.48	12/15	33/42	88/128

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▾	-4 ▾

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.32	0.00	14/15	40/42	123/128
Absence of Corruption	0.53	-0.02	8/15	15/42	51/128
Open Government	0.43	0.01	12/15	33/42	92/128
Fundamental Rights	0.29	-0.03	15/15	41/42	126/128
Order and Security	0.78	-0.01	8/15	9/42	40/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.49	0.01	9/15	21/42	67/128
Civil Justice	0.53	-0.01	8/15	22/42	64/128
Criminal Justice	0.45	-0.02	10/15	21/42	62/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



China East Asia & Pacific Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.36
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.30
1.3	Independent auditing	0.39
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.49
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.14
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.25

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.49
2.2	In the judiciary	0.55
2.3	In the police/military	0.68
2.4	In the legislature	0.41

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.47
3.2	Right to information	0.56
3.3	Civic participation	0.22
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.45

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.46
4.2	Right to life & security	0.34
4.3	Due process of law	0.48
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.14
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.18
4.6	Right to privacy	0.23
4.7	Freedom of association	0.17
4.8	Labor rights	0.31

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.80
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.92
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.62

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.51
6.2	No improper influence	0.61
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.61
6.4	Respect for due process	0.34
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.39

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.61
7.2	No discrimination	0.45
7.3	No corruption	0.42
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.24
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.76
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.59
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.66

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.50
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.52
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.51
8.4	No discrimination	0.36
8.5	No corruption	0.57
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.21
8.7	Due process of law	0.48

Colombia

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.5	19/30	28/42	77/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	5 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.53	0.01	17/30	17/42	63/128
Absence of Corruption	0.39	0.00	22/30	36/42	95/128
Open Government	0.64	0.00	4/30	2/42	27/128
Fundamental Rights	0.53	0.00	22/30	26/42	74/128
Order and Security	0.56	-0.02*	28/30	40/42	119/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.52	0.02	13/30	17/42	56/128
Civil Justice	0.49	0.01	21/30	30/42	79/128
Criminal Justice	0.34	0.01	19/30	36/42	101/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Colombia Latin America & Caribbean Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.61
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.50
1.3	Independent auditing	0.49
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.42
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.56
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.62

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.42
2.2	In the judiciary	0.52
2.3	In the police/military	0.53
2.4	In the legislature	0.08

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.67
3.2	Right to information	0.60
3.3	Civic participation	0.56
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.72

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.54
4.2	Right to life & security	0.50
4.3	Due process of law	0.43
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.56
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.63
4.6	Right to privacy	0.49
4.7	Freedom of association	0.60
4.8	Labor rights	0.51

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.49
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.94
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.26

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.51
6.2	No improper influence	0.64
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.35
6.4	Respect for due process	0.47
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.65

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.57
7.2	No discrimination	0.52
7.3	No corruption	0.48
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.50
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.20
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.45
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.71

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.20
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.32
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.27
8.4	No discrimination	0.31
8.5	No corruption	0.42
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.43
8.7	Due process of law	0.43

Costa Rica

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.68	2/30	1/42	25/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	-1 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.76	-0.02	1/30	1/42	15/128
Absence of Corruption	0.66	-0.02	5/30	3/42	30/128
Open Government	0.70	0.00	3/30	1/42	21/128
Fundamental Rights	0.79	0.01	1/30	1/42	15/128
Order and Security	0.68	-0.01	12/30	27/42	81/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.67	-0.01	2/30	1/42	24/128
Civil Justice	0.62	0.00	8/30	7/42	38/128
Criminal Justice	0.57	0.02	5/30	3/42	31/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Costa Rica — Latin America & Caribbean — Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.77
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.69
1.3	Independent auditing	0.80
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.60
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.83
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.91

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.65
2.2	In the judiciary	0.80
2.3	In the police/military	0.75
2.4	In the legislature	0.44

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.47
3.2	Right to information	0.76
3.3	Civic participation	0.78
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.80

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.68
4.2	Right to life & security	0.92
4.3	Due process of law	0.73
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.83
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.84
4.6	Right to privacy	0.82
4.7	Freedom of association	0.85
4.8	Labor rights	0.64

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.69
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.36

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.66
6.2	No improper influence	0.75
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.45
6.4	Respect for due process	0.66
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.80

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.68
7.2	No discrimination	0.75
7.3	No corruption	0.72
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.71
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.23
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.48
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.78

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.41
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.46
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.38
8.4	No discrimination	0.70
8.5	No corruption	0.67
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.67
8.7	Due process of law	0.73

Cote d'Ivoire

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Lower Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.46	13/31	15/30	95/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	—

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.41	0.00	22/31	20/30	104/128
Absence of Corruption	0.36	-0.01	19/31	17/30	103/128
Open Government	0.38	0.01	20/31	21/30	107/128
Fundamental Rights	0.45	-0.01	21/31	15/30	102/128
Order and Security	0.68	-0.01	15/31	14/30	84/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.52	0.00	8/31	6/30	57/128
Civil Justice	0.54	0.02	9/31	3/30	59/128
Criminal Justice	0.36	-0.02	19/31	18/30	93/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Cote d'Ivoire Sub-Saharan Africa Lower Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.42
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.36
1.3	Independent auditing	0.44
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.38
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.36
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.49

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.34
2.2	In the judiciary	0.42
2.3	In the police/military	0.44
2.4	In the legislature	0.24

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.19
3.2	Right to information	0.46
3.3	Civic participation	0.45
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.42

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.60
4.2	Right to life & security	0.31
4.3	Due process of law	0.38
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.36
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.69
4.6	Right to privacy	0.11
4.7	Freedom of association	0.57
4.8	Labor rights	0.60

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.66
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.37

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.48
6.2	No improper influence	0.52
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.48
6.4	Respect for due process	0.54
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.59

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.49
7.2	No discrimination	0.63
7.3	No corruption	0.40
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.30
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.61
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.62
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.71

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.30
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.49
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.29
8.4	No discrimination	0.49
8.5	No corruption	0.38
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.16
8.7	Due process of law	0.38

Croatia

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.61	21/24	32/37	39/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.01 ▲	3 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.58	0.00	22/24	33/37	53/128
Absence of Corruption	0.58	0.01	20/24	33/37	44/128
Open Government	0.61	0.00	19/24	27/37	33/128
Fundamental Rights	0.67	0.02	20/24	29/37	33/128
Order and Security	0.85	0.02	14/24	20/37	21/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.56	0.01	22/24	33/37	48/128
Civil Justice	0.57	-0.01	21/24	34/37	52/128
Criminal Justice	0.51	0.01	21/24	33/37	47/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Croatia EU & EFTA & North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.58
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.47
1.3	Independent auditing	0.56
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.49
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.63
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.72

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.50
2.2	In the judiciary	0.73
2.3	In the police/military	0.76
2.4	In the legislature	0.33

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.54
3.2	Right to information	0.61
3.3	Civic participation	0.63
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.65

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.62
4.2	Right to life & security	0.72
4.3	Due process of law	0.65
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.63
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.70
4.6	Right to privacy	0.56
4.7	Freedom of association	0.75
4.8	Labor rights	0.69

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.95
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.59

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.61
6.2	No improper influence	0.68
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.46
6.4	Respect for due process	0.42
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.62

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.68
7.2	No discrimination	0.67
7.3	No corruption	0.58
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.56
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.27
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.50
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.74

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.48
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.44
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.49
8.4	No discrimination	0.43
8.5	No corruption	0.62
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.48
8.7	Due process of law	0.65

Czech Republic

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.73	12/24	18/37	18/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	1 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.73	0.00	14/24	17/37	18/128
Absence of Corruption	0.65	0.01	18/24	28/37	31/128
Open Government	0.67	0.01	15/24	22/37	23/128
Fundamental Rights	0.79	0.01	12/24	14/37	14/128
Order and Security	0.90	0.00	7/24	11/37	12/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.71	0.01	14/24	21/37	21/128
Civil Justice	0.69	-0.01	13/24	20/37	20/128
Criminal Justice	0.71	0.01	11/24	16/37	16/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Czech Republic EU & EFTA & North America High

Constraints on Government Powers



Absence of Corruption



Open Government



Fundamental Rights



Order and Security



Regulatory Enforcement



Civil Justice



Criminal Justice



Congo, Dem. Rep.

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.34	31/31	19/19	126/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.01 ▲	—

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.39	0.07*	26/31	17/19	110/128
Absence of Corruption	0.16	-0.02	31/31	19/19	128/128
Open Government	0.34	0.02	26/31	17/19	118/128
Fundamental Rights	0.41	0.04*	25/31	17/19	112/128
Order and Security	0.48	0.00	30/31	18/19	125/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.35	-0.02	30/31	19/19	125/128
Civil Justice	0.35	-0.01	31/31	19/19	125/128
Criminal Justice	0.26	-0.02	30/31	19/19	125/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Congo, Dem. Rep. Sub-Saharan Africa Low

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.51
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.37
1.3	Independent auditing	0.32
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.35
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.39
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.41

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.23
2.2	In the judiciary	0.15
2.3	In the police/military	0.18
2.4	In the legislature	0.08

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.19
3.2	Right to information	0.34
3.3	Civic participation	0.41
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.43

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.56
4.2	Right to life & security	0.17
4.3	Due process of law	0.30
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.39
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.64
4.6	Right to privacy	0.19
4.7	Freedom of association	0.50
4.8	Labor rights	0.50

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.56
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.56
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.32

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.34
6.2	No improper influence	0.36
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.36
6.4	Respect for due process	0.37
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.33

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.35
7.2	No discrimination	0.45
7.3	No corruption	0.16
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.25
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.40
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.31
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.50

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.21
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.35
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.13
8.4	No discrimination	0.44
8.5	No corruption	0.18
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.19
8.7	Due process of law	0.30

Denmark

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.9	1/24	1/37	1/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	—

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.94	0.00	1/24	1/37	1/128
Absence of Corruption	0.95	0.00	1/24	1/37	1/128
Open Government	0.88	0.02	2/24	2/37	2/128
Fundamental Rights	0.92	0.00	1/24	1/37	1/128
Order and Security	0.93	0.00	1/24	3/37	3/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.90	0.00	1/24	1/37	1/128
Civil Justice	0.86	-0.01	1/24	1/37	1/128
Criminal Justice	0.83	0.00	3/24	3/37	3/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Denmark EU & EFTA & North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.91
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.95
1.3	Independent auditing	0.91
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.94
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.97
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.99

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.92
2.2	In the judiciary	0.99
2.3	In the police/military	0.98
2.4	In the legislature	0.89

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.86
3.2	Right to information	0.84
3.3	Civic participation	0.94
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.87

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.79
4.2	Right to life & security	0.99
4.3	Due process of law	0.88
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.97
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.80
4.6	Right to privacy	0.98
4.7	Freedom of association	0.98
4.8	Labor rights	0.95

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.95
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.84

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.86
6.2	No improper influence	0.97
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.88
6.4	Respect for due process	0.88
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.90

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.76
7.2	No discrimination	0.90
7.3	No corruption	0.99
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.92
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.73
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.88
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.85

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.66
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.75
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.78
8.4	No discrimination	0.80
8.5	No corruption	0.98
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.92
8.7	Due process of law	0.88

Dominica

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.58	11/30	11/42	46/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	-1 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.53	0.00	19/30	19/42	66/128
Absence of Corruption	0.60	-0.01	11/30	7/42	40/128
Open Government	0.50	0.00	19/30	20/42	63/128
Fundamental Rights	0.63	-0.02	14/30	11/42	45/128
Order and Security	0.74	-0.02	8/30	18/42	58/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.53	0.01	12/30	16/42	55/128
Civil Justice	0.59	0.00	11/30	15/42	48/128
Criminal Justice	0.55	0.00	9/30	8/42	40/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Dominica Latin America & Caribbean Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.44
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.58
1.3	Independent auditing	0.65
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.42
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.53
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.57

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.48
2.2	In the judiciary	0.87
2.3	In the police/military	0.74
2.4	In the legislature	0.30

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.38
3.2	Right to information	0.53
3.3	Civic participation	0.58
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.53

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.60
4.2	Right to life & security	0.77
4.3	Due process of law	0.59
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.53
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.72
4.6	Right to privacy	0.61
4.7	Freedom of association	0.61
4.8	Labor rights	0.57

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.84
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.38

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.39
6.2	No improper influence	0.70
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.45
6.4	Respect for due process	0.54
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.55

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.76
7.2	No discrimination	0.55
7.3	No corruption	0.76
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.71
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.33
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.35
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.63

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.49
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.46
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.39
8.4	No discrimination	0.62
8.5	No corruption	0.72
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.58
8.7	Due process of law	0.59

Dominican Republic

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.48	24/30	35/42	90/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.01 ▲	7 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.47	0.01	24/30	29/42	88/128
Absence of Corruption	0.39	0.01	21/30	35/42	94/128
Open Government	0.53	0.02	13/30	15/42	53/128
Fundamental Rights	0.58	0.02	17/30	20/42	60/128
Order and Security	0.63	0.00	20/30	32/42	102/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.42	0.02	27/30	40/42	108/128
Civil Justice	0.45	0.02	23/30	35/42	97/128
Criminal Justice	0.36	0.02	17/30	33/42	95/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Dominican Republic — Latin America & Caribbean — Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.54
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.41
1.3	Independent auditing	0.31
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.34
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.63
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.57

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.39
2.2	In the judiciary	0.50
2.3	In the police/military	0.47
2.4	In the legislature	0.21

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.35
3.2	Right to information	0.55
3.3	Civic participation	0.59
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.63

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.52
4.2	Right to life & security	0.59
4.3	Due process of law	0.43
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.63
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.67
4.6	Right to privacy	0.53
4.7	Freedom of association	0.68
4.8	Labor rights	0.59

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.60
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.30

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.40
6.2	No improper influence	0.60
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.39
6.4	Respect for due process	0.25
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.44

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.53
7.2	No discrimination	0.61
7.3	No corruption	0.44
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.38
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.26
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.38
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.57

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.33
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.37
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.20
8.4	No discrimination	0.37
8.5	No corruption	0.44
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.34
8.7	Due process of law	0.43

Ecuador

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.49	22/30	32/42	86/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.01 ▲	3 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.48	0.02	23/30	27/42	85/128
Absence of Corruption	0.42	0.01	20/30	34/42	86/128
Open Government	0.50	-0.01	20/30	21/42	65/128
Fundamental Rights	0.52	0.03	23/30	27/42	77/128
Order and Security	0.63	0.00	21/30	33/42	104/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.49	0.00	20/30	24/42	72/128
Civil Justice	0.49	0.01	19/30	28/42	77/128
Criminal Justice	0.36	0.01	18/30	34/42	97/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Ecuador Latin America & Caribbean Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.54
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.42
1.3	Independent auditing	0.48
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.40
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.53
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.52

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.43
2.2	In the judiciary	0.42
2.3	In the police/military	0.55
2.4	In the legislature	0.27

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.38
3.2	Right to information	0.48
3.3	Civic participation	0.52
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.62

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.45
4.2	Right to life & security	0.53
4.3	Due process of law	0.42
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.53
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.65
4.6	Right to privacy	0.42
4.7	Freedom of association	0.58
4.8	Labor rights	0.57

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.57
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.30

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.52
6.2	No improper influence	0.59
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.46
6.4	Respect for due process	0.37
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.50

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.59
7.2	No discrimination	0.49
7.3	No corruption	0.42
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.35
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.44
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.50
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.66

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.28
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.44
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.24
8.4	No discrimination	0.33
8.5	No corruption	0.47
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.30
8.7	Due process of law	0.42

Egypt

Region: Middle East & North Africa
Income Group: Lower Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.36	8/8	29/30	125/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	-2 ▾

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.27	-0.03	8/8	29/30	126/128
Absence of Corruption	0.40	0.00	7/8	11/30	91/128
Open Government	0.22	0.00	8/8	30/30	128/128
Fundamental Rights	0.28	-0.02	7/8	30/30	127/128
Order and Security	0.57	0.06*	8/8	27/30	118/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.37	0.02	8/8	27/30	123/128
Civil Justice	0.39	0.01	8/8	23/30	117/128
Criminal Justice	0.37	-0.03	6/8	13/30	86/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Egypt Middle East & North Africa Lower Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.08
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.35
1.3	Independent auditing	0.32
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.39
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.06
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.39

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.41
2.2	In the judiciary	0.46
2.3	In the police/military	0.42
2.4	In the legislature	0.30

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.33
3.2	Right to information	0.11
3.3	Civic participation	0.16
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.29

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.51
4.2	Right to life & security	0.20
4.3	Due process of law	0.34
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.06
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.26
4.6	Right to privacy	0.21
4.7	Freedom of association	0.21
4.8	Labor rights	0.42

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.77
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.66
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.28

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.46
6.2	No improper influence	0.47
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.17
6.4	Respect for due process	0.31
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.44

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.50
7.2	No discrimination	0.34
7.3	No corruption	0.48
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.39
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.25
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.23
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.52

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.40
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.41
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.24
8.4	No discrimination	0.44
8.5	No corruption	0.44
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.37
8.7	Due process of law	0.34

El Salvador

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Lower Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.49	21/30	10/30	84/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.02 ▲	2 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.50	0.03	21/30	10/30	77/128
Absence of Corruption	0.38	-0.02	23/30	15/30	98/128
Open Government	0.53	0.02	12/30	6/30	52/128
Fundamental Rights	0.54	0.01	21/30	7/30	73/128
Order and Security	0.65	0.02*	17/30	20/30	96/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.51	0.03	14/30	7/30	58/128
Civil Justice	0.53	0.02	16/30	6/30	66/128
Criminal Justice	0.31	0.02	24/30	23/30	116/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



El Salvador — Latin America & Caribbean — Lower Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.58
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.48
1.3	Independent auditing	0.39
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.39
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.56
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.59

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.36
2.2	In the judiciary	0.50
2.3	In the police/military	0.55
2.4	In the legislature	0.11

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.42
3.2	Right to information	0.59
3.3	Civic participation	0.51
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.61

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.52
4.2	Right to life & security	0.61
4.3	Due process of law	0.35
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.56
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.64
4.6	Right to privacy	0.54
4.7	Freedom of association	0.57
4.8	Labor rights	0.51

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.51
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.44

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.41
6.2	No improper influence	0.63
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.53
6.4	Respect for due process	0.42
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.58

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.59
7.2	No discrimination	0.61
7.3	No corruption	0.48
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.46
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.42
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.53
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.64

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.17
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.30
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.19
8.4	No discrimination	0.31
8.5	No corruption	0.40
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.41
8.7	Due process of law	0.35

Estonia

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.81	9/24	10/37	10/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	—

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.83	0.00	9/24	10/37	10/128
Absence of Corruption	0.79	-0.01	11/24	17/37	17/128
Open Government	0.81	0.01	6/24	8/37	8/128
Fundamental Rights	0.82	-0.01	10/24	10/37	10/128
Order and Security	0.89	0.00	9/24	14/37	15/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.79	0.00	11/24	15/37	15/128
Civil Justice	0.80	0.00	7/24	8/37	8/128
Criminal Justice	0.71	0.00	10/24	15/37	15/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Estonia EU & EFTA & North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.84
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.82
1.3	Independent auditing	0.86
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.78
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.79
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.91

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.73
2.2	In the judiciary	0.95
2.3	In the police/military	0.92
2.4	In the legislature	0.57

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.88
3.2	Right to information	0.75
3.3	Civic participation	0.80
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.81

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.80
4.2	Right to life & security	0.94
4.3	Due process of law	0.79
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.79
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.82
4.6	Right to privacy	0.90
4.7	Freedom of association	0.84
4.8	Labor rights	0.67

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.90
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.76

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.83
6.2	No improper influence	0.90
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.80
6.4	Respect for due process	0.66
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.78

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.72
7.2	No discrimination	0.85
7.3	No corruption	0.89
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.84
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.75
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.70
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.89

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.59
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.56
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.63
8.4	No discrimination	0.71
8.5	No corruption	0.88
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.84
8.7	Due process of law	0.79

Ethiopia

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.41	26/31	16/19	114/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.02 ▲	6 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.39	0.05*	27/31	18/19	112/128
Absence of Corruption	0.46	-0.01	8/31	3/19	65/128
Open Government	0.32	0.04*	28/31	18/19	121/128
Fundamental Rights	0.35	0.05*	30/31	19/19	120/128
Order and Security	0.63	-0.01*	20/31	12/19	101/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.39	0.03	24/31	13/19	116/128
Civil Justice	0.42	0.01	25/31	14/19	109/128
Criminal Justice	0.34	0.00	23/31	12/19	102/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Ethiopia Sub-Saharan Africa Low

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.51
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.36
1.3	Independent auditing	0.40
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.42
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.31
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.32

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.42
2.2	In the judiciary	0.40
2.3	In the police/military	0.45
2.4	In the legislature	0.56

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.23
3.2	Right to information	0.37
3.3	Civic participation	0.29
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.40

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.47
4.2	Right to life & security	0.25
4.3	Due process of law	0.33
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.31
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.51
4.6	Right to privacy	0.21
4.7	Freedom of association	0.32
4.8	Labor rights	0.37

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.64
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.83
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.44

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.35
6.2	No improper influence	0.53
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.38
6.4	Respect for due process	0.25
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.43

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.44
7.2	No discrimination	0.36
7.3	No corruption	0.35
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.33
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.39
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.47
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.59

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.34
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.36
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.34
8.4	No discrimination	0.33
8.5	No corruption	0.41
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.25
8.7	Due process of law	0.33

Finland

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.87	3/24	3/37	3/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	—

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.92	0.00	3/24	3/37	3/128
Absence of Corruption	0.89	0.00	4/24	5/37	5/128
Open Government	0.86	-0.01	4/24	4/37	4/128
Fundamental Rights	0.91	-0.01	3/24	3/37	3/128
Order and Security	0.91	-0.01	4/24	8/37	8/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.86	0.03	3/24	4/37	4/128
Civil Justice	0.81	0.02	6/24	7/37	7/128
Criminal Justice	0.83	-0.01	2/24	2/37	2/128



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Finland EU & EFTA & North America High

Constraints on Government Powers



Absence of Corruption



Open Government



Fundamental Rights



Order and Security



Regulatory Enforcement



Civil Justice



Criminal Justice



France

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.73	14/24	20/37	20/128

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	-3 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.73	-0.02	15/24	18/37	19/128
Absence of Corruption	0.74	-0.01	12/24	18/37	18/128
Open Government	0.78	-0.01	10/24	12/37	12/128
Fundamental Rights	0.73	-0.01	16/24	20/37	21/128
Order and Security	0.75	-0.01	22/24	30/37	53/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.76	-0.01	12/24	17/37	17/128
Civil Justice	0.71	0.00	11/24	18/37	18/128
Criminal Justice	0.62	-0.01	16/24	23/37	23/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



France EU & EFTA & North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.72
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.67
1.3	Independent auditing	0.73
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.64
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.71
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.88

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.72
2.2	In the judiciary	0.90
2.3	In the police/military	0.85
2.4	In the legislature	0.48

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.84
3.2	Right to information	0.73
3.3	Civic participation	0.74
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.82

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.65
4.2	Right to life & security	0.84
4.3	Due process of law	0.67
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.71
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.73
4.6	Right to privacy	0.67
4.7	Freedom of association	0.81
4.8	Labor rights	0.79

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.82
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.89
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.54

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.71
6.2	No improper influence	0.85
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.67
6.4	Respect for due process	0.76
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.83

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.65
7.2	No discrimination	0.59
7.3	No corruption	0.76
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.77
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.57
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.74
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.85

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.59
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.62
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.55
8.4	No discrimination	0.51
8.5	No corruption	0.76
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.64
8.7	Due process of law	0.67

The Gambia

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.5	10/31	5/19	74/128

Score Change	Rank Change
—	—

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.60	—	5/31	1/19	44/128
Absence of Corruption	0.48	—	7/31	2/19	59/128
Open Government	0.36	—	23/31	14/19	114/128
Fundamental Rights	0.55	—	9/31	3/19	67/128
Order and Security	0.71	—	9/31	6/19	69/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.38	—	25/31	14/19	118/128
Civil Justice	0.52	—	10/31	3/19	69/128
Criminal Justice	0.42	—	11/31	6/19	73/128



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

2020 Score 2019 Score

The Gambia Sub-Saharan Africa Low

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.71
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.63
1.3	Independent auditing	0.36
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.50
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.63
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.80

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.42
2.2	In the judiciary	0.59
2.3	In the police/military	0.51
2.4	In the legislature	0.42

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.17
3.2	Right to information	0.33
3.3	Civic participation	0.59
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.34

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.53
4.2	Right to life & security	0.39
4.3	Due process of law	0.43
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.63
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.75
4.6	Right to privacy	0.47
4.7	Freedom of association	0.68
4.8	Labor rights	0.51

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.62
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.51

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.37
6.2	No improper influence	0.49
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.23
6.4	Respect for due process	0.36
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.45

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.45
7.2	No discrimination	0.70
7.3	No corruption	0.60
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.52
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.36
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.37
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.66

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.39
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.50
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.18
8.4	No discrimination	0.30
8.5	No corruption	0.57
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.56
8.7	Due process of law	0.43

Georgia

Region: Eastern Europe & Central Asia
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.6	1/14	7/42	42/128

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	-1 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.55	-0.02	1/14	16/42	61/128
Absence of Corruption	0.68	-0.02	1/14	2/42	27/128
Open Government	0.57	-0.01	1/14	9/42	41/128
Fundamental Rights	0.61	-0.01	1/14	13/42	48/128
Order and Security	0.79	0.00	6/14	6/42	35/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.57	0.01	1/14	8/42	41/128
Civil Justice	0.53	-0.01	6/14	24/42	67/128
Criminal Justice	0.52	0.00	1/14	13/42	46/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Georgia — Eastern Europe & Central Asia — Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.58
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.44
1.3	Independent auditing	0.59
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.42
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.66
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.59

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.60
2.2	In the judiciary	0.73
2.3	In the police/military	0.89
2.4	In the legislature	0.51

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.51
3.2	Right to information	0.63
3.3	Civic participation	0.61
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.55

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.58
4.2	Right to life & security	0.73
4.3	Due process of law	0.59
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.66
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.63
4.6	Right to privacy	0.43
4.7	Freedom of association	0.70
4.8	Labor rights	0.56

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.92
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.44

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.55
6.2	No improper influence	0.80
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.50
6.4	Respect for due process	0.40
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.60

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.65
7.2	No discrimination	0.50
7.3	No corruption	0.60
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.39
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.36
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.50
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.71

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.39
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.57
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.59
8.4	No discrimination	0.50
8.5	No corruption	0.73
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.27
8.7	Due process of law	0.59

Germany

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.84	6/24	6/37	6/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	—

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.85	0.00	6/24	6/37	6/128
Absence of Corruption	0.82	0.00	8/24	11/37	11/128
Open Government	0.79	0.00	8/24	10/37	10/128
Fundamental Rights	0.85	0.00	5/24	5/37	5/128
Order and Security	0.89	0.00	11/24	16/37	17/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.85	0.00	4/24	6/37	6/128
Civil Justice	0.85	-0.01	4/24	4/37	4/128
Criminal Justice	0.79	0.01	6/24	7/37	7/128



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Germany EU & EFTA & North America High

Constraints on Government Powers



Absence of Corruption



Open Government



Fundamental Rights



Order and Security



Regulatory Enforcement



Civil Justice



Criminal Justice



Ghana

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Lower Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.57	6/31	1/30	51/128

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▾	-3 ▾

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.68	-0.02	2/31	2/30	28/128
Absence of Corruption	0.39	-0.01	15/31	13/30	93/128
Open Government	0.50	-0.01	5/31	8/30	64/128
Fundamental Rights	0.61	-0.03	4/31	1/30	47/128
Order and Security	0.72	0.01	7/31	7/30	65/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.55	0.00	7/31	4/30	50/128
Civil Justice	0.59	-0.02	6/31	1/30	46/128
Criminal Justice	0.49	0.00	6/31	1/30	51/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Ghana Sub-Saharan Africa Lower Middle

Constraints on Government Powers



Absence of Corruption



Open Government



Fundamental Rights



Order and Security



Regulatory Enforcement



Civil Justice



Criminal Justice



Greece

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.61	22/24	33/37	40/128

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	-4 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.68	-0.01	18/24	24/37	27/128
Absence of Corruption	0.56	0.00	21/24	34/37	45/128
Open Government	0.61	0.00	20/24	28/37	34/128
Fundamental Rights	0.65	-0.01	21/24	31/37	37/128
Order and Security	0.71	-0.01	24/24	33/37	66/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.58	-0.01	20/24	32/37	39/128
Civil Justice	0.59	0.01	20/24	31/37	47/128
Criminal Justice	0.50	-0.01	22/24	34/37	50/128



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Greece EU & EFTA & North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.64
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.64
1.3	Independent auditing	0.71
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.54
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.69
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.86

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.52
2.2	In the judiciary	0.80
2.3	In the police/military	0.74
2.4	In the legislature	0.20

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.57
3.2	Right to information	0.61
3.3	Civic participation	0.64
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.62

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.54
4.2	Right to life & security	0.77
4.3	Due process of law	0.55
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.69
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.72
4.6	Right to privacy	0.66
4.7	Freedom of association	0.76
4.8	Labor rights	0.55

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.81
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.33

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.63
6.2	No improper influence	0.62
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.50
6.4	Respect for due process	0.44
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.70

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.64
7.2	No discrimination	0.61
7.3	No corruption	0.71
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.61
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.31
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.47
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.74

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.50
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.47
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.28
8.4	No discrimination	0.43
8.5	No corruption	0.66
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.60
8.7	Due process of law	0.55

Grenada

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.59	10/30	9/42	44/128

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	-1 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.58	-0.01	13/30	13/42	52/128
Absence of Corruption	0.65	-0.02	6/30	4/42	32/128
Open Government	0.46	-0.01	22/30	30/42	82/128
Fundamental Rights	0.63	0.00	13/30	10/42	44/128
Order and Security	0.77	0.00	4/30	12/42	46/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.56	-0.02	9/30	12/42	47/128
Civil Justice	0.60	-0.02	9/30	13/42	44/128
Criminal Justice	0.50	0.00	12/30	14/42	48/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Grenada Latin America & Caribbean Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.57
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.66
1.3	Independent auditing	0.49
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.43
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.62
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.69

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.60
2.2	In the judiciary	0.79
2.3	In the police/military	0.74
2.4	In the legislature	0.46

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.29
3.2	Right to information	0.37
3.3	Civic participation	0.64
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.52

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.70
4.2	Right to life & security	0.70
4.3	Due process of law	0.43
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.62
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.66
4.6	Right to privacy	0.47
4.7	Freedom of association	0.76
4.8	Labor rights	0.67

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.88
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.42

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.50
6.2	No improper influence	0.75
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.58
6.4	Respect for due process	0.39
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.57

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.56
7.2	No discrimination	0.72
7.3	No corruption	0.80
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.72
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.36
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.30
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.73

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.48
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.53
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.39
8.4	No discrimination	0.47
8.5	No corruption	0.65
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.57
8.7	Due process of law	0.43

Guatemala

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.45	25/30	38/42	101/128

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	-3 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.52	-0.02*	20/30	20/42	69/128
Absence of Corruption	0.34	-0.03*	25/30	39/42	108/128
Open Government	0.51	0.00	17/30	18/42	60/128
Fundamental Rights	0.54	-0.01	20/30	24/42	70/128
Order and Security	0.59	0.00	27/30	39/42	116/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.42	0.00	26/30	39/42	107/128
Civil Justice	0.37	0.00	28/30	41/42	121/128
Criminal Justice	0.30	-0.02	25/30	40/42	118/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Guatemala Latin America & Caribbean Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.61
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.51
1.3	Independent auditing	0.40
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.36
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.62
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.63

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.33
2.2	In the judiciary	0.45
2.3	In the police/military	0.50
2.4	In the legislature	0.06

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.30
3.2	Right to information	0.52
3.3	Civic participation	0.58
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.63

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.43
4.2	Right to life & security	0.61
4.3	Due process of law	0.39
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.62
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.64
4.6	Right to privacy	0.61
4.7	Freedom of association	0.66
4.8	Labor rights	0.40

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.54
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.23

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.40
6.2	No improper influence	0.58
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.37
6.4	Respect for due process	0.29
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.46

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.35
7.2	No discrimination	0.39
7.3	No corruption	0.46
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.36
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.14
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.30
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.62

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.21
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.24
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.08
8.4	No discrimination	0.37
8.5	No corruption	0.43
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.39
8.7	Due process of law	0.39

Guinea

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.42	24/31	14/19	111/128

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.02 ▾	-4 ▾

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.39	0.00	25/31	16/19	109/128
Absence of Corruption	0.29	0.00	26/31	16/19	120/128
Open Government	0.37	-0.02	22/31	13/19	111/128
Fundamental Rights	0.50	-0.02	15/31	10/19	86/128
Order and Security	0.72	-0.03	6/31	5/19	64/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.37	-0.06	28/31	18/19	122/128
Civil Justice	0.41	0.00	29/31	17/19	115/128
Criminal Justice	0.31	0.00	27/31	17/19	115/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Guinea Sub-Saharan Africa Low

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.46
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.30
1.3	Independent auditing	0.33
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.29
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.51
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.47

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.26
2.2	In the judiciary	0.29
2.3	In the police/military	0.34
2.4	In the legislature	0.25

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.17
3.2	Right to information	0.33
3.3	Civic participation	0.51
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.45

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.59
4.2	Right to life & security	0.45
4.3	Due process of law	0.35
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.51
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.70
4.6	Right to privacy	0.27
4.7	Freedom of association	0.61
4.8	Labor rights	0.53

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.73
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.42

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.36
6.2	No improper influence	0.38
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.37
6.4	Respect for due process	0.36
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.38

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.46
7.2	No discrimination	0.58
7.3	No corruption	0.15
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.27
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.46
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.40
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.55

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.23
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.28
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.19
8.4	No discrimination	0.55
8.5	No corruption	0.30
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.25
8.7	Due process of law	0.35

Guyana

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.5	17/30	25/42	73/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	3 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.56	-0.01	15/30	14/42	58/128
Absence of Corruption	0.46	-0.01	15/30	23/42	66/128
Open Government	0.45	0.01	23/30	31/42	84/128
Fundamental Rights	0.56	0.00	18/30	22/42	65/128
Order and Security	0.62	0.01	23/30	35/42	106/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.47	0.00	22/30	31/42	84/128
Civil Justice	0.53	0.00	15/30	23/42	65/128
Criminal Justice	0.38	0.00	15/30	29/42	82/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Guyana Latin America & Caribbean Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.62
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.61
1.3	Independent auditing	0.46
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.41
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.61
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.64

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.42
2.2	In the judiciary	0.60
2.3	In the police/military	0.42
2.4	In the legislature	0.37

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.27
3.2	Right to information	0.45
3.3	Civic participation	0.57
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.52

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.54
4.2	Right to life & security	0.56
4.3	Due process of law	0.36
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.61
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.72
4.6	Right to privacy	0.46
4.7	Freedom of association	0.62
4.8	Labor rights	0.59

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.65
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.22

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.49
6.2	No improper influence	0.62
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.38
6.4	Respect for due process	0.37
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.46

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.56
7.2	No discrimination	0.43
7.3	No corruption	0.61
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.54
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.47
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.54
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.59

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.34
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.40
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.15
8.4	No discrimination	0.34
8.5	No corruption	0.52
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.54
8.7	Due process of law	0.36

Honduras

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Lower Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.4	27/30	22/30	116/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	1 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.34	-0.03	28/30	24/30	119/128
Absence of Corruption	0.32	-0.01	27/30	23/30	114/128
Open Government	0.42	0.00	27/30	19/30	96/128
Fundamental Rights	0.41	0.00	28/30	20/30	111/128
Order and Security	0.66	0.05*	16/30	17/30	93/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.39	-0.01	29/30	26/30	117/128
Civil Justice	0.41	0.00	25/30	21/30	112/128
Criminal Justice	0.26	0.01	28/30	27/30	123/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Honduras Latin America & Caribbean Lower Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.44
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.33
1.3	Independent auditing	0.28
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.25
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.46
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.29

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.34
2.2	In the judiciary	0.39
2.3	In the police/military	0.48
2.4	In the legislature	0.09

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.30
3.2	Right to information	0.42
3.3	Civic participation	0.46
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.53

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.46
4.2	Right to life & security	0.29
4.3	Due process of law	0.33
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.46
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.55
4.6	Right to privacy	0.17
4.7	Freedom of association	0.51
4.8	Labor rights	0.51

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.56
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.41

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.36
6.2	No improper influence	0.54
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.40
6.4	Respect for due process	0.14
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.49

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.45
7.2	No discrimination	0.42
7.3	No corruption	0.38
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.27
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.27
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.45
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.67

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.21
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.30
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.16
8.4	No discrimination	0.26
8.5	No corruption	0.43
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.17
8.7	Due process of law	0.33

Hong Kong SAR, China

Region: East Asia & Pacific
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.76	5/15	16/37	16/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	—

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.65	0.00	7/15	27/37	31/128
Absence of Corruption	0.84	0.00	3/15	8/37	8/128
Open Government	0.73	-0.01	3/15	15/37	15/128
Fundamental Rights	0.65	-0.01	6/15	32/37	38/128
Order and Security	0.93	0.00	2/15	2/37	2/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.81	-0.01	4/15	12/37	12/128
Civil Justice	0.77	0.00	4/15	11/37	11/128
Criminal Justice	0.72	0.00	5/15	14/37	14/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Hong Kong SAR, China — East Asia & Pacific — High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.68
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.72
1.3	Independent auditing	0.59
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.75
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.56
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.58

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.83
2.2	In the judiciary	0.94
2.3	In the police/military	0.88
2.4	In the legislature	0.72

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.72
3.2	Right to information	0.74
3.3	Civic participation	0.64
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.83

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.74
4.2	Right to life & security	0.69
4.3	Due process of law	0.71
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.56
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.74
4.6	Right to privacy	0.50
4.7	Freedom of association	0.62
4.8	Labor rights	0.68

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.93
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.85

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.74
6.2	No improper influence	0.96
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.76
6.4	Respect for due process	0.87
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.73

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.63
7.2	No discrimination	0.68
7.3	No corruption	0.96
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.72
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.75
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.80
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.88

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.70
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.70
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.76
8.4	No discrimination	0.68
8.5	No corruption	0.87
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.58
8.7	Due process of law	0.71

Hungary

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.53	24/24	36/37	60/128

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	-2 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.40	-0.02	24/24	37/37	108/128
Absence of Corruption	0.51	0.00	23/24	35/37	53/128
Open Government	0.46	0.00	24/24	35/37	80/128
Fundamental Rights	0.58	0.00	24/24	36/37	59/128
Order and Security	0.89	-0.01	8/24	12/37	13/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.47	-0.01	24/24	37/37	86/128
Civil Justice	0.45	0.00	24/24	37/37	96/128
Criminal Justice	0.47	-0.01	23/24	35/37	57/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Hungary EU & EFTA & North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.35
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.41
1.3	Independent auditing	0.32
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.31
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.48
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.51

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.40
2.2	In the judiciary	0.71
2.3	In the police/military	0.70
2.4	In the legislature	0.21

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.55
3.2	Right to information	0.37
3.3	Civic participation	0.42
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.49

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.45
4.2	Right to life & security	0.81
4.3	Due process of law	0.56
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.48
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.53
4.6	Right to privacy	0.68
4.7	Freedom of association	0.49
4.8	Labor rights	0.64

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.92
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.76

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.54
6.2	No improper influence	0.61
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.47
6.4	Respect for due process	0.27
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.45

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.54
7.2	No discrimination	0.28
7.3	No corruption	0.65
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.35
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.34
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.38
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.64

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.48
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.53
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.41
8.4	No discrimination	0.28
8.5	No corruption	0.65
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.38
8.7	Due process of law	0.56

India

Region: South Asia
Income Group: Lower Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.51	3/6	6/30	69/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	—

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.61	0.00	1/6	3/30	41/128
Absence of Corruption	0.42	-0.01	2/6	9/30	85/128
Open Government	0.61	0.00	1/6	1/30	32/128
Fundamental Rights	0.51	-0.02	3/6	10/30	84/128
Order and Security	0.59	0.00	4/6	24/30	114/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.49	0.00	2/6	8/30	74/128
Civil Justice	0.45	0.00	2/6	18/30	98/128
Criminal Justice	0.40	0.00	3/6	9/30	78/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



India South Asia Lower Middle

Constraints on Government Powers



Absence of Corruption



Open Government



Fundamental Rights



Order and Security



Regulatory Enforcement



Civil Justice



Criminal Justice



Indonesia

Region: East Asia & Pacific
Income Group: Lower Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.53	9/15	5/30	59/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.01 ▲	4 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.68	0.02	5/15	1/30	26/128
Absence of Corruption	0.39	0.02	14/15	12/30	92/128
Open Government	0.55	0.01	7/15	4/30	49/128
Fundamental Rights	0.52	0.00	8/15	8/30	79/128
Order and Security	0.68	-0.02	12/15	12/30	82/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.55	0.00	8/15	3/30	49/128
Civil Justice	0.46	0.02	13/15	17/30	95/128
Criminal Justice	0.39	0.02	12/15	10/30	79/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Indonesia East Asia & Pacific Lower Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.80
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.68
1.3	Independent auditing	0.59
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.61
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.68
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.72

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.48
2.2	In the judiciary	0.32
2.3	In the police/military	0.51
2.4	In the legislature	0.27

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.36
3.2	Right to information	0.55
3.3	Civic participation	0.65
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.62

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.39
4.2	Right to life & security	0.51
4.3	Due process of law	0.41
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.68
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.45
4.6	Right to privacy	0.41
4.7	Freedom of association	0.67
4.8	Labor rights	0.61

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.85
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.77
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.43

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.56
6.2	No improper influence	0.67
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.52
6.4	Respect for due process	0.43
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.58

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.52
7.2	No discrimination	0.30
7.3	No corruption	0.40
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.49
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.52
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.40
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.57

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.35
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.52
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.29
8.4	No discrimination	0.28
8.5	No corruption	0.47
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.44
8.7	Due process of law	0.41

Iran

Region: Middle East & North Africa
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.43	7/8	41/42	109/128

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.02 ▾	-3 ▾

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.37	-0.02	7/8	37/42	114/128
Absence of Corruption	0.43	-0.02	6/8	32/42	76/128
Open Government	0.28	-0.01	7/8	41/42	124/128
Fundamental Rights	0.22	-0.02	8/8	42/42	128/128
Order and Security	0.73	-0.02	4/8	21/42	63/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.46	-0.02	6/8	33/42	88/128
Civil Justice	0.55	0.00	4/8	19/42	58/128
Criminal Justice	0.37	-0.05	7/8	31/42	87/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Iran Middle East & North Africa Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.40
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.37
1.3	Independent auditing	0.41
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.39
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.19
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.46

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.36
2.2	In the judiciary	0.54
2.3	In the police/military	0.52
2.4	In the legislature	0.30

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.35
3.2	Right to information	0.32
3.3	Civic participation	0.17
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.30

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.44
4.2	Right to life & security	0.23
4.3	Due process of law	0.40
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.19
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.05
4.6	Right to privacy	0.12
4.7	Freedom of association	0.12
4.8	Labor rights	0.24

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.76
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.85
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.57

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.35
6.2	No improper influence	0.44
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.51
6.4	Respect for due process	0.46
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.54

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.65
7.2	No discrimination	0.40
7.3	No corruption	0.44
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.47
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.56
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.59
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.75

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.25
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.45
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.55
8.4	No discrimination	0.26
8.5	No corruption	0.42
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.28
8.7	Due process of law	0.40

Italy

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.66	18/24	26/37	27/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	1 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.71	0.00	17/24	22/37	23/128
Absence of Corruption	0.63	0.00	19/24	30/37	35/128
Open Government	0.63	0.00	18/24	26/37	29/128
Fundamental Rights	0.73	0.00	17/24	22/37	23/128
Order and Security	0.75	0.00	23/24	32/37	56/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.61	0.01	19/24	29/37	32/128
Civil Justice	0.56	0.00	22/24	35/37	54/128
Criminal Justice	0.64	0.00	14/24	21/37	21/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Italy EU & EFTA & North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.72
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.70
1.3	Independent auditing	0.74
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.63
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.69
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.79

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.56
2.2	In the judiciary	0.81
2.3	In the police/military	0.85
2.4	In the legislature	0.30

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.61
3.2	Right to information	0.64
3.3	Civic participation	0.67
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.60

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.68
4.2	Right to life & security	0.86
4.3	Due process of law	0.71
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.69
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.74
4.6	Right to privacy	0.79
4.7	Freedom of association	0.79
4.8	Labor rights	0.58

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.80
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.44

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.61
6.2	No improper influence	0.73
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.45
6.4	Respect for due process	0.60
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.64

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.62
7.2	No discrimination	0.61
7.3	No corruption	0.66
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.70
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.32
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.36
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.69

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.47
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.60
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.53
8.4	No discrimination	0.62
8.5	No corruption	0.75
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.82
8.7	Due process of law	0.71

Jamaica

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.57	13/30	14/42	49/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	1 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.64	0.02	4/30	3/42	32/128
Absence of Corruption	0.55	0.00	12/30	12/42	47/128
Open Government	0.56	0.01	9/30	10/42	43/128
Fundamental Rights	0.64	0.01	11/30	7/42	40/128
Order and Security	0.61	-0.01	25/30	37/42	109/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.54	0.00	10/30	13/42	51/128
Civil Justice	0.51	0.00	17/30	25/42	70/128
Criminal Justice	0.50	0.00	13/30	15/42	49/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Jamaica Latin America & Caribbean Upper Middle



Japan

Region: East Asia & Pacific
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.78	4/15	15/37	15/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	—

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.71	0.00	4/15	23/37	24/128
Absence of Corruption	0.82	0.00	4/15	13/37	13/128
Open Government	0.68	0.00	5/15	21/37	22/128
Fundamental Rights	0.77	-0.01	3/15	18/37	19/128
Order and Security	0.92	0.00	3/15	5/37	5/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.79	0.00	5/15	16/37	16/128
Civil Justice	0.79	0.00	2/15	9/37	9/128
Criminal Justice	0.76	0.01	2/15	9/37	9/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Japan East Asia & Pacific High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.61
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.70
1.3	Independent auditing	0.69
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.73
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.71
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.81

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.79
2.2	In the judiciary	0.97
2.3	In the police/military	0.93
2.4	In the legislature	0.58

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.74
3.2	Right to information	0.62
3.3	Civic participation	0.67
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.71

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.82
4.2	Right to life & security	0.90
4.3	Due process of law	0.75
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.71
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.66
4.6	Right to privacy	0.83
4.7	Freedom of association	0.71
4.8	Labor rights	0.77

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.93
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.84

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.72
6.2	No improper influence	0.95
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.75
6.4	Respect for due process	0.74
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.76

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.71
7.2	No discrimination	0.81
7.3	No corruption	0.94
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.76
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.72
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.74
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.88

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.68
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.64
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.83
8.4	No discrimination	0.77
8.5	No corruption	0.91
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.71
8.7	Due process of law	0.75

Jordan

Region: Middle East & North Africa
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.57	2/8	15/42	50/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	-1 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.49	-0.01	5/8	23/42	80/128
Absence of Corruption	0.60	0.02	2/8	6/42	39/128
Open Government	0.42	0.01	3/8	36/42	98/128
Fundamental Rights	0.48	-0.01	3/8	34/42	91/128
Order and Security	0.76	-0.01	2/8	14/42	49/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.60	0.02	2/8	4/42	34/128
Civil Justice	0.62	-0.01	2/8	6/42	37/128
Criminal Justice	0.57	-0.01	2/8	4/42	32/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Jordan Middle East & North Africa Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.50
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.48
1.3	Independent auditing	0.56
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.53
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.44
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.42

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.58
2.2	In the judiciary	0.70
2.3	In the police/military	0.78
2.4	In the legislature	0.33

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.35
3.2	Right to information	0.56
3.3	Civic participation	0.38
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.38

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.65
4.2	Right to life & security	0.61
4.3	Due process of law	0.48
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.44
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.39
4.6	Right to privacy	0.41
4.7	Freedom of association	0.40
4.8	Labor rights	0.50

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.85
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.43

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.46
6.2	No improper influence	0.72
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.61
6.4	Respect for due process	0.60
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.62

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.60
7.2	No discrimination	0.66
7.3	No corruption	0.75
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.63
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.38
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.60
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.73

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.49
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.63
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.55
8.4	No discrimination	0.56
8.5	No corruption	0.69
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.58
8.7	Due process of law	0.48

Kazakhstan

Region: Eastern Europe & Central Asia
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.52	4/14	19/42	62/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	4 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.42	-0.01	9/14	35/42	102/128
Absence of Corruption	0.49	0.02	3/14	18/42	57/128
Open Government	0.46	-0.01	11/14	29/42	81/128
Fundamental Rights	0.46	-0.01	11/14	37/42	100/128
Order and Security	0.78	0.00	8/14	8/42	39/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.51	0.00	3/14	20/42	65/128
Civil Justice	0.62	0.02	1/14	9/42	40/128
Criminal Justice	0.46	0.02	5/14	19/42	58/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Kazakhstan Eastern Europe & Central Asia Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.45
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.42
1.3	Independent auditing	0.50
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.45
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.34
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.36

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.46
2.2	In the judiciary	0.57
2.3	In the police/military	0.57
2.4	In the legislature	0.34

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.53
3.2	Right to information	0.45
3.3	Civic participation	0.34
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.51

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.57
4.2	Right to life & security	0.60
4.3	Due process of law	0.43
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.34
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.57
4.6	Right to privacy	0.30
4.7	Freedom of association	0.33
4.8	Labor rights	0.51

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.78
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.56

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.60
6.2	No improper influence	0.63
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.56
6.4	Respect for due process	0.28
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.46

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.54
7.2	No discrimination	0.50
7.3	No corruption	0.54
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.42
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.85
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.73
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.74

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.41
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.69
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.44
8.4	No discrimination	0.42
8.5	No corruption	0.53
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.31
8.7	Due process of law	0.43

Kenya

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Lower Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.45	18/31	17/30	102/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	3 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.49	0.00	16/31	11/30	78/128
Absence of Corruption	0.27	0.00	27/31	27/30	122/128
Open Government	0.48	-0.01	7/31	11/30	72/128
Fundamental Rights	0.47	0.01	18/31	11/30	95/128
Order and Security	0.58	0.01	26/31	26/30	117/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.45	-0.01	15/31	13/30	93/128
Civil Justice	0.46	-0.01	16/31	15/30	91/128
Criminal Justice	0.38	0.01	14/31	11/30	80/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Kenya Sub-Saharan Africa Lower Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.63
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.51
1.3	Independent auditing	0.47
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.35
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.52
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.46

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.29
2.2	In the judiciary	0.46
2.3	In the police/military	0.26
2.4	In the legislature	0.08

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.29
3.2	Right to information	0.39
3.3	Civic participation	0.57
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.68

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.50
4.2	Right to life & security	0.31
4.3	Due process of law	0.40
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.52
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.63
4.6	Right to privacy	0.23
4.7	Freedom of association	0.60
4.8	Labor rights	0.55

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.59
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.83
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.33

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.44
6.2	No improper influence	0.46
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.45
6.4	Respect for due process	0.33
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.55

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.44
7.2	No discrimination	0.51
7.3	No corruption	0.42
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.50
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.29
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.44
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.62

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.35
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.41
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.38
8.4	No discrimination	0.36
8.5	No corruption	0.32
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.48
8.7	Due process of law	0.40

Kosovo

Region: Eastern Europe & Central Asia
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.54	2/14	17/42	54/128

Score Change	Rank Change
—	—

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.52	—	2/14	21/42	71/128
Absence of Corruption	0.46	—	5/14	22/42	62/128
Open Government	0.56	—	3/14	11/42	44/128
Fundamental Rights	0.60	—	3/14	15/42	52/128
Order and Security	0.84	—	2/14	1/42	25/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.44	—	10/14	36/42	97/128
Civil Justice	0.46	—	13/14	34/42	93/128
Criminal Justice	0.47	—	4/14	18/42	56/128



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low | Medium | High

2020 Score | 2019 Score

Kosovo | Eastern Europe & Central Asia | Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.61
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.42
1.3	Independent auditing	0.60
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.38
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.57
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.55

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.45
2.2	In the judiciary	0.45
2.3	In the police/military	0.64
2.4	In the legislature	0.31

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.50
3.2	Right to information	0.51
3.3	Civic participation	0.57
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.67

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.63
4.2	Right to life & security	0.65
4.3	Due process of law	0.60
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.57
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.73
4.6	Right to privacy	0.51
4.7	Freedom of association	0.62
4.8	Labor rights	0.45

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.89
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.62

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.36
6.2	No improper influence	0.56
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.39
6.4	Respect for due process	0.35
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.55

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.60
7.2	No discrimination	0.53
7.3	No corruption	0.36
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.44
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.24
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.42
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.62

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.35
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.34
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.60
8.4	No discrimination	0.60
8.5	No corruption	0.50
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.29
8.7	Due process of law	0.60

Kyrgyz Republic

Region: Eastern Europe & Central Asia
Income Group: Lower Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.48	11/14	12/30	87/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.01 ▲	—

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.48	0.00	3/14	13/30	84/128
Absence of Corruption	0.32	0.01	14/14	22/30	113/128
Open Government	0.54	0.00	5/14	5/30	51/128
Fundamental Rights	0.51	0.02	9/14	9/30	82/128
Order and Security	0.76	0.01	11/14	5/30	50/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.44	-0.01	8/14	14/30	95/128
Civil Justice	0.49	0.00	9/14	11/30	80/128
Criminal Justice	0.33	0.02	13/14	21/30	105/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Kyrgyz Republic — Eastern Europe & Central Asia — Lower Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.57
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.30
1.3	Independent auditing	0.43
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.47
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.60
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.52

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.37
2.2	In the judiciary	0.39
2.3	In the police/military	0.38
2.4	In the legislature	0.15

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.53
3.2	Right to information	0.53
3.3	Civic participation	0.59
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.50

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.54
4.2	Right to life & security	0.52
4.3	Due process of law	0.35
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.60
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.58
4.6	Right to privacy	0.32
4.7	Freedom of association	0.64
4.8	Labor rights	0.55

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.79
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.48

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.51
6.2	No improper influence	0.44
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.53
6.4	Respect for due process	0.32
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.43

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.60
7.2	No discrimination	0.56
7.3	No corruption	0.37
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.34
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.51
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.39
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.65

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.39
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.50
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.23
8.4	No discrimination	0.33
8.5	No corruption	0.29
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.21
8.7	Due process of law	0.35

Lebanon

Region: Middle East & North Africa
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.45	6/8	37/42	96/128

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.02 ▼	-4 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.50	-0.02	4/8	22/42	76/128
Absence of Corruption	0.36	-0.03	8/8	38/42	101/128
Open Government	0.40	-0.03	4/8	37/42	101/128
Fundamental Rights	0.50	-0.02	2/8	32/42	88/128
Order and Security	0.66	0.02	7/8	29/42	92/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.45	0.01	7/8	35/42	92/128
Civil Justice	0.42	-0.02	7/8	39/42	110/128
Criminal Justice	0.35	-0.03	8/8	35/42	100/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Lebanon Middle East & North Africa Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.71
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.46
1.3	Independent auditing	0.44
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.32
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.55
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.51

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.34
2.2	In the judiciary	0.44
2.3	In the police/military	0.52
2.4	In the legislature	0.16

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.24
3.2	Right to information	0.43
3.3	Civic participation	0.50
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.45

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.42
4.2	Right to life & security	0.59
4.3	Due process of law	0.45
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.55
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.47
4.6	Right to privacy	0.40
4.7	Freedom of association	0.60
4.8	Labor rights	0.50

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.70
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.92
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.35

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.48
6.2	No improper influence	0.46
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.39
6.4	Respect for due process	0.53
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.39

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.50
7.2	No discrimination	0.41
7.3	No corruption	0.38
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.36
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.34
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.41
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.54

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.48
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.42
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.29
8.4	No discrimination	0.12
8.5	No corruption	0.43
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.23
8.7	Due process of law	0.45

Liberia

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.45	15/31	8/19	98/128

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	1 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.52	-0.01	13/31	7/19	70/128
Absence of Corruption	0.32	0.00	23/31	14/19	112/128
Open Government	0.47	-0.02	9/31	4/19	75/128
Fundamental Rights	0.52	0.00	12/31	6/19	75/128
Order and Security	0.61	0.00	23/31	14/19	111/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.43	0.00	18/31	10/19	102/128
Civil Justice	0.44	0.00	22/31	11/19	106/128
Criminal Justice	0.31	-0.01	25/31	14/19	111/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Liberia Sub-Saharan Africa Low

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.54
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.52
1.3	Independent auditing	0.46
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.36
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.61
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.65

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.31
2.2	In the judiciary	0.33
2.3	In the police/military	0.41
2.4	In the legislature	0.25

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.18
3.2	Right to information	0.45
3.3	Civic participation	0.60
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.65

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.48
4.2	Right to life & security	0.52
4.3	Due process of law	0.38
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.61
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.63
4.6	Right to privacy	0.44
4.7	Freedom of association	0.65
4.8	Labor rights	0.48

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.48
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.35

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.40
6.2	No improper influence	0.43
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.41
6.4	Respect for due process	0.50
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.41

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.52
7.2	No discrimination	0.51
7.3	No corruption	0.35
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.39
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.39
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.43
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.49

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.28
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.30
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.24
8.4	No discrimination	0.34
8.5	No corruption	0.37
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.28
8.7	Due process of law	0.38

Madagascar

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.44	20/31	12/19	105/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.01 ▲	4 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.46	0.02	18/31	11/19	93/128
Absence of Corruption	0.27	0.01	28/31	17/19	123/128
Open Government	0.46	0.00	10/31	5/19	79/128
Fundamental Rights	0.47	0.00	17/31	12/19	92/128
Order and Security	0.70	-0.01	10/31	7/19	71/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.37	0.03	27/31	16/19	120/128
Civil Justice	0.43	0.03	23/31	12/19	107/128
Criminal Justice	0.36	0.01	21/31	10/19	96/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Madagascar Sub-Saharan Africa Low

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.47
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.40
1.3	Independent auditing	0.45
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.41
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.49
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.51

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.31
2.2	In the judiciary	0.28
2.3	In the police/military	0.35
2.4	In the legislature	0.15

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.34
3.2	Right to information	0.43
3.3	Civic participation	0.49
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.58

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.60
4.2	Right to life & security	0.38
4.3	Due process of law	0.34
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.49
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.59
4.6	Right to privacy	0.25
4.7	Freedom of association	0.54
4.8	Labor rights	0.61

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.65
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.45

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.33
6.2	No improper influence	0.35
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.42
6.4	Respect for due process	0.31
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.45

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.41
7.2	No discrimination	0.48
7.3	No corruption	0.31
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.37
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.50
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.42
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.53

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.34
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.48
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.21
8.4	No discrimination	0.43
8.5	No corruption	0.34
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.35
8.7	Due process of law	0.34

Malawi

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.52	8/31	3/19	65/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	3 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.56	0.00	10/31	5/19	57/128
Absence of Corruption	0.41	-0.01	13/31	7/19	87/128
Open Government	0.43	0.00	13/31	7/19	89/128
Fundamental Rights	0.58	-0.01	7/31	1/19	58/128
Order and Security	0.69	0.01	14/31	9/19	79/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.45	0.00	14/31	8/19	90/128
Civil Justice	0.56	0.02	8/31	2/19	55/128
Criminal Justice	0.46	0.01	8/31	3/19	59/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Malawi Sub-Saharan Africa Low

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.56
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.64
1.3	Independent auditing	0.53
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.45
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.58
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.60

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.36
2.2	In the judiciary	0.53
2.3	In the police/military	0.41
2.4	In the legislature	0.35

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.17
3.2	Right to information	0.42
3.3	Civic participation	0.60
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.53

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.56
4.2	Right to life & security	0.62
4.3	Due process of law	0.40
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.58
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.71
4.6	Right to privacy	0.54
4.7	Freedom of association	0.70
4.8	Labor rights	0.53

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.70
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.36

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.41
6.2	No improper influence	0.39
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.33
6.4	Respect for due process	0.52
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.61

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.47
7.2	No discrimination	0.60
7.3	No corruption	0.48
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.71
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.49
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.58
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.59

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.36
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.51
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.30
8.4	No discrimination	0.54
8.5	No corruption	0.47
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.64
8.7	Due process of law	0.40

Malaysia

Region: East Asia & Pacific
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.58	7/15	12/42	47/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.03 ▲	4 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.58	0.05*	8/15	12/42	50/128
Absence of Corruption	0.60	0.01	7/15	8/42	41/128
Open Government	0.42	0.04	13/15	34/42	95/128
Fundamental Rights	0.52	0.04*	9/15	29/42	80/128
Order and Security	0.79	0.00	7/15	5/42	34/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.57	0.04*	7/15	7/42	40/128
Civil Justice	0.63	0.03*	7/15	5/42	35/128
Criminal Justice	0.56	0.01	7/15	6/42	38/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Malaysia East Asia & Pacific Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers



Absence of Corruption



Open Government



Fundamental Rights



Order and Security



Regulatory Enforcement



Civil Justice



Criminal Justice



Mali

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.44	21/31	13/19	106/128

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▾	-2 ▾

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.47	-0.01	17/31	10/19	86/128
Absence of Corruption	0.34	0.03	21/31	13/19	107/128
Open Government	0.46	0.02	11/31	6/19	83/128
Fundamental Rights	0.54	0.01	11/31	5/19	71/128
Order and Security	0.51	-0.1*	28/31	17/19	122/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.49	-0.05	10/31	4/19	70/128
Civil Justice	0.42	-0.01	26/31	15/19	111/128
Criminal Justice	0.30	0.02	29/31	18/19	120/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Mali Sub-Saharan Africa Low

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.59
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.43
1.3	Independent auditing	0.37
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.28
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.55
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.59

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.40
2.2	In the judiciary	0.24
2.3	In the police/military	0.35
2.4	In the legislature	0.37

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.25
3.2	Right to information	0.46
3.3	Civic participation	0.56
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.56

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.64
4.2	Right to life & security	0.42
4.3	Due process of law	0.37
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.55
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.73
4.6	Right to privacy	0.31
4.7	Freedom of association	0.63
4.8	Labor rights	0.69

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.63
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.48
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.42

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.41
6.2	No improper influence	0.51
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.39
6.4	Respect for due process	0.52
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.62

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.40
7.2	No discrimination	0.45
7.3	No corruption	0.14
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.42
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.56
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.44
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.50

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.25
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.30
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.30
8.4	No discrimination	0.34
8.5	No corruption	0.27
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.25
8.7	Due process of law	0.37

Mauritania

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Lower Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.36	29/31	27/30	123/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.01 ▲	1 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.33	0.03	30/31	26/30	121/128
Absence of Corruption	0.29	-0.02	25/31	26/30	119/128
Open Government	0.27	0.01	31/31	29/30	127/128
Fundamental Rights	0.40	0.02	26/31	21/30	113/128
Order and Security	0.65	0.00	18/31	19/30	95/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.28	0.02	31/31	29/30	126/128
Civil Justice	0.36	0.04	30/31	28/30	124/128
Criminal Justice	0.30	0.00	28/31	24/30	117/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Mauritania Sub-Saharan Africa Lower Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.34
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.22
1.3	Independent auditing	0.35
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.29
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.35
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.43

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.27
2.2	In the judiciary	0.37
2.3	In the police/military	0.29
2.4	In the legislature	0.23

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.17
3.2	Right to information	0.28
3.3	Civic participation	0.37
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.25

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.41
4.2	Right to life & security	0.48
4.3	Due process of law	0.37
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.35
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.27
4.6	Right to privacy	0.36
4.7	Freedom of association	0.50
4.8	Labor rights	0.50

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.61
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.35

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.25
6.2	No improper influence	0.31
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.37
6.4	Respect for due process	0.17
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.30

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.39
7.2	No discrimination	0.28
7.3	No corruption	0.23
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.27
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.49
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.32
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.57

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.27
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.49
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.26
8.4	No discrimination	0.27
8.5	No corruption	0.31
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.16
8.7	Due process of law	0.37

Mauritius

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.61	3/31	6/42	38/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	-1 ▾

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.60	0.00	7/31	9/42	47/128
Absence of Corruption	0.59	0.00	3/31	10/42	43/128
Open Government	0.54	0.00	4/31	14/42	50/128
Fundamental Rights	0.64	-0.01	3/31	9/42	43/128
Order and Security	0.75	-0.01	3/31	15/42	51/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.63	0.00	1/31	2/42	27/128
Civil Justice	0.64	0.00	3/31	3/42	31/128
Criminal Justice	0.53	0.01	4/31	10/42	43/128



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Mauritius Sub-Saharan Africa Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.63
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.67
1.3	Independent auditing	0.44
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.52
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.61
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.72

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.60
2.2	In the judiciary	0.79
2.3	In the police/military	0.63
2.4	In the legislature	0.33

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.60
3.2	Right to information	0.42
3.3	Civic participation	0.60
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.54

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.59
4.2	Right to life & security	0.70
4.3	Due process of law	0.56
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.61
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.78
4.6	Right to privacy	0.61
4.7	Freedom of association	0.66
4.8	Labor rights	0.61

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.83
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.44

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.69
6.2	No improper influence	0.82
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.49
6.4	Respect for due process	0.56
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.59

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.63
7.2	No discrimination	0.72
7.3	No corruption	0.75
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.73
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.29
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.64
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.69

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.41
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.41
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.51
8.4	No discrimination	0.53
8.5	No corruption	0.66
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.65
8.7	Due process of law	0.56

Mexico

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.44	26/30	39/42	104/128

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	-3 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.46	-0.01	25/30	30/42	89/128
Absence of Corruption	0.27	-0.02	29/30	42/42	121/128
Open Government	0.60	0.00	7/30	7/42	36/128
Fundamental Rights	0.52	-0.02	24/30	28/42	78/128
Order and Security	0.53	-0.03*	29/30	41/42	121/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.45	-0.01	23/30	34/42	91/128
Civil Justice	0.39	-0.01	26/30	40/42	116/128
Criminal Justice	0.30	0.00	26/30	41/42	119/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Mexico Latin America & Caribbean Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.52
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.44
1.3	Independent auditing	0.44
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.27
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.51
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.60

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.31
2.2	In the judiciary	0.32
2.3	In the police/military	0.32
2.4	In the legislature	0.15

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.67
3.2	Right to information	0.59
3.3	Civic participation	0.54
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.62

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.38
4.2	Right to life & security	0.46
4.3	Due process of law	0.38
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.51
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.75
4.6	Right to privacy	0.53
4.7	Freedom of association	0.62
4.8	Labor rights	0.50

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.36
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.24

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.53
6.2	No improper influence	0.51
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.33
6.4	Respect for due process	0.35
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.54

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.41
7.2	No discrimination	0.29
7.3	No corruption	0.32
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.44
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.26
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.40
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.60

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.19
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.34
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.22
8.4	No discrimination	0.27
8.5	No corruption	0.28
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.40
8.7	Due process of law	0.38

Moldova

Region: Eastern Europe & Central Asia
Income Group: Lower Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.5	10/14	9/30	82/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.01 ▲	3 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.46	0.03*	6/14	15/30	92/128
Absence of Corruption	0.34	0.02	12/14	19/30	106/128
Open Government	0.55	0.00	4/14	3/30	48/128
Fundamental Rights	0.54	0.01	8/14	6/30	68/128
Order and Security	0.80	0.00	4/14	2/30	31/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.43	0.01	13/14	18/30	101/128
Civil Justice	0.48	0.01	11/14	12/30	86/128
Criminal Justice	0.36	0.02	11/14	15/30	89/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Moldova | Eastern Europe & Central Asia | Lower Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.60
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.37
1.3	Independent auditing	0.46
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.31
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.51
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.50

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.33
2.2	In the judiciary	0.39
2.3	In the police/military	0.51
2.4	In the legislature	0.13

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.60
3.2	Right to information	0.52
3.3	Civic participation	0.51
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.56

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.54
4.2	Right to life & security	0.68
4.3	Due process of law	0.44
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.51
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.63
4.6	Right to privacy	0.47
4.7	Freedom of association	0.59
4.8	Labor rights	0.48

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.83
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.58

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.54
6.2	No improper influence	0.42
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.46
6.4	Respect for due process	0.34
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.41

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.50
7.2	No discrimination	0.44
7.3	No corruption	0.33
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.35
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.48
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.59
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.66

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.27
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.47
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.37
8.4	No discrimination	0.42
8.5	No corruption	0.36
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.20
8.7	Due process of law	0.44

Mongolia

Region: East Asia & Pacific
Income Group: Lower Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.53	8/15	4/30	57/128

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	-3 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.53	-0.01	9/15	6/30	65/128
Absence of Corruption	0.42	-0.02	12/15	7/30	83/128
Open Government	0.49	-0.02	10/15	10/30	70/128
Fundamental Rights	0.57	-0.01	7/15	4/30	61/128
Order and Security	0.77	0.00	10/15	4/30	45/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.47	-0.02	11/15	10/30	82/128
Civil Justice	0.53	-0.01	9/15	7/30	68/128
Criminal Justice	0.49	-0.01	8/15	2/30	52/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Mongolia East Asia & Pacific Lower Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.67
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.50
1.3	Independent auditing	0.44
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.41
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.62
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.55

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.39
2.2	In the judiciary	0.51
2.3	In the police/military	0.63
2.4	In the legislature	0.15

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.39
3.2	Right to information	0.50
3.3	Civic participation	0.60
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.47

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.55
4.2	Right to life & security	0.63
4.3	Due process of law	0.50
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.62
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.62
4.6	Right to privacy	0.47
4.7	Freedom of association	0.67
4.8	Labor rights	0.53

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.83
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.47

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.57
6.2	No improper influence	0.47
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.53
6.4	Respect for due process	0.33
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.44

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.53
7.2	No discrimination	0.53
7.3	No corruption	0.49
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.47
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.59
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.46
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.64

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.42
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.57
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.52
8.4	No discrimination	0.55
8.5	No corruption	0.55
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.34
8.7	Due process of law	0.50

Morocco

Region: Middle East & North Africa
Income Group: Lower Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.5	4/8	8/30	79/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	-3 ▾

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.51	-0.02	3/8	8/30	74/128
Absence of Corruption	0.44	0.00	5/8	5/30	73/128
Open Government	0.44	0.00	2/8	13/30	86/128
Fundamental Rights	0.45	0.00	6/8	16/30	103/128
Order and Security	0.68	-0.01	6/8	13/30	83/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.56	0.02	3/8	2/30	46/128
Civil Justice	0.54	0.00	5/8	4/30	60/128
Criminal Justice	0.38	0.00	5/8	12/30	83/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Morocco Middle East & North Africa Lower Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.58
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.53
1.3	Independent auditing	0.45
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.46
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.46
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.57

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.48
2.2	In the judiciary	0.45
2.3	In the police/military	0.44
2.4	In the legislature	0.39

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.37
3.2	Right to information	0.47
3.3	Civic participation	0.45
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.47

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.68
4.2	Right to life & security	0.35
4.3	Due process of law	0.39
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.46
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.44
4.6	Right to privacy	0.24
4.7	Freedom of association	0.49
4.8	Labor rights	0.58

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.65
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.39

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.54
6.2	No improper influence	0.57
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.53
6.4	Respect for due process	0.53
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.63

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.52
7.2	No discrimination	0.56
7.3	No corruption	0.41
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.50
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.64
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.49
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.65

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.48
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.45
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.38
8.4	No discrimination	0.33
8.5	No corruption	0.39
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.23
8.7	Due process of law	0.39

Mozambique

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.41	25/31	15/19	113/128

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▾	-2 ▾

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.43	-0.02	20/31	13/19	101/128
Absence of Corruption	0.37	0.00	18/31	11/19	99/128
Open Government	0.35	-0.02	24/31	15/19	115/128
Fundamental Rights	0.41	-0.01	24/31	16/19	109/128
Order and Security	0.55	-0.07*	27/31	16/19	120/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.40	0.02	22/31	12/19	112/128
Civil Justice	0.46	0.00	17/31	8/19	94/128
Criminal Justice	0.33	-0.01	24/31	13/19	108/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Mozambique Sub-Saharan Africa Low

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.52
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.42
1.3	Independent auditing	0.44
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.41
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.41
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.39

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.32
2.2	In the judiciary	0.37
2.3	In the police/military	0.44
2.4	In the legislature	0.33

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.17
3.2	Right to information	0.32
3.3	Civic participation	0.43
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.49

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.56
4.2	Right to life & security	0.26
4.3	Due process of law	0.25
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.41
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.64
4.6	Right to privacy	0.26
4.7	Freedom of association	0.46
4.8	Labor rights	0.46

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.60
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.81
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.25

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.42
6.2	No improper influence	0.47
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.47
6.4	Respect for due process	0.28
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.37

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.46
7.2	No discrimination	0.52
7.3	No corruption	0.43
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.34
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.36
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.50
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.61

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.23
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.29
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.11
8.4	No discrimination	0.52
8.5	No corruption	0.41
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.50
8.7	Due process of law	0.25

Myanmar

Region: East Asia & Pacific
Income Group: Lower Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.42	14/15	20/30	112/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	—

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.45	0.00	13/15	18/30	98/128
Absence of Corruption	0.46	-0.01	10/15	3/30	63/128
Open Government	0.37	0.02	14/15	23/30	109/128
Fundamental Rights	0.31	0.00	14/15	29/30	125/128
Order and Security	0.65	0.00	14/15	18/30	94/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.46	0.00	13/15	11/30	87/128
Civil Justice	0.37	0.01	14/15	27/30	122/128
Criminal Justice	0.27	-0.02	14/15	26/30	122/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Myanmar East Asia & Pacific Lower Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.42
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.44
1.3	Independent auditing	0.38
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.45
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.38
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.62

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.56
2.2	In the judiciary	0.27
2.3	In the police/military	0.44
2.4	In the legislature	0.57

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.20
3.2	Right to information	0.40
3.3	Civic participation	0.44
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.44

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.42
4.2	Right to life & security	0.16
4.3	Due process of law	0.18
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.38
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.28
4.6	Right to privacy	0.17
4.7	Freedom of association	0.39
4.8	Labor rights	0.48

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.83
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.63
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.50

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.50
6.2	No improper influence	0.63
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.52
6.4	Respect for due process	0.29
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.38

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.37
7.2	No discrimination	0.26
7.3	No corruption	0.34
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.24
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.47
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.42
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.51

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.39
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.34
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.28
8.4	No discrimination	0.16
8.5	No corruption	0.40
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.14
8.7	Due process of law	0.18

Namibia

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.63	1/31	4/42	35/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	-1 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.69	0.01	1/31	2/42	25/128
Absence of Corruption	0.53	0.00	5/31	14/42	50/128
Open Government	0.58	0.00	3/31	8/42	40/128
Fundamental Rights	0.66	0.00	1/31	5/42	34/128
Order and Security	0.74	0.00	4/31	20/42	60/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.58	0.00	4/31	6/42	38/128
Civil Justice	0.67	0.00	1/31	2/42	26/128
Criminal Justice	0.55	0.01	2/31	7/42	39/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Namibia Sub-Saharan Africa Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.75
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.78
1.3	Independent auditing	0.52
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.62
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.74
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.74

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.46
2.2	In the judiciary	0.86
2.3	In the police/military	0.53
2.4	In the legislature	0.29

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.33
3.2	Right to information	0.53
3.3	Civic participation	0.72
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.72

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.54
4.2	Right to life & security	0.75
4.3	Due process of law	0.55
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.74
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.79
4.6	Right to privacy	0.56
4.7	Freedom of association	0.76
4.8	Labor rights	0.62

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.69
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.52

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.48
6.2	No improper influence	0.67
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.55
6.4	Respect for due process	0.57
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.65

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.51
7.2	No discrimination	0.65
7.3	No corruption	0.82
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.79
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.45
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.70
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.73

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.37
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.38
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.48
8.4	No discrimination	0.65
8.5	No corruption	0.65
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.80
8.7	Due process of law	0.55

Nepal

Region: South Asia
Income Group: Low

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.53	1/6	2/19	61/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	—

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.60	0.00	2/6	3/19	46/128
Absence of Corruption	0.41	-0.02	3/6	8/19	88/128
Open Government	0.52	0.00	2/6	2/19	58/128
Fundamental Rights	0.52	-0.02	2/6	7/19	76/128
Order and Security	0.73	0.00	1/6	4/19	62/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.51	0.01	1/6	2/19	62/128
Civil Justice	0.48	0.01	1/6	5/19	82/128
Criminal Justice	0.44	-0.01	2/6	4/19	67/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Nepal South Asia Low

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.75
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.62
1.3	Independent auditing	0.49
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.45
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.63
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.66

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.46
2.2	In the judiciary	0.40
2.3	In the police/military	0.58
2.4	In the legislature	0.21

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.31
3.2	Right to information	0.54
3.3	Civic participation	0.61
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.61

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.53
4.2	Right to life & security	0.40
4.3	Due process of law	0.39
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.63
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.63
4.6	Right to privacy	0.34
4.7	Freedom of association	0.64
4.8	Labor rights	0.61

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.77
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.41

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.48
6.2	No improper influence	0.58
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.50
6.4	Respect for due process	0.48
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.51

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.46
7.2	No discrimination	0.44
7.3	No corruption	0.42
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.50
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.53
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.44
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.56

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.49
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.56
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.39
8.4	No discrimination	0.34
8.5	No corruption	0.50
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.40
8.7	Due process of law	0.39

Netherlands

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.84	5/24	5/37	5/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	—

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.86	0.00	5/24	5/37	5/128
Absence of Corruption	0.88	0.00	5/24	6/37	6/128
Open Government	0.82	0.00	5/24	5/37	5/128
Fundamental Rights	0.84	0.00	7/24	7/37	7/128
Order and Security	0.85	0.00	13/24	19/37	20/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.85	-0.01	5/24	7/37	7/128
Civil Justice	0.85	-0.01	3/24	3/37	3/128
Criminal Justice	0.76	-0.01	7/24	8/37	8/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Netherlands EU & EFTA & North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.84
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.85
1.3	Independent auditing	0.88
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.84
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.85
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.90

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.84
2.2	In the judiciary	0.97
2.3	In the police/military	0.91
2.4	In the legislature	0.80

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.79
3.2	Right to information	0.74
3.3	Civic participation	0.84
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.92

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.82
4.2	Right to life & security	0.93
4.3	Due process of law	0.83
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.85
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.79
4.6	Right to privacy	0.82
4.7	Freedom of association	0.86
4.8	Labor rights	0.82

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.90
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.64

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.77
6.2	No improper influence	0.89
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.84
6.4	Respect for due process	0.83
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.91

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.80
7.2	No discrimination	0.90
7.3	No corruption	0.93
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.88
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.67
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.91
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.84

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.56
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.70
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.77
8.4	No discrimination	0.70
8.5	No corruption	0.89
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.86
8.7	Due process of law	0.83

New Zealand

Region: East Asia & Pacific
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.83	1/15	7/37	7/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	1 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.85	0.00	1/15	7/37	7/128
Absence of Corruption	0.87	0.00	2/15	7/37	7/128
Open Government	0.82	0.00	1/15	6/37	6/128
Fundamental Rights	0.81	0.00	1/15	11/37	11/128
Order and Security	0.89	0.00	4/15	13/37	14/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.85	0.00	2/15	5/37	5/128
Civil Justice	0.78	0.01	3/15	10/37	10/128
Criminal Justice	0.72	0.00	4/15	13/37	13/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



New Zealand East Asia & Pacific High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.85
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.87
1.3	Independent auditing	0.82
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.81
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.83
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.93

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.86
2.2	In the judiciary	0.96
2.3	In the police/military	0.92
2.4	In the legislature	0.76

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.85
3.2	Right to information	0.76
3.3	Civic participation	0.83
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.84

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.72
4.2	Right to life & security	0.92
4.3	Due process of law	0.77
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.83
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.82
4.6	Right to privacy	0.81
4.7	Freedom of association	0.83
4.8	Labor rights	0.75

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.89
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.79

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.78
6.2	No improper influence	0.95
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.82
6.4	Respect for due process	0.88
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.83

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.72
7.2	No discrimination	0.74
7.3	No corruption	0.94
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.86
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.74
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.69
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.80

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.60
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.73
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.65
8.4	No discrimination	0.56
8.5	No corruption	0.89
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.86
8.7	Due process of law	0.77

Nicaragua

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Lower Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.39	28/30	23/30	118/128

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▾	-2 ▾

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.26	-0.01	29/30	30/30	127/128
Absence of Corruption	0.34	0.00	24/30	18/30	105/128
Open Government	0.37	-0.01	29/30	22/30	108/128
Fundamental Rights	0.37	-0.02	29/30	24/30	116/128
Order and Security	0.70	-0.01	10/30	8/30	73/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.43	-0.03	25/30	19/30	104/128
Civil Justice	0.38	-0.03	27/30	26/30	120/128
Criminal Justice	0.29	0.01	27/30	25/30	121/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Nicaragua Latin America & Caribbean Lower Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.33
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.33
1.3	Independent auditing	0.16
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.17
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.36
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.23

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.39
2.2	In the judiciary	0.28
2.3	In the police/military	0.49
2.4	In the legislature	0.21

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.35
3.2	Right to information	0.25
3.3	Civic participation	0.36
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.53

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.40
4.2	Right to life & security	0.34
4.3	Due process of law	0.29
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.36
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.61
4.6	Right to privacy	0.15
4.7	Freedom of association	0.35
4.8	Labor rights	0.48

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.68
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.95
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.46

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.43
6.2	No improper influence	0.60
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.45
6.4	Respect for due process	0.24
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.42

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.48
7.2	No discrimination	0.37
7.3	No corruption	0.37
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.14
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.35
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.41
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.52

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.33
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.46
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.25
8.4	No discrimination	0.27
8.5	No corruption	0.41
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.04
8.7	Due process of law	0.29

Niger

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.45	19/31	11/19	103/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	—

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.40	-0.02	24/31	15/19	106/128
Absence of Corruption	0.40	-0.03	14/31	9/19	90/128
Open Government	0.35	0.00	25/31	16/19	116/128
Fundamental Rights	0.49	0.00	16/31	11/19	89/128
Order and Security	0.64	-0.08*	19/31	11/19	99/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.47	0.03	13/31	7/19	80/128
Civil Justice	0.45	0.02	19/31	9/19	102/128
Criminal Justice	0.38	0.04	16/31	8/19	84/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Niger Sub-Saharan Africa Low

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.51
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.43
1.3	Independent auditing	0.29
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.29
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.41
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.46

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.38
2.2	In the judiciary	0.49
2.3	In the police/military	0.47
2.4	In the legislature	0.28

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.20
3.2	Right to information	0.29
3.3	Civic participation	0.45
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.45

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.65
4.2	Right to life & security	0.41
4.3	Due process of law	0.41
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.41
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.61
4.6	Right to privacy	0.24
4.7	Freedom of association	0.56
4.8	Labor rights	0.66

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.70
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.69
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.55

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.50
6.2	No improper influence	0.56
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.45
6.4	Respect for due process	0.36
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.48

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.43
7.2	No discrimination	0.43
7.3	No corruption	0.46
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.27
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.47
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.45
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.62

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.29
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.40
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.32
8.4	No discrimination	0.48
8.5	No corruption	0.44
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.31
8.7	Due process of law	0.41

Nigeria

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Lower Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.43	22/31	18/30	108/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	—

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.53	-0.01	12/31	7/30	68/128
Absence of Corruption	0.33	-0.01	22/31	20/30	109/128
Open Government	0.43	0.00	14/31	18/30	94/128
Fundamental Rights	0.46	0.00	20/31	13/30	99/128
Order and Security	0.35	0.00	31/31	30/30	127/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.43	0.00	17/31	16/30	99/128
Civil Justice	0.50	0.01	11/31	10/30	75/128
Criminal Justice	0.40	-0.03	13/31	8/30	76/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Nigeria Sub-Saharan Africa Lower Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.68
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.53
1.3	Independent auditing	0.47
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.46
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.50
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.51

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.31
2.2	In the judiciary	0.49
2.3	In the police/military	0.35
2.4	In the legislature	0.17

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.20
3.2	Right to information	0.39
3.3	Civic participation	0.52
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.60

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.53
4.2	Right to life & security	0.27
4.3	Due process of law	0.35
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.50
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.54
4.6	Right to privacy	0.37
4.7	Freedom of association	0.58
4.8	Labor rights	0.51

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.55
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.08
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.43

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.40
6.2	No improper influence	0.51
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.34
6.4	Respect for due process	0.43
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.49

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.61
7.2	No discrimination	0.57
7.3	No corruption	0.49
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.50
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.23
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.43
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.66

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.40
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.38
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.34
8.4	No discrimination	0.51
8.5	No corruption	0.35
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.47
8.7	Due process of law	0.35

North Macedonia

Region: Eastern Europe & Central Asia
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.53	3/14	18/42	58/128

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	-1 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.47	0.00	4/14	28/42	87/128
Absence of Corruption	0.44	-0.02	6/14	27/42	70/128
Open Government	0.48	-0.01	7/14	25/42	71/128
Fundamental Rights	0.59	0.02	6/14	18/42	55/128
Order and Security	0.79	0.01	5/14	4/42	32/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.48	0.00	6/14	26/42	76/128
Civil Justice	0.55	-0.01	3/14	18/42	57/128
Criminal Justice	0.45	-0.02	6/14	22/42	63/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



North Macedonia | Eastern Europe & Central Asia | Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.58
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.37
1.3	Independent auditing	0.48
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.34
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.50
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.53

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.39
2.2	In the judiciary	0.49
2.3	In the police/military	0.67
2.4	In the legislature	0.23

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.49
3.2	Right to information	0.49
3.3	Civic participation	0.51
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.44

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.62
4.2	Right to life & security	0.68
4.3	Due process of law	0.56
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.50
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.73
4.6	Right to privacy	0.42
4.7	Freedom of association	0.61
4.8	Labor rights	0.58

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.78
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.60

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.45
6.2	No improper influence	0.48
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.54
6.4	Respect for due process	0.38
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.56

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.61
7.2	No discrimination	0.66
7.3	No corruption	0.36
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.39
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.51
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.63
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.71

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.45
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.49
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.35
8.4	No discrimination	0.46
8.5	No corruption	0.51
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.33
8.7	Due process of law	0.56

Norway

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.89	2/24	2/37	2/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	—

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.94	0.00	2/24	2/37	2/128
Absence of Corruption	0.94	0.00	2/24	2/37	2/128
Open Government	0.89	0.00	1/24	1/37	1/128
Fundamental Rights	0.91	0.01	2/24	2/37	2/128
Order and Security	0.93	0.00	2/24	4/37	4/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.87	0.00	2/24	2/37	2/128
Civil Justice	0.85	0.00	2/24	2/37	2/128
Criminal Justice	0.83	0.00	1/24	1/37	1/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Norway EU & EFTA & North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.96
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.95
1.3	Independent auditing	0.89
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.90
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.95
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.98

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.92
2.2	In the judiciary	0.97
2.3	In the police/military	0.96
2.4	In the legislature	0.91

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.88
3.2	Right to information	0.88
3.3	Civic participation	0.92
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.86

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.82
4.2	Right to life & security	0.94
4.3	Due process of law	0.89
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.95
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.88
4.6	Right to privacy	0.90
4.7	Freedom of association	0.96
4.8	Labor rights	0.92

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.96
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.82

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.84
6.2	No improper influence	0.99
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.81
6.4	Respect for due process	0.84
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.90

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.70
7.2	No discrimination	0.78
7.3	No corruption	0.96
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.93
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.79
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.89
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.90

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.61
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.77
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.91
8.4	No discrimination	0.76
8.5	No corruption	0.94
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.95
8.7	Due process of law	0.89

Pakistan

Region: South Asia
Income Group: Lower Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.39	5/6	25/30	120/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	-1 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.49	-0.03	4/6	12/30	79/128
Absence of Corruption	0.31	-0.01	5/6	25/30	116/128
Open Government	0.43	-0.02	4/6	16/30	91/128
Fundamental Rights	0.38	0.00	5/6	23/30	115/128
Order and Security	0.37	0.01	5/6	29/30	126/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.39	0.01	5/6	25/30	115/128
Civil Justice	0.38	0.01	4/6	24/30	118/128
Criminal Justice	0.35	0.00	4/6	19/30	98/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Pakistan South Asia Lower Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.56
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.50
1.3	Independent auditing	0.45
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.36
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.54
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.51

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.36
2.2	In the judiciary	0.38
2.3	In the police/military	0.29
2.4	In the legislature	0.21

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.25
3.2	Right to information	0.39
3.3	Civic participation	0.55
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.52

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.37
4.2	Right to life & security	0.23
4.3	Due process of law	0.31
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.54
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.47
4.6	Right to privacy	0.20
4.7	Freedom of association	0.58
4.8	Labor rights	0.31

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.60
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.19
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.34

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.41
6.2	No improper influence	0.49
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.38
6.4	Respect for due process	0.18
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.51

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.39
7.2	No discrimination	0.39
7.3	No corruption	0.36
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.49
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.29
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.30
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.46

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.28
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.38
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.33
8.4	No discrimination	0.28
8.5	No corruption	0.40
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.50
8.7	Due process of law	0.31

Panama

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.52	15/30	37/37	63/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	2 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.54	0.00	16/30	36/37	62/128
Absence of Corruption	0.42	0.00	19/30	37/37	82/128
Open Government	0.59	0.00	8/30	30/37	38/128
Fundamental Rights	0.64	0.02	12/30	34/37	42/128
Order and Security	0.66	-0.02	15/30	37/37	90/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.51	0.00	17/30	35/37	61/128
Civil Justice	0.48	-0.01	22/30	36/37	83/128
Criminal Justice	0.33	0.02	21/30	36/37	106/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Panama Latin America & Caribbean High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.55
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.44
1.3	Independent auditing	0.46
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.31
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.69
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.77

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.45
2.2	In the judiciary	0.47
2.3	In the police/military	0.55
2.4	In the legislature	0.22

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.41
3.2	Right to information	0.58
3.3	Civic participation	0.66
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.73

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.50
4.2	Right to life & security	0.73
4.3	Due process of law	0.49
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.69
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.71
4.6	Right to privacy	0.58
4.7	Freedom of association	0.73
4.8	Labor rights	0.68

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.59
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.39

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.48
6.2	No improper influence	0.58
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.55
6.4	Respect for due process	0.40
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.53

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.58
7.2	No discrimination	0.55
7.3	No corruption	0.43
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.41
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.26
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.51
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.62

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.32
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.33
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.17
8.4	No discrimination	0.31
8.5	No corruption	0.45
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.25
8.7	Due process of law	0.49

Peru

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.5	20/30	30/42	80/128

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▾	-7 ▾

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.60	-0.02	11/30	10/42	48/128
Absence of Corruption	0.33	0.00	26/30	40/42	111/128
Open Government	0.55	0.00	11/30	13/42	47/128
Fundamental Rights	0.62	0.00	15/30	12/42	46/128
Order and Security	0.63	-0.02	22/30	34/42	105/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.49	-0.01	19/30	22/42	68/128
Civil Justice	0.45	-0.01	24/30	37/42	100/128
Criminal Justice	0.33	0.00	22/30	38/42	107/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Peru Latin America & Caribbean Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.71
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.50
1.3	Independent auditing	0.54
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.40
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.69
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.73

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.37
2.2	In the judiciary	0.44
2.3	In the police/military	0.39
2.4	In the legislature	0.13

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.38
3.2	Right to information	0.60
3.3	Civic participation	0.61
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.61

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.48
4.2	Right to life & security	0.73
4.3	Due process of law	0.44
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.69
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.74
4.6	Right to privacy	0.68
4.7	Freedom of association	0.73
4.8	Labor rights	0.49

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.57
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.31

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.51
6.2	No improper influence	0.57
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.35
6.4	Respect for due process	0.39
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.63

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.49
7.2	No discrimination	0.45
7.3	No corruption	0.37
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.50
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.19
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.47
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.67

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.25
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.25
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.24
8.4	No discrimination	0.43
8.5	No corruption	0.32
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.38
8.7	Due process of law	0.44

Philippines

Region: East Asia & Pacific
Income Group: Lower Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.47	13/15	13/30	91/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	—

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.50	-0.02	10/15	9/30	75/128
Absence of Corruption	0.46	-0.01	11/15	4/30	64/128
Open Government	0.52	-0.01	8/15	7/30	55/128
Fundamental Rights	0.41	-0.01	12/15	18/30	107/128
Order and Security	0.65	0.05*	15/15	21/30	97/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.48	0.01	10/15	9/30	75/128
Civil Justice	0.46	0.02	12/15	16/30	92/128
Criminal Justice	0.31	0.00	13/15	22/30	112/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Philippines East Asia & Pacific Lower Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.55
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.53
1.3	Independent auditing	0.47
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.41
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.53
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.53

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.48
2.2	In the judiciary	0.40
2.3	In the police/military	0.53
2.4	In the legislature	0.41

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.43
3.2	Right to information	0.64
3.3	Civic participation	0.51
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.49

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.43
4.2	Right to life & security	0.18
4.3	Due process of law	0.31
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.53
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.64
4.6	Right to privacy	0.27
4.7	Freedom of association	0.53
4.8	Labor rights	0.43

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.67
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.77
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.50

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.48
6.2	No improper influence	0.60
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.38
6.4	Respect for due process	0.43
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.53

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.51
7.2	No discrimination	0.44
7.3	No corruption	0.50
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.39
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.34
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.45
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.59

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.42
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.31
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.19
8.4	No discrimination	0.23
8.5	No corruption	0.48
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.25
8.7	Due process of law	0.31

Poland

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.66	19/24	27/37	28/128

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	-1 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.58	0.00	21/24	32/37	51/128
Absence of Corruption	0.73	0.01	14/24	20/37	20/128
Open Government	0.60	-0.03	22/24	29/37	37/128
Fundamental Rights	0.64	-0.02	22/24	33/37	39/128
Order and Security	0.86	0.00	12/24	18/37	19/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.62	0.00	18/24	27/37	29/128
Civil Justice	0.63	-0.01	17/24	29/37	34/128
Criminal Justice	0.60	-0.01	17/24	25/37	26/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Poland EU & EFTA & North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.47
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.53
1.3	Independent auditing	0.54
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.56
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.62
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.75

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.64
2.2	In the judiciary	0.88
2.3	In the police/military	0.87
2.4	In the legislature	0.55

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.58
3.2	Right to information	0.50
3.3	Civic participation	0.61
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.69

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.69
4.2	Right to life & security	0.75
4.3	Due process of law	0.64
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.62
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.53
4.6	Right to privacy	0.59
4.7	Freedom of association	0.63
4.8	Labor rights	0.68

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.94
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.63

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.63
6.2	No improper influence	0.89
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.48
6.4	Respect for due process	0.52
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.58

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.63
7.2	No discrimination	0.81
7.3	No corruption	0.78
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.48
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.39
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.54
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.78

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.51
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.54
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.60
8.4	No discrimination	0.65
8.5	No corruption	0.80
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.44
8.7	Due process of law	0.64

Portugal

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.7	16/24	23/37	23/128

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	-1 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.78	-0.01	12/24	14/37	14/128
Absence of Corruption	0.72	0.00	16/24	23/37	23/128
Open Government	0.66	-0.01	16/24	23/37	24/128
Fundamental Rights	0.78	-0.01	14/24	16/37	17/128
Order and Security	0.79	0.00	20/24	27/37	37/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.62	-0.02	17/24	26/37	28/128
Civil Justice	0.68	-0.01	14/24	22/37	22/128
Criminal Justice	0.59	-0.01	18/24	26/37	27/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Portugal EU & EFTA & North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.84
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.76
1.3	Independent auditing	0.75
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.64
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.80
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.91

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.66
2.2	In the judiciary	0.88
2.3	In the police/military	0.87
2.4	In the legislature	0.50

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.56
3.2	Right to information	0.62
3.3	Civic participation	0.77
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.71

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.68
4.2	Right to life & security	0.89
4.3	Due process of law	0.65
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.80
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.83
4.6	Right to privacy	0.83
4.7	Freedom of association	0.85
4.8	Labor rights	0.69

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.91
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.45

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.63
6.2	No improper influence	0.82
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.45
6.4	Respect for due process	0.54
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.69

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.69
7.2	No discrimination	0.76
7.3	No corruption	0.79
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.77
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.43
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.53
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.81

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.48
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.44
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.49
8.4	No discrimination	0.46
8.5	No corruption	0.78
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.84
8.7	Due process of law	0.65

Republic of Korea

Region: East Asia & Pacific
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.73	6/15	17/37	17/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	1 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.72	0.00	3/15	20/37	21/128
Absence of Corruption	0.67	-0.01	6/15	26/37	28/128
Open Government	0.71	0.01	4/15	20/37	20/128
Fundamental Rights	0.73	0.00	4/15	21/37	22/128
Order and Security	0.84	0.00	6/15	21/37	23/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.72	0.00	6/15	19/37	19/128
Civil Justice	0.76	0.00	5/15	13/37	13/128
Criminal Justice	0.71	0.00	6/15	18/37	18/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Republic of Korea East Asia & Pacific High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.68
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.60
1.3	Independent auditing	0.84
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.68
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.66
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.85

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.70
2.2	In the judiciary	0.88
2.3	In the police/military	0.76
2.4	In the legislature	0.32

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.69
3.2	Right to information	0.76
3.3	Civic participation	0.66
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.71

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.68
4.2	Right to life & security	0.90
4.3	Due process of law	0.78
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.66
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.71
4.6	Right to privacy	0.83
4.7	Freedom of association	0.70
4.8	Labor rights	0.60

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.91
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.61

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.57
6.2	No improper influence	0.87
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.79
6.4	Respect for due process	0.63
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.77

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.68
7.2	No discrimination	0.70
7.3	No corruption	0.75
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.74
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.82
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.80
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.86

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.59
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.80
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.68
8.4	No discrimination	0.73
8.5	No corruption	0.66
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.71
8.7	Due process of law	0.78

Romania

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.63	20/24	3/42	32/128

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	-1 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.62	0.00	20/24	6/42	38/128
Absence of Corruption	0.56	-0.01	22/24	11/42	46/128
Open Government	0.61	-0.02	21/24	6/42	35/128
Fundamental Rights	0.70	0.00	19/24	3/42	28/128
Order and Security	0.83	-0.01	16/24	2/42	26/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.57	-0.01	21/24	9/42	42/128
Civil Justice	0.62	-0.02	19/24	8/42	39/128
Criminal Justice	0.56	-0.01	20/24	5/42	37/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Romania EU & EFTA & North America Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

		Romania	EU & EFTA & North America
1.1	Limits by legislature		0.68
1.2	Limits by judiciary		0.57
1.3	Independent auditing		0.54
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct		0.53
1.5	Non-governmental checks		0.67
1.6	Lawful transition of power		0.70

Absence of Corruption

		Romania	EU & EFTA & North America
2.1	In the executive branch		0.49
2.2	In the judiciary		0.72
2.3	In the police/military		0.75
2.4	In the legislature		0.28

Open Government

		Romania	EU & EFTA & North America
3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data		0.60
3.2	Right to information		0.53
3.3	Civic participation		0.59
3.4	Complaint mechanisms		0.71

Fundamental Rights

		Romania	EU & EFTA & North America
4.1	No discrimination		0.73
4.2	Right to life & security		0.82
4.3	Due process of law		0.61
4.4	Freedom of expression		0.67
4.5	Freedom of religion		0.74
4.6	Right to privacy		0.61
4.7	Freedom of association		0.65
4.8	Labor rights		0.75

Order and Security

		Romania	EU & EFTA & North America
5.1	Absence of crime		0.91
5.2	Absence of civil conflict		1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress		0.59

Regulatory Enforcement

		Romania	EU & EFTA & North America
6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement		0.59
6.2	No improper influence		0.67
6.3	No unreasonable delay		0.50
6.4	Respect for due process		0.42
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation		0.66

Civil Justice

		Romania	EU & EFTA & North America
7.1	Accessibility & affordability		0.57
7.2	No discrimination		0.68
7.3	No corruption		0.62
7.4	No improper gov't influence		0.64
7.5	No unreasonable delay		0.47
7.6	Effective enforcement		0.61
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs		0.75

Criminal Justice

		Romania	EU & EFTA & North America
8.1	Effective investigations		0.55
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication		0.50
8.3	Effective correctional system		0.40
8.4	No discrimination		0.61
8.5	No corruption		0.64
8.6	No improper gov't influence		0.59
8.7	Due process of law		0.61

Russian Federation

Region: Eastern Europe & Central Asia
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.47	13/14	36/42	94/128

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▾	-4 ▾

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.36	-0.01	11/14	38/42	115/128
Absence of Corruption	0.43	-0.02	9/14	33/42	77/128
Open Government	0.49	0.00	6/14	24/42	69/128
Fundamental Rights	0.44	-0.01	12/14	38/42	104/128
Order and Security	0.66	0.00	14/14	28/42	91/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.49	0.00	5/14	25/42	73/128
Civil Justice	0.54	0.02	5/14	20/42	62/128
Criminal Justice	0.31	-0.02	14/14	39/42	110/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Russian Federation | Eastern Europe & Central Asia | Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.36
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.33
1.3	Independent auditing	0.37
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.33
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.39
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.40

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.41
2.2	In the judiciary	0.55
2.3	In the police/military	0.51
2.4	In the legislature	0.24

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.57
3.2	Right to information	0.40
3.3	Civic participation	0.39
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.60

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.58
4.2	Right to life & security	0.45
4.3	Due process of law	0.36
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.39
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.54
4.6	Right to privacy	0.20
4.7	Freedom of association	0.41
4.8	Labor rights	0.57

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.76
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.83
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.39

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.55
6.2	No improper influence	0.55
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.61
6.4	Respect for due process	0.34
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.38

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.59
7.2	No discrimination	0.57
7.3	No corruption	0.54
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.30
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.74
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.47
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.55

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.21
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.33
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.36
8.4	No discrimination	0.38
8.5	No corruption	0.45
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.09
8.7	Due process of law	0.36

Rwanda

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.62	2/31	1/19	37/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.01 ▲	3 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.60	0.00	6/31	2/19	45/128
Absence of Corruption	0.63	0.03	1/31	1/19	36/128
Open Government	0.58	0.01	2/31	1/19	39/128
Fundamental Rights	0.51	-0.01	13/31	8/19	81/128
Order and Security	0.84	0.00	1/31	1/19	22/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.59	0.02	3/31	1/19	37/128
Civil Justice	0.64	0.01	2/31	1/19	30/128
Criminal Justice	0.54	-0.01	3/31	1/19	42/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Rwanda Sub-Saharan Africa Low

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.52
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.58
1.3	Independent auditing	0.63
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.73
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.45
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.70

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.67
2.2	In the judiciary	0.60
2.3	In the police/military	0.70
2.4	In the legislature	0.55

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.60
3.2	Right to information	0.61
3.3	Civic participation	0.53
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.60

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.66
4.2	Right to life & security	0.39
4.3	Due process of law	0.52
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.45
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.53
4.6	Right to privacy	0.24
4.7	Freedom of association	0.57
4.8	Labor rights	0.76

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.75
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.78

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.57
6.2	No improper influence	0.58
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.59
6.4	Respect for due process	0.52
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.70

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.71
7.2	No discrimination	0.66
7.3	No corruption	0.51
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.62
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.73
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.65
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.58

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.42
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.51
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.50
8.4	No discrimination	0.69
8.5	No corruption	0.69
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.41
8.7	Due process of law	0.52

Senegal

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Lower Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.55	7/31	2/30	52/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	—

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.56	-0.02	8/31	5/30	54/128
Absence of Corruption	0.54	-0.01	4/31	1/30	49/128
Open Government	0.43	-0.01	12/31	14/30	88/128
Fundamental Rights	0.60	-0.01	5/31	3/30	51/128
Order and Security	0.69	0.00	12/31	10/30	76/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.57	0.02	5/31	1/30	44/128
Civil Justice	0.57	0.01	7/31	2/30	51/128
Criminal Justice	0.45	0.00	9/31	4/30	64/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Senegal Sub-Saharan Africa Lower Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.53
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.46
1.3	Independent auditing	0.55
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.50
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.62
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.72

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.47
2.2	In the judiciary	0.60
2.3	In the police/military	0.67
2.4	In the legislature	0.41

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.33
3.2	Right to information	0.46
3.3	Civic participation	0.62
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.32

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.67
4.2	Right to life & security	0.57
4.3	Due process of law	0.45
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.62
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.74
4.6	Right to privacy	0.35
4.7	Freedom of association	0.71
4.8	Labor rights	0.66

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.67
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.40

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.54
6.2	No improper influence	0.66
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.45
6.4	Respect for due process	0.50
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.67

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.54
7.2	No discrimination	0.68
7.3	No corruption	0.52
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.42
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.63
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.60
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.63

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.53
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.47
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.31
8.4	No discrimination	0.57
8.5	No corruption	0.58
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.23
8.7	Due process of law	0.45

Serbia

Region: Eastern Europe & Central Asia
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.5	8/14	26/42	75/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	5 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.39	-0.01	10/14	36/42	111/128
Absence of Corruption	0.44	0.00	7/14	29/42	72/128
Open Government	0.47	-0.01	9/14	27/42	76/128
Fundamental Rights	0.57	0.01	7/14	21/42	62/128
Order and Security	0.77	0.00	9/14	10/42	42/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.48	0.01	7/14	27/42	77/128
Civil Justice	0.51	0.01	8/14	27/42	73/128
Criminal Justice	0.40	0.02	9/14	28/42	77/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Serbia Eastern Europe & Central Asia Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.40
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.34
1.3	Independent auditing	0.39
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.26
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.48
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.46

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.43
2.2	In the judiciary	0.51
2.3	In the police/military	0.56
2.4	In the legislature	0.26

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.54
3.2	Right to information	0.46
3.3	Civic participation	0.47
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.40

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.65
4.2	Right to life & security	0.61
4.3	Due process of law	0.49
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.48
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.70
4.6	Right to privacy	0.40
4.7	Freedom of association	0.58
4.8	Labor rights	0.67

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.87
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.44

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.49
6.2	No improper influence	0.56
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.42
6.4	Respect for due process	0.40
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.54

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.59
7.2	No discrimination	0.69
7.3	No corruption	0.46
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.36
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.29
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.51
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.64

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.38
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.45
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.42
8.4	No discrimination	0.38
8.5	No corruption	0.43
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.23
8.7	Due process of law	0.49

Sierra Leone

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.45	17/31	10/19	100/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	—

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.51	0.00	15/31	9/19	73/128
Absence of Corruption	0.35	0.00	20/31	12/19	104/128
Open Government	0.40	-0.02	17/31	11/19	103/128
Fundamental Rights	0.51	-0.01	14/31	9/19	85/128
Order and Security	0.67	-0.02	17/31	10/19	89/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.37	0.00	26/31	15/19	119/128
Civil Justice	0.44	0.03	21/31	10/19	105/128
Criminal Justice	0.35	0.00	22/31	11/19	99/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Sierra Leone Sub-Saharan Africa Low

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.58
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.42
1.3	Independent auditing	0.51
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.42
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.55
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.58

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.37
2.2	In the judiciary	0.35
2.3	In the police/military	0.36
2.4	In the legislature	0.34

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.09
3.2	Right to information	0.43
3.3	Civic participation	0.54
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.53

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.51
4.2	Right to life & security	0.43
4.3	Due process of law	0.40
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.55
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.73
4.6	Right to privacy	0.34
4.7	Freedom of association	0.59
4.8	Labor rights	0.50

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.60
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.39

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.41
6.2	No improper influence	0.40
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.37
6.4	Respect for due process	0.32
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.37

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.51
7.2	No discrimination	0.50
7.3	No corruption	0.34
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.30
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.41
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.53
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.51

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.43
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.34
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.28
8.4	No discrimination	0.44
8.5	No corruption	0.37
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.21
8.7	Due process of law	0.40

Singapore

Region: East Asia & Pacific
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.79	3/15	12/37	12/128

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	1 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.67	-0.02	6/15	25/37	29/128
Absence of Corruption	0.91	0.00	1/15	3/37	3/128
Open Government	0.64	-0.02	6/15	25/37	26/128
Fundamental Rights	0.68	-0.01	5/15	28/37	32/128
Order and Security	0.93	0.00	1/15	1/37	1/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.87	0.00	1/15	3/37	3/128
Civil Justice	0.82	-0.01	1/15	6/37	6/128
Criminal Justice	0.79	0.00	1/15	6/37	6/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Singapore East Asia & Pacific High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.48
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.72
1.3	Independent auditing	0.70
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.90
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.48
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.74

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.91
2.2	In the judiciary	0.91
2.3	In the police/military	0.94
2.4	In the legislature	0.90

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.79
3.2	Right to information	0.61
3.3	Civic participation	0.51
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.65

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.84
4.2	Right to life & security	0.80
4.3	Due process of law	0.74
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.48
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.81
4.6	Right to privacy	0.59
4.7	Freedom of association	0.47
4.8	Labor rights	0.73

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.98
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.81

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.83
6.2	No improper influence	0.96
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.90
6.4	Respect for due process	0.87
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.79

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.63
7.2	No discrimination	0.85
7.3	No corruption	0.85
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.70
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.93
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.97
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.80

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.83
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.78
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.87
8.4	No discrimination	0.81
8.5	No corruption	0.91
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.56
8.7	Due process of law	0.74

Slovenia

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.69	17/24	24/37	24/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.01 ▲	2 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.65	0.01	19/24	26/37	30/128
Absence of Corruption	0.66	0.01	17/24	27/37	29/128
Open Government	0.65	0.00	17/24	24/37	25/128
Fundamental Rights	0.75	0.02	15/24	19/37	20/128
Order and Security	0.89	0.00	10/24	15/37	16/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.65	0.01	16/24	24/37	25/128
Civil Justice	0.66	0.03*	16/24	26/37	28/128
Criminal Justice	0.56	0.01	19/24	31/37	35/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Slovenia EU & EFTA & North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.69
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.58
1.3	Independent auditing	0.63
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.50
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.71
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.79

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.63
2.2	In the judiciary	0.79
2.3	In the police/military	0.76
2.4	In the legislature	0.47

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.67
3.2	Right to information	0.61
3.3	Civic participation	0.71
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.63

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.70
4.2	Right to life & security	0.91
4.3	Due process of law	0.72
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.71
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.73
4.6	Right to privacy	0.74
4.7	Freedom of association	0.77
4.8	Labor rights	0.77

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.96
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.71

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.71
6.2	No improper influence	0.75
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.70
6.4	Respect for due process	0.53
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.58

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.70
7.2	No discrimination	0.75
7.3	No corruption	0.66
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.58
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.52
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.62
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.77

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.42
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.53
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.56
8.4	No discrimination	0.49
8.5	No corruption	0.68
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.52
8.7	Due process of law	0.72

South Africa

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.59	5/31	10/42	45/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.01 ▲	2 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.63	0.01	3/31	4/42	33/128
Absence of Corruption	0.48	0.00	6/31	19/42	58/128
Open Government	0.62	0.00	1/31	4/42	30/128
Fundamental Rights	0.64	0.00	2/31	8/42	41/128
Order and Security	0.61	0.00	22/31	38/42	110/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.56	0.02	6/31	11/42	45/128
Civil Justice	0.61	0.03	4/31	10/42	41/128
Criminal Justice	0.53	0.01	5/31	11/42	44/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



South Africa Sub-Saharan Africa Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.58
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.67
1.3	Independent auditing	0.63
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.47
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.71
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.71

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.40
2.2	In the judiciary	0.73
2.3	In the police/military	0.57
2.4	In the legislature	0.23

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.54
3.2	Right to information	0.53
3.3	Civic participation	0.70
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.72

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.54
4.2	Right to life & security	0.62
4.3	Due process of law	0.54
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.71
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.73
4.6	Right to privacy	0.57
4.7	Freedom of association	0.74
4.8	Labor rights	0.66

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.48
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.35

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.44
6.2	No improper influence	0.62
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.52
6.4	Respect for due process	0.63
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.62

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.51
7.2	No discrimination	0.49
7.3	No corruption	0.69
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.67
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.54
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.61
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.77

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.39
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.52
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.33
8.4	No discrimination	0.55
8.5	No corruption	0.59
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.76
8.7	Due process of law	0.54

Spain

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.73	13/24	19/37	19/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.01 ▲	2 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.74	0.02	13/24	16/37	17/128
Absence of Corruption	0.73	0.01	15/24	21/37	21/128
Open Government	0.71	0.01	14/24	19/37	19/128
Fundamental Rights	0.79	0.00	13/24	15/37	16/128
Order and Security	0.82	0.00	17/24	23/37	27/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.70	0.02	15/24	22/37	22/128
Civil Justice	0.67	0.00	15/24	24/37	25/128
Criminal Justice	0.67	0.01	13/24	20/37	20/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Spain EU & EFTA & North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.76
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.66
1.3	Independent auditing	0.75
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.66
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.72
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.87

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.68
2.2	In the judiciary	0.88
2.3	In the police/military	0.88
2.4	In the legislature	0.49

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.71
3.2	Right to information	0.66
3.3	Civic participation	0.71
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.76

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.71
4.2	Right to life & security	0.86
4.3	Due process of law	0.79
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.72
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.77
4.6	Right to privacy	0.87
4.7	Freedom of association	0.82
4.8	Labor rights	0.74

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.86
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.60

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.67
6.2	No improper influence	0.83
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.58
6.4	Respect for due process	0.76
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.67

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.73
7.2	No discrimination	0.70
7.3	No corruption	0.72
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.68
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.51
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.55
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.80

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.59
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.56
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.71
8.4	No discrimination	0.63
8.5	No corruption	0.76
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.65
8.7	Due process of law	0.79

Sri Lanka

Region: South Asia
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.52	2/6	21/42	66/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	-2 ▾

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.55	0.01	3/6	15/42	60/128
Absence of Corruption	0.47	0.01	1/6	21/42	61/128
Open Government	0.51	0.01	3/6	17/42	59/128
Fundamental Rights	0.54	0.00	1/6	25/42	72/128
Order and Security	0.71	0.00	2/6	24/42	70/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.47	-0.02	3/6	28/42	79/128
Civil Justice	0.45	-0.02	3/6	36/42	99/128
Criminal Justice	0.44	0.00	1/6	23/42	65/128



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High

Sri Lanka South Asia Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.56
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.61
1.3	Independent auditing	0.45
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.40
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.63
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.69

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.43
2.2	In the judiciary	0.59
2.3	In the police/military	0.56
2.4	In the legislature	0.30

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.42
3.2	Right to information	0.46
3.3	Civic participation	0.61
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.54

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.56
4.2	Right to life & security	0.40
4.3	Due process of law	0.39
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.63
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.66
4.6	Right to privacy	0.38
4.7	Freedom of association	0.69
4.8	Labor rights	0.61

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.82
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.30

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.53
6.2	No improper influence	0.53
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.38
6.4	Respect for due process	0.39
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.54

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.45
7.2	No discrimination	0.43
7.3	No corruption	0.60
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.49
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.28
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.35
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.55

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.41
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.35
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.36
8.4	No discrimination	0.50
8.5	No corruption	0.63
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.49
8.7	Due process of law	0.39

St. Kitts and Nevis

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.63	6/30	30/37	33/128

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	-3 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.62	-0.02	7/30	30/37	36/128
Absence of Corruption	0.64	-0.03	7/30	29/37	33/128
Open Government	0.44	0.03	25/30	36/37	87/128
Fundamental Rights	0.70	-0.02	6/30	26/37	29/128
Order and Security	0.78	-0.02	3/30	29/37	41/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.61	0.00	4/30	28/37	31/128
Civil Justice	0.69	-0.06	2/30	21/37	21/128
Criminal Justice	0.59	0.02	3/30	27/37	28/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



St. Kitts and Nevis — Latin America & Caribbean — High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.61
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.70
1.3	Independent auditing	0.59
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.47
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.65
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.69

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.48
2.2	In the judiciary	0.89
2.3	In the police/military	0.77
2.4	In the legislature	0.42

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.19
3.2	Right to information	0.39
3.3	Civic participation	0.62
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.56

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.67
4.2	Right to life & security	0.86
4.3	Due process of law	0.62
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.65
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.65
4.6	Right to privacy	0.75
4.7	Freedom of association	0.70
4.8	Labor rights	0.71

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.81
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.51

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.52
6.2	No improper influence	0.75
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.53
6.4	Respect for due process	0.60
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.65

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.66
7.2	No discrimination	0.67
7.3	No corruption	0.78
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.83
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.55
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.52
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.79

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.44
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.55
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.36
8.4	No discrimination	0.63
8.5	No corruption	0.75
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.77
8.7	Due process of law	0.62

St. Lucia

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.62	8/30	5/42	36/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	2 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.59	0.00	12/30	11/42	49/128
Absence of Corruption	0.64	0.00	8/30	5/42	34/128
Open Government	0.49	0.00	21/30	23/42	67/128
Fundamental Rights	0.66	0.00	9/30	6/42	35/128
Order and Security	0.74	0.02	7/30	17/42	57/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.60	0.02	7/30	5/42	36/128
Civil Justice	0.68	0.02	3/30	1/42	23/128
Criminal Justice	0.55	-0.02	10/30	9/42	41/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



St. Lucia — Latin America & Caribbean — Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.62
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.70
1.3	Independent auditing	0.40
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.47
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.65
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.72

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.56
2.2	In the judiciary	0.88
2.3	In the police/military	0.71
2.4	In the legislature	0.40

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.27
3.2	Right to information	0.52
3.3	Civic participation	0.64
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.55

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.78
4.2	Right to life & security	0.74
4.3	Due process of law	0.53
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.65
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.67
4.6	Right to privacy	0.53
4.7	Freedom of association	0.71
4.8	Labor rights	0.69

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.78
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.45

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.51
6.2	No improper influence	0.73
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.37
6.4	Respect for due process	0.65
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.72

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.62
7.2	No discrimination	0.77
7.3	No corruption	0.79
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.76
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.50
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.46
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.85

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.40
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.37
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.48
8.4	No discrimination	0.62
8.5	No corruption	0.71
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.74
8.7	Due process of law	0.53

St. Vincent and the Grenadines

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.64	5/30	2/42	31/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.02 ▲	4 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.62	0.03	8/30	5/42	37/128
Absence of Corruption	0.70	0.00	2/30	1/42	24/128
Open Government	0.52	0.02	14/30	16/42	54/128
Fundamental Rights	0.70	0.02	5/30	2/42	27/128
Order and Security	0.75	0.01	5/30	16/42	54/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.57	0.03	8/30	10/42	43/128
Civil Justice	0.64	0.02	6/30	4/42	32/128
Criminal Justice	0.61	0.00	2/30	1/42	25/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



St. Vincent and the Grenadines — Latin America & Caribbean — Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.58
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.61
1.3	Independent auditing	0.67
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.56
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.61
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.67

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.62
2.2	In the judiciary	0.85
2.3	In the police/military	0.78
2.4	In the legislature	0.54

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.26
3.2	Right to information	0.58
3.3	Civic participation	0.63
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.62

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.66
4.2	Right to life & security	0.83
4.3	Due process of law	0.61
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.61
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.82
4.6	Right to privacy	0.73
4.7	Freedom of association	0.71
4.8	Labor rights	0.63

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.77
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.48

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.53
6.2	No improper influence	0.77
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.49
6.4	Respect for due process	0.47
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.58

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.67
7.2	No discrimination	0.64
7.3	No corruption	0.75
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.65
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.44
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.48
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.82

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.45
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.56
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.57
8.4	No discrimination	0.55
8.5	No corruption	0.74
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.75
8.7	Due process of law	0.61

Suriname

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.5	18/30	27/42	76/128

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	-5 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.48	-0.01	22/30	24/42	81/128
Absence of Corruption	0.45	-0.06	16/30	25/42	68/128
Open Government	0.39	0.02	28/30	38/42	104/128
Fundamental Rights	0.55	0.00	19/30	23/42	66/128
Order and Security	0.65	-0.01	18/30	30/42	98/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.47	0.00	21/30	29/42	81/128
Civil Justice	0.49	-0.02	20/30	29/42	78/128
Criminal Justice	0.52	-0.01	11/30	12/42	45/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Suriname Latin America & Caribbean Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.48
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.59
1.3	Independent auditing	0.30
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.37
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.59
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.57

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.40
2.2	In the judiciary	0.66
2.3	In the police/military	0.53
2.4	In the legislature	0.21

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.32
3.2	Right to information	0.30
3.3	Civic participation	0.56
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.38

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.54
4.2	Right to life & security	0.61
4.3	Due process of law	0.45
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.59
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.66
4.6	Right to privacy	0.41
4.7	Freedom of association	0.65
4.8	Labor rights	0.51

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.74
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.20

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.46
6.2	No improper influence	0.62
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.37
6.4	Respect for due process	0.43
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.47

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.48
7.2	No discrimination	0.55
7.3	No corruption	0.69
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.51
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.26
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.49
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.48

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.43
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.53
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.35
8.4	No discrimination	0.57
8.5	No corruption	0.58
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.73
8.7	Due process of law	0.45

Sweden

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.86	4/24	4/37	4/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	—

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.87	0.00	4/24	4/37	4/128
Absence of Corruption	0.91	0.00	3/24	4/37	4/128
Open Government	0.86	0.00	3/24	3/37	3/128
Fundamental Rights	0.87	0.00	4/24	4/37	4/128
Order and Security	0.92	0.00	3/24	6/37	6/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.84	0.00	6/24	8/37	8/128
Civil Justice	0.82	0.02	5/24	5/37	5/128
Criminal Justice	0.80	-0.01	4/24	4/37	4/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Sweden EU & EFTA & North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.81
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.83
1.3	Independent auditing	0.97
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.84
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.85
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.93

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.87
2.2	In the judiciary	0.98
2.3	In the police/military	0.97
2.4	In the legislature	0.82

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.78
3.2	Right to information	0.92
3.3	Civic participation	0.85
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.89

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.73
4.2	Right to life & security	0.99
4.3	Due process of law	0.92
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.85
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.84
4.6	Right to privacy	0.97
4.7	Freedom of association	0.87
4.8	Labor rights	0.77

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.89
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.87

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.78
6.2	No improper influence	0.93
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.84
6.4	Respect for due process	0.79
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.89

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.76
7.2	No discrimination	0.70
7.3	No corruption	0.91
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.90
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.80
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.91
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.79

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.53
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.71
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.83
8.4	No discrimination	0.78
8.5	No corruption	0.92
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.92
8.7	Due process of law	0.92

Tanzania

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.47	12/31	7/19	93/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	—

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.51	-0.01	14/31	8/19	72/128
Absence of Corruption	0.42	0.00	12/31	6/19	81/128
Open Government	0.38	0.00	18/31	12/19	105/128
Fundamental Rights	0.43	-0.02	22/31	14/19	105/128
Order and Security	0.69	0.00	13/31	8/19	78/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.44	0.02	16/31	9/19	94/128
Civil Justice	0.50	0.02	12/31	4/19	76/128
Criminal Justice	0.38	0.00	15/31	7/19	81/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Tanzania Sub-Saharan Africa Low

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.55
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.53
1.3	Independent auditing	0.48
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.55
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.42
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.52

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.47
2.2	In the judiciary	0.42
2.3	In the police/military	0.37
2.4	In the legislature	0.42

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.25
3.2	Right to information	0.46
3.3	Civic participation	0.48
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.36

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.56
4.2	Right to life & security	0.31
4.3	Due process of law	0.32
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.42
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.58
4.6	Right to privacy	0.23
4.7	Freedom of association	0.50
4.8	Labor rights	0.55

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.68
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.38

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.57
6.2	No improper influence	0.49
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.38
6.4	Respect for due process	0.29
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.48

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.48
7.2	No discrimination	0.58
7.3	No corruption	0.44
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.44
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.40
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.51
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.63

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.36
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.41
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.28
8.4	No discrimination	0.47
8.5	No corruption	0.43
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.40
8.7	Due process of law	0.32

Thailand

Region: East Asia & Pacific
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.51	10/15	24/42	71/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	6 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.48	0.01	11/15	25/42	82/128
Absence of Corruption	0.49	0.00	9/15	17/42	54/128
Open Government	0.51	0.02	9/15	19/42	61/128
Fundamental Rights	0.49	0.01	10/15	33/42	90/128
Order and Security	0.71	0.00	11/15	22/42	67/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.47	-0.01	12/15	30/42	83/128
Civil Justice	0.48	-0.01	10/15	32/42	84/128
Criminal Justice	0.43	0.01	11/15	26/42	70/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Thailand East Asia & Pacific Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.52
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.60
1.3	Independent auditing	0.43
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.47
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.54
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.35

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.46
2.2	In the judiciary	0.71
2.3	In the police/military	0.49
2.4	In the legislature	0.30

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.44
3.2	Right to information	0.45
3.3	Civic participation	0.53
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.61

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.54
4.2	Right to life & security	0.40
4.3	Due process of law	0.42
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.54
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.58
4.6	Right to privacy	0.38
4.7	Freedom of association	0.47
4.8	Labor rights	0.58

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.80
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.83
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.51

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.52
6.2	No improper influence	0.52
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.49
6.4	Respect for due process	0.32
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.49

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.58
7.2	No discrimination	0.49
7.3	No corruption	0.68
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.52
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.26
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.33
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.49

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.42
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.43
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.30
8.4	No discrimination	0.31
8.5	No corruption	0.61
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.54
8.7	Due process of law	0.42

Togo

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.45	16/31	9/19	99/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	3 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.36	0.00	29/31	19/19	117/128
Absence of Corruption	0.43	0.01	9/31	4/19	78/128
Open Government	0.30	-0.01	30/31	19/19	123/128
Fundamental Rights	0.46	-0.03	19/31	13/19	97/128
Order and Security	0.73	0.00	5/31	3/19	61/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.49	0.00	11/31	5/19	71/128
Civil Justice	0.48	0.01	13/31	6/19	85/128
Criminal Justice	0.36	0.00	20/31	9/19	94/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Togo Sub-Saharan Africa Low

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.45
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.31
1.3	Independent auditing	0.30
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.32
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.39
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.37

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.45
2.2	In the judiciary	0.45
2.3	In the police/military	0.46
2.4	In the legislature	0.36

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.21
3.2	Right to information	0.26
3.3	Civic participation	0.38
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.35

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.62
4.2	Right to life & security	0.40
4.3	Due process of law	0.39
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.39
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.73
4.6	Right to privacy	0.18
4.7	Freedom of association	0.44
4.8	Labor rights	0.52

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.76
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.44

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.48
6.2	No improper influence	0.63
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.39
6.4	Respect for due process	0.48
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.46

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.56
7.2	No discrimination	0.63
7.3	No corruption	0.35
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.27
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.49
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.44
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.60

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.28
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.36
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.29
8.4	No discrimination	0.55
8.5	No corruption	0.37
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.26
8.7	Due process of law	0.39

Trinidad and Tobago

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.54	14/30	35/37	55/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	1 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.56	0.00	14/30	34/37	55/128
Absence of Corruption	0.49	-0.01	14/30	36/37	55/128
Open Government	0.56	0.00	10/30	31/37	45/128
Fundamental Rights	0.59	0.00	16/30	35/37	56/128
Order and Security	0.68	0.01	13/30	35/37	85/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.51	0.00	15/30	34/37	59/128
Civil Justice	0.58	0.01	12/30	32/37	49/128
Criminal Justice	0.32	-0.03	23/30	37/37	109/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Trinidad and Tobago — Latin America & Caribbean — High

Constraints on Government Powers



Absence of Corruption



Open Government



Fundamental Rights



Order and Security



Regulatory Enforcement



Civil Justice



Criminal Justice



Tunisia

Region: Middle East & North Africa
Income Group: Lower Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.54	3/8	3/30	56/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	3 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.61	0.01	1/8	4/30	42/128
Absence of Corruption	0.49	0.01	3/8	2/30	56/128
Open Government	0.49	-0.01	1/8	9/30	68/128
Fundamental Rights	0.57	0.01	1/8	5/30	63/128
Order and Security	0.68	0.01	5/8	11/30	80/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.53	0.00	4/8	5/30	52/128
Civil Justice	0.50	0.01	6/8	9/30	74/128
Criminal Justice	0.42	-0.01	4/8	6/30	74/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Tunisia Middle East & North Africa Lower Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.66
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.50
1.3	Independent auditing	0.62
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.48
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.65
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.76

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.53
2.2	In the judiciary	0.46
2.3	In the police/military	0.57
2.4	In the legislature	0.38

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.37
3.2	Right to information	0.48
3.3	Civic participation	0.62
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.51

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.63
4.2	Right to life & security	0.59
4.3	Due process of law	0.46
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.65
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.60
4.6	Right to privacy	0.42
4.7	Freedom of association	0.69
4.8	Labor rights	0.52

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.77
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.92
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.37

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.52
6.2	No improper influence	0.62
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.40
6.4	Respect for due process	0.51
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.61

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.58
7.2	No discrimination	0.61
7.3	No corruption	0.38
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.55
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.44
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.38
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.58

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.43
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.46
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.36
8.4	No discrimination	0.35
8.5	No corruption	0.46
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.39
8.7	Due process of law	0.46

Turkey

Region: Eastern Europe & Central Asia
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.43	14/14	40/42	107/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	3 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.30	0.01	14/14	41/42	124/128
Absence of Corruption	0.47	-0.01	4/14	20/42	60/128
Open Government	0.42	0.00	12/14	35/42	97/128
Fundamental Rights	0.32	0.00	14/14	39/42	123/128
Order and Security	0.69	0.02	13/14	26/42	77/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.41	-0.01	14/14	41/42	110/128
Civil Justice	0.44	0.00	14/14	38/42	103/128
Criminal Justice	0.38	0.00	10/14	30/42	85/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Turkey Eastern Europe & Central Asia Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.37
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.32
1.3	Independent auditing	0.19
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.30
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.26
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.35

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.45
2.2	In the judiciary	0.58
2.3	In the police/military	0.67
2.4	In the legislature	0.19

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.48
3.2	Right to information	0.50
3.3	Civic participation	0.28
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.42

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.37
4.2	Right to life & security	0.35
4.3	Due process of law	0.41
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.26
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.21
4.6	Right to privacy	0.25
4.7	Freedom of association	0.30
4.8	Labor rights	0.39

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.78
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.75
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.54

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.40
6.2	No improper influence	0.62
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.42
6.4	Respect for due process	0.16
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.46

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.55
7.2	No discrimination	0.26
7.3	No corruption	0.51
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.22
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.30
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.59
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.67

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.44
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.36
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.38
8.4	No discrimination	0.32
8.5	No corruption	0.59
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.13
8.7	Due process of law	0.41

Uganda

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Low

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.4	27/31	17/19	117/128

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▾	-2 ▾

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.40	-0.01	23/31	14/19	105/128
Absence of Corruption	0.26	0.00	29/31	18/19	125/128
Open Government	0.40	0.00	16/31	10/19	102/128
Fundamental Rights	0.37	-0.01	28/31	18/19	117/128
Order and Security	0.59	0.00	25/31	15/19	113/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.42	0.00	20/31	11/19	106/128
Civil Justice	0.43	-0.02	24/31	13/19	108/128
Criminal Justice	0.31	-0.02	26/31	15/19	113/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Uganda Sub-Saharan Africa Low

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.42
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.40
1.3	Independent auditing	0.46
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.38
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.39
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.35

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.28
2.2	In the judiciary	0.33
2.3	In the police/military	0.23
2.4	In the legislature	0.19

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.15
3.2	Right to information	0.44
3.3	Civic participation	0.45
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.57

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.44
4.2	Right to life & security	0.24
4.3	Due process of law	0.30
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.39
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.61
4.6	Right to privacy	0.07
4.7	Freedom of association	0.48
4.8	Labor rights	0.39

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.54
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.24

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.38
6.2	No improper influence	0.37
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.38
6.4	Respect for due process	0.38
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.60

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.40
7.2	No discrimination	0.39
7.3	No corruption	0.34
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.47
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.33
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.48
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.60

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.29
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.37
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.39
8.4	No discrimination	0.25
8.5	No corruption	0.28
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.30
8.7	Due process of law	0.30

Ukraine

Region: Eastern Europe & Central Asia
Income Group: Lower Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.51	7/14	7/30	72/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	6 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.46	0.00	5/14	14/30	90/128
Absence of Corruption	0.33	0.00	13/14	21/30	110/128
Open Government	0.57	0.02	2/14	2/30	42/128
Fundamental Rights	0.61	0.00	2/14	2/30	49/128
Order and Security	0.75	0.02	12/14	6/30	52/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.43	0.01	12/14	17/30	100/128
Civil Justice	0.54	0.00	4/14	5/30	61/128
Criminal Justice	0.36	-0.01	12/14	16/30	90/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Ukraine Eastern Europe & Central Asia Lower Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.57
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.32
1.3	Independent auditing	0.40
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.28
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.61
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.61

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.32
2.2	In the judiciary	0.49
2.3	In the police/military	0.42
2.4	In the legislature	0.09

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.60
3.2	Right to information	0.51
3.3	Civic participation	0.59
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.56

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.67
4.2	Right to life & security	0.61
4.3	Due process of law	0.44
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.61
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.76
4.6	Right to privacy	0.43
4.7	Freedom of association	0.65
4.8	Labor rights	0.66

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.75
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.94
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.57

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.43
6.2	No improper influence	0.42
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.55
6.4	Respect for due process	0.40
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.37

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.62
7.2	No discrimination	0.69
7.3	No corruption	0.41
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.37
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.56
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.48
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.63

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.26
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.38
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.38
8.4	No discrimination	0.50
8.5	No corruption	0.31
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.26
8.7	Due process of law	0.44

United Arab Emirates

Region: Middle East & North Africa
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.65	1/8	29/37	30/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	2 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.56	0.01	2/8	35/37	59/128
Absence of Corruption	0.80	0.00	1/8	16/37	16/128
Open Government	0.36	0.00	5/8	37/37	113/128
Fundamental Rights	0.46	0.00	5/8	37/37	98/128
Order and Security	0.91	0.00	1/8	7/37	7/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.73	0.00	1/8	18/37	18/128
Civil Justice	0.68	0.01	1/8	23/37	24/128
Criminal Justice	0.67	0.00	1/8	19/37	19/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



United Arab Emirates — Middle East & North Africa — High

Constraints on Government Powers



Absence of Corruption



Open Government



Fundamental Rights



Order and Security



Regulatory Enforcement



Civil Justice



Criminal Justice



United Kingdom

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.79	10/24	13/37	13/128

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▾	-1 ▾

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.82	-0.01	11/24	13/37	13/128
Absence of Corruption	0.82	0.00	7/24	10/37	10/128
Open Government	0.79	-0.01	9/24	11/37	11/128
Fundamental Rights	0.79	-0.03	11/24	13/37	13/128
Order and Security	0.84	-0.01	15/24	22/37	24/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.81	-0.01	9/24	13/37	13/128
Civil Justice	0.71	-0.02	10/24	17/37	17/128
Criminal Justice	0.72	-0.02	9/24	12/37	12/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



United Kingdom EU & EFTA & North America High

Constraints on Government Powers



Absence of Corruption



Open Government



Fundamental Rights



Order and Security



Regulatory Enforcement



Civil Justice



Criminal Justice



United States

Region: EU & EFTA & North America
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.72	15/24	21/37	21/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	-1 ▾

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.71	-0.02	16/24	21/37	22/128
Absence of Corruption	0.74	0.00	13/24	19/37	19/128
Open Government	0.78	0.00	11/24	13/37	13/128
Fundamental Rights	0.72	0.00	18/24	25/37	26/128
Order and Security	0.81	0.01	18/24	24/37	28/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.71	-0.01	13/24	20/37	20/128
Civil Justice	0.62	-0.01	18/24	30/37	36/128
Criminal Justice	0.63	0.00	15/24	22/37	22/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



United States EU & EFTA & North America High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.77
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.73
1.3	Independent auditing	0.66
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.63
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.79
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.70

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.73
2.2	In the judiciary	0.89
2.3	In the police/military	0.83
2.4	In the legislature	0.50

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.77
3.2	Right to information	0.74
3.3	Civic participation	0.79
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.80

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.51
4.2	Right to life & security	0.85
4.3	Due process of law	0.63
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.79
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.74
4.6	Right to privacy	0.82
4.7	Freedom of association	0.86
4.8	Labor rights	0.56

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.84
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	0.92
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.68

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.69
6.2	No improper influence	0.88
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.56
6.4	Respect for due process	0.73
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.70

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.45
7.2	No discrimination	0.39
7.3	No corruption	0.81
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.70
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.62
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.68
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.72

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.67
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.68
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.53
8.4	No discrimination	0.37
8.5	No corruption	0.78
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.76
8.7	Due process of law	0.63

Uruguay

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: High

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.71	1/30	22/37	22/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	1 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.76	0.01	2/30	15/37	16/128
Absence of Corruption	0.73	-0.01	1/30	22/37	22/128
Open Government	0.72	0.00	1/30	16/37	16/128
Fundamental Rights	0.78	0.01	2/30	17/37	18/128
Order and Security	0.69	-0.01	11/30	34/37	74/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.70	0.01	1/30	23/37	23/128
Civil Justice	0.74	-0.01	1/30	16/37	16/128
Criminal Justice	0.56	0.03	6/30	29/37	33/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Uruguay Latin America & Caribbean High

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.79
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.72
1.3	Independent auditing	0.69
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.67
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.78
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.90

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.65
2.2	In the judiciary	0.91
2.3	In the police/military	0.82
2.4	In the legislature	0.53

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.72
3.2	Right to information	0.62
3.3	Civic participation	0.77
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.75

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.70
4.2	Right to life & security	0.85
4.3	Due process of law	0.63
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.78
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.85
4.6	Right to privacy	0.78
4.7	Freedom of association	0.84
4.8	Labor rights	0.80

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.67
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.42

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.66
6.2	No improper influence	0.82
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.58
6.4	Respect for due process	0.62
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.82

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.82
7.2	No discrimination	0.84
7.3	No corruption	0.81
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.75
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.58
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.65
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.74

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.39
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.53
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.28
8.4	No discrimination	0.61
8.5	No corruption	0.78
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.73
8.7	Due process of law	0.63

Uzbekistan

Region: Eastern Europe & Central Asia
Income Group: Lower Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.47	12/14	14/30	92/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.01 ▲	4 ▲

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.33	0.01	13/14	25/30	120/128
Absence of Corruption	0.40	0.02	10/14	10/30	89/128
Open Government	0.33	0.02	14/14	26/30	120/128
Fundamental Rights	0.41	0.02	13/14	19/30	110/128
Order and Security	0.90	0.00	1/14	1/30	11/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.44	0.00	9/14	15/30	96/128
Civil Justice	0.51	0.00	7/14	8/30	72/128
Criminal Justice	0.44	0.00	7/14	5/30	66/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Uzbekistan Eastern Europe & Central Asia Lower Middle

Constraints on Government Powers



Absence of Corruption



Open Government



Fundamental Rights



Order and Security



Regulatory Enforcement



Civil Justice



Criminal Justice

Venezuela, RB

Region: Latin America & Caribbean
Income Group: Upper Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.27	30/30	42/42	128/128

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▾	—

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.17	-0.01	30/30	42/42	128/128
Absence of Corruption	0.31	-0.01	28/30	41/42	117/128
Open Government	0.28	-0.01	30/30	42/42	125/128
Fundamental Rights	0.31	-0.02*	30/30	40/42	124/128
Order and Security	0.48	0.01	30/30	42/42	123/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.20	0.00	30/30	42/42	128/128
Civil Justice	0.27	0.00	30/30	42/42	127/128
Criminal Justice	0.13	-0.01	30/30	42/42	128/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Venezuela, RB — Latin America & Caribbean — Upper Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.32
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.12
1.3	Independent auditing	0.06
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.12
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.24
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.19

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.29
2.2	In the judiciary	0.16
2.3	In the police/military	0.37
2.4	In the legislature	0.42

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.18
3.2	Right to information	0.25
3.3	Civic participation	0.26
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.42

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.51
4.2	Right to life & security	0.10
4.3	Due process of law	0.18
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.24
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.60
4.6	Right to privacy	0.03
4.7	Freedom of association	0.29
4.8	Labor rights	0.54

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.26
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.19

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.32
6.2	No improper influence	0.40
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.11
6.4	Respect for due process	0.01
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.14

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.46
7.2	No discrimination	0.52
7.3	No corruption	0.16
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.05
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.07
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.21
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.46

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.12
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.12
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.05
8.4	No discrimination	0.13
8.5	No corruption	0.28
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.02
8.7	Due process of law	0.18

Vietnam

Region: East Asia & Pacific
Income Group: Lower Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.49	11/15	11/30	85/128

Score Change	Rank Change
0.00	-2 ▾

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.45	0.00	12/15	17/30	95/128
Absence of Corruption	0.42	0.01	13/15	8/30	84/128
Open Government	0.46	0.01	11/15	12/30	78/128
Fundamental Rights	0.46	0.00	11/15	14/30	101/128
Order and Security	0.77	-0.01	9/15	3/30	44/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.45	0.01	14/15	12/30	89/128
Civil Justice	0.46	0.01	11/15	14/30	89/128
Criminal Justice	0.46	0.00	9/15	3/30	60/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Vietnam East Asia & Pacific Lower Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.38
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.36
1.3	Independent auditing	0.52
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.60
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.40
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.45

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.48
2.2	In the judiciary	0.38
2.3	In the police/military	0.44
2.4	In the legislature	0.37

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.54
3.2	Right to information	0.35
3.3	Civic participation	0.42
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.54

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.60
4.2	Right to life & security	0.47
4.3	Due process of law	0.44
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.40
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.30
4.6	Right to privacy	0.47
4.7	Freedom of association	0.37
4.8	Labor rights	0.61

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.90
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.41

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.59
6.2	No improper influence	0.42
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.48
6.4	Respect for due process	0.38
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.41

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.49
7.2	No discrimination	0.59
7.3	No corruption	0.35
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.33
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.50
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.40
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.59

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.47
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.54
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.46
8.4	No discrimination	0.52
8.5	No corruption	0.51
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.28
8.7	Due process of law	0.44

Zambia

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Lower Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.45	14/31	16/30	97/128

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▾	-3 ▾

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.46	-0.03	19/31	16/30	94/128
Absence of Corruption	0.38	-0.02	17/31	14/30	97/128
Open Government	0.38	0.00	19/31	20/30	106/128
Fundamental Rights	0.42	-0.03	23/31	17/30	106/128
Order and Security	0.69	0.00	11/31	9/30	75/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.43	0.00	19/31	20/30	105/128
Civil Justice	0.47	0.00	14/31	13/30	88/128
Criminal Justice	0.41	-0.03	12/31	7/30	75/128



* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low | Medium | High

Zambia | Sub-Saharan Africa | Lower Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.46
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.37
1.3	Independent auditing	0.48
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.55
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.39
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.48

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.40
2.2	In the judiciary	0.53
2.3	In the police/military	0.35
2.4	In the legislature	0.24

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.25
3.2	Right to information	0.44
3.3	Civic participation	0.42
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.42

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.50
4.2	Right to life & security	0.36
4.3	Due process of law	0.39
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.39
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.63
4.6	Right to privacy	0.23
4.7	Freedom of association	0.38
4.8	Labor rights	0.46

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.62
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.45

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.49
6.2	No improper influence	0.41
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.42
6.4	Respect for due process	0.38
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.43

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.47
7.2	No discrimination	0.41
7.3	No corruption	0.41
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.44
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.40
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.67
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.49

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.48
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.46
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.25
8.4	No discrimination	0.48
8.5	No corruption	0.39
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.41
8.7	Due process of law	0.39

Zimbabwe

Region: Sub-Saharan Africa
Income Group: Lower Middle

The scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.

Overall Score	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
0.39	28/31	24/30	119/128

Score Change	Rank Change
-0.01 ▼	-1 ▼

	Factor Score	Score Change	Regional Rank	Income Rank	Global Rank
Constraints on Government Powers	0.33	-0.01	31/31	27/30	122/128
Absence of Corruption	0.32	0.01	24/31	24/30	115/128
Open Government	0.32	-0.02	29/31	27/30	122/128
Fundamental Rights	0.34	-0.01	31/31	27/30	121/128
Order and Security	0.67	0.00	16/31	15/30	87/128
Regulatory Enforcement	0.36	-0.02	29/31	28/30	124/128
Civil Justice	0.45	-0.01	18/31	19/30	101/128
Criminal Justice	0.37	0.00	17/31	14/30	88/128

* Indicates statistically significant change at the 10 percent level

Low Medium High



Zimbabwe Sub-Saharan Africa Lower Middle

Constraints on Government Powers

1.1	Limits by legislature	0.32
1.2	Limits by judiciary	0.32
1.3	Independent auditing	0.46
1.4	Sanctions for official misconduct	0.35
1.5	Non-governmental checks	0.27
1.6	Lawful transition of power	0.24

Absence of Corruption

2.1	In the executive branch	0.31
2.2	In the judiciary	0.43
2.3	In the police/military	0.31
2.4	In the legislature	0.22

Open Government

3.1	Publicized laws & gov't data	0.17
3.2	Right to information	0.37
3.3	Civic participation	0.28
3.4	Complaint mechanisms	0.45

Fundamental Rights

4.1	No discrimination	0.45
4.2	Right to life & security	0.26
4.3	Due process of law	0.36
4.4	Freedom of expression	0.27
4.5	Freedom of religion	0.49
4.6	Right to privacy	0.11
4.7	Freedom of association	0.30
4.8	Labor rights	0.47

Order and Security

5.1	Absence of crime	0.63
5.2	Absence of civil conflict	1.00
5.3	Absence of violent redress	0.39

Regulatory Enforcement

6.1	Effective regulatory enforcement	0.43
6.2	No improper influence	0.48
6.3	No unreasonable delay	0.30
6.4	Respect for due process	0.32
6.5	No expropriation w/out adequate compensation	0.26

Civil Justice

7.1	Accessibility & affordability	0.40
7.2	No discrimination	0.41
7.3	No corruption	0.42
7.4	No improper gov't influence	0.27
7.5	No unreasonable delay	0.49
7.6	Effective enforcement	0.62
7.7	Impartial & effective ADRs	0.53

Criminal Justice

8.1	Effective investigations	0.41
8.2	Timely & effective adjudication	0.52
8.3	Effective correctional system	0.35
8.4	No discrimination	0.35
8.5	No corruption	0.34
8.6	No improper gov't influence	0.23
8.7	Due process of law	0.36



Behind the Numbers

Methodology Snapshot: Steps to Produce the WJP Rule of Law Index

The production of the *WJP Rule of Law Index* can be summarized in 11 steps:

1. The WJP developed the conceptual framework summarized in the Index's nine factors and 47 sub-factors, in consultation with academics, practitioners, and community leaders from around the world.

2. The Index team developed a set of five questionnaires based on the Index's conceptual framework to be administered to experts and the general public. Questionnaires were translated into several languages and adapted to reflect commonly used terms and expressions.

3. The Index team identified, on average, more than 300 potential local experts per country to respond to the QRQs and engaged the services of leading local polling companies to implement the household surveys.

4. Polling companies conducted pilot tests of the GPP in consultation with the Index team, and launched the final survey for full fieldwork.

5. The Index team sent the questionnaires to local experts and engaged in continual interaction with them.

6. The Index team collected and mapped the data onto the eight factors and 44 sub-factors with global comparability that make up the scores and rankings of the *WJP Rule of Law Index*. The Index scores and rankings exclude the ninth factor and its three sub-factors because they cannot be measured in a comparable manner globally.

7. The Index team constructed the final scores using a five-step process:
   a. Codified the questionnaire items as numeric values
   b. Produced raw country scores by aggregating the responses from several individuals (experts and/or general public)
   c. Normalized the raw scores
   d. Aggregated the normalized scores into sub-factors and factors using simple averages
   e. Produced the normalized scores, which are rounded to two decimal points, and the final rankings

8. The data was subject to a series of tests to identify possible biases and errors. For example, the Index team cross-checked all sub-factors against more than 70 third-party sources, including quantitative data and qualitative assessments drawn from local and international organizations.

9. A sensitivity analysis was conducted by the Econometrics and Applied Statistics Unit of the European Commission's Joint Research Centre, in collaboration with the Index team, to assess the statistical reliability of the results.

10. To illustrate whether the rule of law in a country significantly changed over the course of the past year, a measure of change over time was produced based on the annual difference in the country-level factor scores, the standard errors of these scores (estimated from a set of 100 bootstrap samples), and the results of the corresponding t-tests.

11. The data was organized into country reports, tables, and figures to facilitate their presentation and interpretation. For tables organized by income group, the WJP follows the World Bank income classifications.

Methodology

The WJP Rule of Law Index *is the first attempt to systematically and comprehensively quantify the rule of law around the world and remains unique in its operationalization of rule of law dimensions into concrete questions.*

The *WJP Rule of Law Index 2020* report presents information on eight composite factors that are further disaggregated into 44 specific sub-factors (see page 11). Factor 9, Informal Justice, is included in the conceptual framework, but has been excluded from the aggregated scores and rankings in order to provide meaningful cross-country comparisons.

The country scores and rankings presented in this report are built from more than 500 variables drawn from the assessments of more than 130,000 households and 4,000 legal practitioners and experts in 128 countries and jurisdictions, making it the most accurate portrayal of the factors that contribute to shaping the rule of law in a country.

Data Sources

To present an image that accurately portrays the rule of law as experienced by ordinary people, each score of the Index is calculated using a large number of questions drawn from two original data sources collected by the World Justice Project in each country or jurisdiction: a General Population Poll (GPP) and a series of Qualified Respondents' Questionnaires (QRQs). These two data sources collect up-to-date firsthand information that is not available at the global level, and constitute the world's most comprehensive dataset of its kind. They capture the experiences and perceptions of ordinary citizens and in-country professionals concerning the performance of the state and its agents and the actual operation of the legal framework in their country or jurisdiction.

The GPP surveys provide firsthand information on the experiences and the perceptions of ordinary people regarding a range of pertinent rule of law information, including their dealings with the government, the ease of interacting with state bureaucracy, the extent of bribery and corruption, the availability of dispute resolution systems, and the prevalence of common crimes to which they are exposed.

The GPP questionnaire includes 127 perception-based questions and 213 experience-based questions, along with socio-demographic information on all respondents. The questionnaire is translated into local languages, adapted to common expressions, and administered by leading local polling companies using a probability sample of 1,000 respondents.[3] In previous editions of the Index, the poll has been conducted in the three largest cities of each country or jurisdiction. However, the World Justice Project's goal was to update its methodology to include nationally representative polls. Toward this end, nationally representative polls have been conducted in 63 countries and jurisdictions covered in the *WJP Rule of Law Index 2020*. Nationally representative polls will be conducted in the remaining countries and jurisdictions in future editions of the Index. Depending on the particular situation of each country or jurisdiction, one of three different polling methodologies is used: face-to-face, telephone, or online. The GPP has been carried out in each country or jurisdiction every other year. The polling data used in this year's report was collected during the fall of 2019 (for 10 countries and jurisdictions), fall of 2018 (for 70 countries and jurisdictions), fall of 2017 (for 45 countries and jurisdictions), the fall of 2016 (for four countries and jurisdictions), the fall of 2014 (for three countries and jurisdictions), the fall of 2012 (for one country), and the fall of 2011 (for two countries or jurisdictions). Detailed information regarding the country or jurisdiction coverage (cities covered or nationally representative), the polling companies contracted to administer the questionnaire, and the polling methodology employed in each of the 128 countries and jurisdictions is presented on page 166.

The QRQs complement the household data with assessments from in-country practitioners and academics with expertise in civil and commercial law; constitutional law, civil liberties, and criminal law; labor law; and public health. These questionnaires gather timely input on a range of topics from practitioners who frequently interact with state institutions. Such topics include information on the efficacy of courts, the strength of regulatory enforcement, and the reliability of accountability mechanisms.

The questionnaires contain closed-ended perception questions and several hypothetical scenarios with highly detailed factual assumptions aimed at ensuring comparability across countries. The QRQ surveys are conducted annually, and the questionnaires are completed by respondents selected from directories of law firms, universities and colleges, research organizations, and non-governmental organizations (NGOs), as well as through referrals from the WJP global network of practitioners, and all are vetted by WJP staff based on their expertise. The expert surveys are administered in five languages: English, French, Portuguese, Russian, and Spanish. The QRQ data for this report include more than 4,000 surveys, which represents an average of 32 respondents per country. This data was collected from May 2019 through October 2019.

[3] Due to small populations or obstacles to data collection in certain countries, the sampling plan was adjusted in some cases. One adjustment was to decrease the sample size. For more information on specific countries and sample sizes, see pages 166-168.

Data Cleaning and Score Computation

Once collected, the data is carefully processed to arrive at country-level scores. As a first step, the respondent level data are edited to exclude partially completed surveys, suspicious data, and outliers (which are detected using the Z-score method). Individual answers are then mapped onto the 44 sub-factors of the Index (or onto the intermediate categories that make up each sub-factor), codified so that all values fall between 0 (weakest adherence to the rule of law) and 1 (strongest adherence to the rule of law), and aggregated at the country level using the simple (or unweighted) average of all respondents.

This year, to allow for an easier comparison across years, the resulting 2020 scores have been normalized using the Min-Max method with a base year of 2015. These normalized scores were then successively aggregated from the variable level all the way up to the factor level to produce the final country scores, rounded to two decimal points, and rankings. In most cases, the GPP and QRQ questions are equally weighted in the calculation of the scores of the intermediate categories (sub-factors and sub-sub-factors).

A full picture of how questions are mapped onto indicators and how they are weighted is available on the *WJP Rule of Law Index* website at worldjusticeproject.org.

Data Validation

As a final step, data is validated and cross-checked against qualitative and quantitative third-party sources to provide an additional layer of analysis and to identify possible mistakes or inconsistencies within the data. Most of the third-party data sources used to cross-check the Index scores are described in Botero and Ponce (2011).[4]

Methodological Changes to this Year's Report

Every year, the WJP reviews the methods of data collection to ensure that the information produced is valid, useful, and continues to capture the status of the rule of law in the world. To maintain consistency with previous editions and to facilitate tracking changes over time, this year's questionnaires and data maps are closely aligned with those administered in the past.

In order to improve the accuracy of the QRQ results and reduce respondent burden, proactive dependent interviewing techniques were used to remind respondents who participated in last year's survey of their responses in the previous year.

This year, the WJP modified the calculation of the two third party source variables that make up sub-factor 5.2.1, "Armed conflict." The two variables are "number of battle related deaths" and "number of casualties resulting from one-sided violence," which both come from the Uppsala Conflict Data Program at Uppsala University in Sweden. This change was made to more accurately approximate the number of battle related deaths and casualties resulting from one-sided violence in each country in a given year due to a specific conflict. In order to determine the changes in factor scores in the country profiles, the new calculation method was applied to both the 2019 and the 2020 scores.

This year, no new questions or indicators were added to the Index. Overall, 100 percent of questions remained the same between the 2019 and 2020 editions of the Index. A description of the variables is available at: worldjusticeproject.org.

Tracking Changes Over Time

This year's report includes two measures to illustrate whether the rule of law in a country, as measured through the factors of the *WJP Rule of Law Index*, changed since the previous year. One measure is the change in factor score, which is included in the country profiles for each factor in each country. The second measure is a measure of statistically significant changes, both positive and negative. This measure is presented in the form of a green or red asterisk and text, and represents a summary of rigorous statistical testing based on the use of bootstrapping procedures (see below). For each factor, this measure has no asterisk and is written in black text if there was no statistically significant change in the score since last year. If there was a change leading to a statistically significant improvement in the score, the change in factor score is written in green text and has a green asterisk. If there was a change leading to a statistically significant decline in the score, the change in factor score is written in red text and has a red asterisk. This measure complements the numerical scores and rankings presented in this report, which benchmark each country's current performance on the factors and sub-factors of the Index against that of other countries. The measure of change over time is constructed in three steps:

1. First, last year's scores are subtracted from this year's to obtain, for each country and each factor, the annual difference in scores.

2. To test whether the annual changes are statistically significant, a bootstrapping procedure is used to estimate standard errors. To calculate these errors, 100 samples of respondent-level observations (of equal size to the original sample) are randomly selected with replacement for each country from the pooled set of respondents for last year and this year. These samples are used to produce a set of 100 country-level scores for each factor and each country, which are utilized to calculate the final standard errors. These errors—which measure the uncertainty associated with picking a particular sample of respondents—are then employed to conduct pair-wise t-tests for each country and each factor.

[4] Botero, J. and Ponce, A. (2011) "Measuring the Rule of Law": WJP Working Paper No.1, available at worldjusticeproject.org/publications.

3. Finally, to illustrate the annual change, a measure of change over time is produced based on the value of the annual difference and its statistical significance (at the 10 percent level).

Strengths and Limitations

The Index methodology has both strengths and limitations. Among its strengths is the inclusion of both expert and household surveys to ensure that the findings reflect the conditions experienced by the population. Another strength is that it approaches the measurement of rule of law from various angles by triangulating information across data sources and types of questions. This approach not only enables accounting for different perspectives on the rule of law, but it also helps to reduce possible bias that might be introduced by any other particular data collection method. Finally, it relies on statistical testing to determine the significance of the changes in the factor scores over the last year.

With the aforementioned methodological strengths come a number of limitations. First, the data sheds light on rule of law dimensions that appear comparatively strong or weak, but are not specific enough to establish causation. Thus, it will be necessary to use the Index in combination with other analytical tools to provide a full picture of causes and possible solutions. Second, in previous editions of the Index, the methodology has only been applied in three major urban areas in each of the indexed countries for the General Population Poll. However, the World Justice Project's goal was to update its methodology to include nationally representative polls. Toward this end, nationally representative polls have been conducted in 63 countries and jurisdictions covered in the *WJP Rule of Law Index 2020*. Nationally representative polls will be conducted in the remaining countries and jurisdictions in future editions of the Index. Third, given the rapid changes to the rule of law occurring in some countries, scores for some countries may be sensitive to the specific points in time when the data was collected. To address this, the WJP is piloting test methods of moving averages to account for short-term fluctuations. Fourth, the QRQ data may be subject to problems in measurement error due to the limited number of experts in some countries, resulting in less precise estimates. To address this, the WJP works constantly to expand its network of in-country academic and practitioner experts who contribute their time and expertise to this endeavor. Finally, due to the limited number of experts in some countries (which implies higher standard errors) and the fact that the GPP is carried out in each country every other year (which implies that for some countries, some variables do not change from one year to another), it is possible that the test described above fails to detect small changes in a country's situation over time.

Other methodological considerations

A detailed presentation of the methodology, including a table and description of the more than 500 variables used to construct the Index scores, is available at: worldjusticeproject.org and in Botero, J. and Ponce, A. (2011) "Measuring the Rule of Law": WJP Working Paper No.1, available at: worldjusticeproject.org/publications.

Using the WJP Rule of Law Index

The *WJP Rule of Law Index* has been designed to offer a reliable and independent data source for policy makers, businesses, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and other constituencies to assess a country's adherence to the rule of law as perceived and experienced by the average person, identify a country's strengths and weaknesses in comparison to similarly situated countries, and track changes over time. The Index has been designed to include several features that set it apart from other indices and make it valuable for a large number of countries, thus providing a powerful resource that can inform policy debates both within and across countries. However, the Index's findings must be interpreted in light of certain inherent limitations.

1. The *WJP Rule of Law Index* does not identify priorities for reform and is not intended to establish causation or to ascertain the complex relationship among different rule of law dimensions in various countries.

2. The Index's rankings and scores are the product of a rigorous data collection and aggregation methodology. Nonetheless, as with all measures, they are subject to measurement error.

3. Given the uncertainty associated with picking a particular sample of respondents, standard errors have been calculated using bootstrapping methods to test whether the annual changes in the factor scores are statistically significant.

4. Indices and indicators are subject to potential abuse and misinterpretation. Once released to the public, they can take on a life of their own and be used for purposes unanticipated by their creators. If data is taken out of context, it can lead to unintended or erroneous policy decisions.

5. Rule of law concepts measured by the Index may have different meanings across countries. Users are encouraged to consult the specific definitions of the variables employed in the construction of the Index, which are discussed in greater detail in the methodology section of the *WJP Rule of Law Index* website.

6. The Index is generally intended to be used in combination with other instruments, both quantitative and qualitative. Just as in the areas of health or economics, no single index conveys a full picture of a country's situation. Policy-making in the area of rule of law requires careful consideration of all relevant dimensions—which may vary from country to country—and a combination of sources, instruments, and methods.

7. Pursuant to the sensitivity analysis of the Index data conducted in collaboration with the Econometrics and Applied Statistics Unit of the European Commission's Joint Research Centre, confidence intervals have been calculated for all figures included in the *WJP Rule of Law Index*. These confidence intervals and other relevant considerations regarding measurement error are reported in Saisana and Saltelli (2015) and Botero and Ponce (2011).

The following pages (166-168) list the coverage and polling methodology for the GPP in the 128 indexed countries and jurisdictions.

Country/Jurisdiction	Coverage	Polling Company	Methodology	Sample	Year
Afghanistan	Nationally representative	D3: Designs, Data, Decisions & ACSOR Surveys	Face-to-face	3019	2019
Albania	Nationally representative	IDRA Research & Consulting	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Algeria	Nationally representative	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Angola	Nationally representative	Marketing Support Consultancy	Face-to-face	1010	2018
Antigua and Barbuda	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	513	2018
Argentina	Nationally representative	StatMark Group	Face-to-face	1010	2018
Australia	Nationally representative	Big Picture Marketing Strategy & Research	Online	1067	2018
Austria	Vienna, Graz, Linz	YouGov Nordic	Online	1008	2017
The Bahamas	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	500	2018
Bangladesh	Dhaka, Chittagong, Khulna	Org-Quest Research Ltd.	Face-to-face	1000	2016
Barbados	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	513	2018
Belarus	Minsk, Gomel, Mogilev	Market Research & Polls - EURASIA (MRP-EURASIA)/ WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000/401	2014/2017
Belgium	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1007	2018
Belize	Nationally representative	CID-Gallup	Face-to-face	1000	2019
Benin	Nationally representative	Liaison Marketing	Face-to-face	1010	2018
Bolivia	Nationally representative	Captura Consulting	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Bosnia and Herzegovina	Sarajevo, Banja Luka, Tuzla	Kantar TNS MIB	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Botswana	Nationally representative	BJKA Consulting	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Brazil	São Paulo, Rio de Janeiro, Salvador	Datum Internacional/About Brazil Market Research	Face-to-face	1049	2017
Bulgaria	Sofia, Plovdiv, Varna	Alpha Research Ltd.	Face-to-face	1001	2018
Burkina Faso	Ouagadougou, Bobo Dioulasso, Koudougou	Kantar TNS	Face-to-face	1029	2017
Cambodia	Phnom Penh, Battambang, Kampong Cham	Indochina Research	Face-to-face	1000	2014
Cameroon	Nationally representative	Liaison Marketing	Face-to-face	1006	2018
Canada	Toronto, Montreal, Calgary	YouGov Nordic	Online	1000	2017
Chile	Santiago, Valparaíso/Viña del Mar, Antofagasta	Datum Internacional S.A./Cadem S.A.	Face-to-face	1003	2017
China	Shanghai, Beijing, Guangzhou	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	508	2018
Colombia	Nationally representative	Tempo Group	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Costa Rica	Nationally representative	StatMark Group	Face-to-face	1030	2019
Cote d'Ivoire	Abidjan, Bouaké, Daloa	Liaison Marketing	Face-to-face	1011	2017
Croatia	Nationally representative	Ipsos	Face-to-face	1010	2018
Czech Republic	Prague, Brno, Ostrava	YouGov Nordic	Online	1013	2017
Congo, Dem. Rep.	Kinshasa, Lubumbashi, Mbuji-Mayi	Kantar Public at TNS RMS Senegal	Face-to-face	1083	2018
Denmark	Copenhagen, Aarhus, Aalborg	YouGov Nordic	Online	1016	2017
Dominica	Nationally representative	StatMark Group	Face-to-face	500	2018
Dominican Republic	Nationally representative	CID-Gallup Latin America	Face-to-face	1014	2018
Ecuador	Guayaquil, Quito, Cuenca	Dichter and Neira	Face-to-face	703	2017
Egypt	Cairo, Alexandria, Giza	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000	2017
El Salvador	Nationally representative	CID-Gallup Latin America	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Estonia	Tallinn, Tartu, Narva	Norstat Eesti	Online	1010	2017
Ethiopia	Addis Ababa, Gondar, Nazret	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1037	2017
Finland	Helsinki, Espoo, Tampere	YouGov Nordic	Online	1014	2017
France	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1040	2018
The Gambia	Nationally representative	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1030	2019
Georgia	Tbilisi, Batumi, Kutaisi	ACT Market Research and Consulting Company	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Germany	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1048	2018

Country/Jurisdiction	Coverage	Polling Company	Methodology	Sample	Year
Ghana	Nationally representative	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1103	2018
Greece	Athens, Thessaloniki, Patras	YouGov Nordic	Online	1015	2017
Grenada	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	500	2018
Guatemala	Nationally representative	Mercaplan Central America & Caribbean	Face-to-face	1008	2018
Guinea	Conakry, Nzerekore, Kankan	Kantar Public at TNS RMS Senegal	Face-to-face	1065	2018
Guyana	Georgetown, Linden, New Amsterdam	StatMark Group	Face-to-face	527	2018
Honduras	Nationally representative	CID-Gallup	Face-to-face	1000	2019
Hong Kong SAR, China	Hong Kong	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1004	2017
Hungary	Budapest, Debrecen, Szeged	Ipsos Hungary	Face-to-face	1000	2017
India	Nationally representative	Market Xcel Data Matrix Pvt. Ltd.	Face-to-face	1059	2018
Indonesia	Jakarta, Surabaya, Bandung	MRI (Marketing Research Indonesia)	Face-to-face	1004	2017
Iran	Tehran, Mashhad, Isfahan	BJKA consulting with local partner MHA Research	Face-to-face	1010	2018
Italy	Rome, Milan, Naples	YouGov Nordic	Online	1004	2017
Jamaica	Nationally representative	StatMark Group	Face-to-face	1002	2019
Japan	Nationally representative	Acorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn Bhd	Online	1000	2018
Jordan	Nationally representative	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Kazakhstan	Almaty, Nur-Sultan (formerly Astana), Shymkent	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Kenya	Nationally representative	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1099	2018
Kosovo	Nationally representative	IDRA Research & Consulting	Face-to-face	1000	2019
Kyrgyz Republic	Nationally representative	Ipsos	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Lebanon	Beirut, Tripoli, Sidon	REACH SAL	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Liberia	Monrovia, Gbarnga and Buchanan	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1113	2018
Madagascar	Antananarivo, Toamasina, Antsirabe	DCDM Research	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Malawi	Lilongwe, Blantyre, Mzuzu	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1039	2017
Malaysia	Klang Valley, Johor Bahru, Ipoh	Acorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn Bhd	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Mali	Nationally representative	Marketing Support Consultancy	Face-to-face	1012	2018
Mauritania	Nationally representative	Liaison Marketing	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Mauritius	Nationally representative	DCDM Research	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Mexico	Mexico City, Guadalajara, Monterrey	Data Opinión Pública y Mercados	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Moldova	Chisinau, Balti, Cahul	Georgian Opinion Research Business International (GORBI) in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1043	2017
Mongolia	Ulaanbaatar, Erdenet, Darkhan	Mongolian Marketing Consulting Group LLC	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Morocco	Casablanca, Fes, Tangier	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Mozambique	Nationally representative	Quest Research Services	Face-to-face	1009	2018
Myanmar	Yangon, Mandalay, Nay Pyi Taw	Myanmar Survey Research Co., Ltd (MSR)	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Namibia	Nationally representative	Quest Research Services	Face-to-face	1001	2018
Nepal	Kathmandu, Pokhara, Lalitpur	Solutions Consultant	Face-to-face	1000	2017
Netherlands	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1113	2018
New Zealand	Auckland, Wellington, Christchurch	Big Picture Marketing Strategy & Research	Online	1000	2017
Nicaragua	Nationally representative	CID-Gallup	Face-to-face	1000	2019
Niger	Niamey, Zinder, Maradi	Liaison Marketing	Face-to-face	1011	2018
Nigeria	Nationally representative	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1083	2018
North Macedonia	Skopje, Kumanovo, Bitola	Ipsos dooel Skopje	Face-to-face	1017	2017
Norway	Oslo, Bergen, Trondheim	YouGov Nordic	Online	1007	2017
Pakistan	Nationally representative	Gallup Pakistan	Face-to-face	1000	2019
Panama	Nationally representative	CID-Gallup	Face-to-face	1000	2019

Country/Jurisdiction	Coverage	Polling Company	Methodology	Sample	Year
Peru	Nationally representative	Datum Internacional S.A.	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Philippines	Manila, Cebu, Davao	APMI Partners	Face-to-face	1008	2016
Poland	Warsaw, Krakow, Lodz	IQS Sp. z o.o.	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Portugal	Lisbon, Porto, Amadora	YouGov Nordic	Online	1016	2017
Republic of Korea	Nationally representative	Acorn Marketing & Research Consultant (M) Sdn Bhd	Online	1000	2018
Romania	Nationally representative	Alpha Research Ltd. in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Russian Federation	Moscow, St. Petersburg, and Novosibirsk/ Nationally representative	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1000/1000	2016/2018
Rwanda	Kigali	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	316	2018
Senegal	Pikine, Dakar, Thiès	Kantar TNS	Face-to-face	1012	2017
Serbia	Belgrade, Novi Sad, Niš	Ipsos Strategic Marketing d.o.o.	Face-to-face	1002	2017
Sierra Leone	Nationally representative	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1165	2018
Singapore	Singapore	Survey Sampling International	Online	1000	2017
Slovenia	Ljubljana, Maribor, Celje	Ipsos d.o.o.	Face-to-face	1006	2017
South Africa	Nationally representative	Quest Research Services	Face-to-face	1014	2018
Spain	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1051	2018
Sri Lanka	Colombo, Kaduwela, Maharagama	Kantar LMRB	Face-to-face	1010	2017
St. Kitts and Nevis	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	500	2018
St. Lucia	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	500	2018
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	Nationally representative	DMR Insights Ltd.	Face-to-face	500	2018
Suriname	Nationally representative	CID-Gallup Latin America	Face-to-face	510	2018
Sweden	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1049	2018
Tanzania	Dar es Salaam, Mwanza, Arusha	Infinite Insight Ltd.	Face-to-face	1037	2018
Thailand	Bangkok, Nakhon Ratchasima, Udon Thani	Infosearch Limited	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Togo	Nationally representative	Marketing Support Consultancy	Face-to-face	1005	2018
Trinidad and Tobago	Nationally representative	CID-Gallup Latin America	Face-to-face	1006	2018
Tunisia	Big Tunis, Sfax, Sousse	BJKA Consulting	Face-to-face	1001	2017
Turkey	İstanbul, Ankara, İzmir	Kantar Insights	Face-to-face	1039	2018
Uganda	Kampala, Nansana, Kira	Kantar Public East Africa	Face-to-face	1062	2018
Ukraine	Kyiv, Kharkiv, Odessa	GfK Ukraine	Face-to-face	1079	2017
United Arab Emirates	Dubai, Abu Dhabi, Sharjah	WJP in collaboration with local partner	Face-to-face	1011/200	2011/2017
United Kingdom	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1056	2018
United States	Nationally representative	YouGov	Online	1086	2018
Uruguay	Nationally representative	BM Business Partners	Face-to-face	1000	2018
Uzbekistan	Tashkent, Namangan, Samarkand	Market Research & Polls - EURASIA (MRP-EURASIA)/Ipsos	Face-to-face	1000/300	2014/2018
Venezuela, RB	Caracas, Maracaibo, Valencia	WJP in collaboration with local partner/Statmark Group	Face-to-face	1000/1015	2016/2018
Vietnam	Ho Chi Minh City, Hanoi, Hai Phong	Indochina Research (Vietnam) Ltd.	Face-to-face	1000/1000	2011/2017
Zambia	Lusaka, Kitwe, Chipata	SIS International Research/Intraspace Market Consultancy Ltd.	Face-to-face	1004/1014	2012/2017
Zimbabwe	Nationally representative	Quest Research Services	Face-to-face	1001	2018

Contributing Experts

The WJP Rule of Law Index 2020 *was made possible by the generous contributions of academics and practitioners who contributed their time and expertise. The names of those experts wishing to be acknowledged individually are listed below. This report was also made possible by the work of the polling companies who conducted fieldwork, and the thousands of individuals who have responded to the General Population Poll around the world.*

Afghanistan

Abdul Hadi Zamani
Nangarhar University

Asmand
Afghan Health and Development Services

Baryalai Hakimi
Kabul University

Hashmat Khalil Nadirpor
Legal Education Support Program

Jordy de Meij and Khalid Mohammadi
Kakar Advocates LLC

Khalid C. Sekander

Khalid Massoudi
Masnad Law Firm

Mazhar Bangash
RIAA Barker Gillette

Mohammad Ayub Yusufzai
Afghan Independent Human Rights Commission

Mohammad Nazir Rasuly
Organization for People's Health in Action

Mohammad Shafiq Hamdam
Anti-Corruption Watch Organization

Mushtair Daqiq

Rahmanullah Shahab
Afghan Anglo Legal Consultancy Services

Saeeq Shajjan
Shajjan & Associates

Sanzar Kakar
Afghanistan Holding Group

Sayed Fazlullah Wahidi
Afghan NGOs Coordination Bureau

Sayed Ramiz Husaini
FPJRA

Tareq Eqtedary
Generation Positive Organization

Thomas Kraemer
Kakar Advocates LLC

Zabihullah Ghazawi
Community Action for Healing Poverty Organization

Anonymous Contributors

Albania

Albana Fona
LPA Law Firm

Andia Pustina
Studio Pustina

Anteo Papa
Fatmir Braka Partners and Associates

Brunilda Subashi
Universiteti Ismail Qemali

Dorant Ekmekçiu
Hoxha, Memi & Hoxha

Drini Hakorja

Eglantina Biba
Frost & Fire Consulting

Enxhi Kallogjeri
Frost & Fire Consulting

Eris Hoxha
Hoxha, Memi & Hoxha

Erlir Puto
Puto, Topi & Partners Law Firm

Gentiana Agim Tirana
Gentiana Agim Tirana

Gjergji Gjika
Gjika & Associates

Idlir Tivari
Tivari & Harecari Law Firm

Irv Vaso
Kalo & Associates

Jonida Melani (Braja)
Wolf Theiss

Loren Liço
Lico & Associates

Nensi Seferi
Gjika & Associates

Oltjan Hoxholli
LPA Law Firm

Shirli Gorenca
Kalo & Associates

Anonymous Contributors

Algeria

Abderrahmane Talbi

Adel Messaoudi
Ligue Algérienne des Droits de L'Homme

Adel Rami
Centre Hospitalo-Universitaire Nedir Mohamed de Tizi Ouzou

Ahmed Ridha Boudiaf
Boudiaf et Boudiaf, Scp d'Avocats

Aicha Ladjouze Rezig
Ligue Algérienne Anti Rhumatismale

Aissa Touahria
Grant Thornton

Berbar Ababakrine Seddik

Chalal Karima

Kahina Bouagache
Women Lawyers Group Middle East

Karim Kari

Khaled Goussanem
Goussanem & Aloui Law Firm

Mehdi Berbagui
Cabinet d'Avocats Mehdi Berbagui

Mohamed Amin Kheireddine
Ligue Algérienne des Droits de L'Homme

Said Hellal

Salima Aloui
Goussanem & Aloui Law Firm

Yaya Farouk

Anonymous Contributors

Angola

Adelino Naquarta
ADN - Advogados

Adolfo Anibal Pinho Faúlho Rasoilo

Adriano Gaspar
Ministério da Acção Social, Família e Promoção da Mulher

António Cardoso Dias dos Santos
Associação dos Assistentes Socias-Angola Organizacional

Armindo Moisés Kasesa Chimuco
AMKC Prestação de Serviços SU Limitada

Barros Gaspar Simão
BCSA Advogados

Eduardo Afonso

Elsa Tchicanha
BCSA Advogados

Ernestina da Graça Francisco

Eurico Ndando

Gilberto Pelinganga

Islândia Ribeiro

Orlando Buta
AVM - Advogados e Associados

Vicente Pongolola
CVP-Sociedade de Advogados, RL.

Anonymous Contributors

Antigua and Barbuda

Damien O. Benjamin
Anaheim Investment Group Services Limited

Gaye Hechme
Island Living Investment Services Ltd.

Hugh Marshall
Marshall & Co. Attorneys-at-Law

Jarid A. Hewlett
Watt, Dorsett & Co.

Kivinee Knight-Edwards
May Knight Law Inc.

Tracy Benn-Roberts
TBR Conflict Management and Legal Services

Anonymous Contributors

Argentina

Adrian Fernando Otero
Fundación Esperanza Patagonia de Sierra Grande

Alberto Gonzalez Torres
Baker McKenzie

Alberto Justo Giles
Asociación de Abogados de Merlo

Alejandro Falcó
Fundación Enlaces para el Desarrollo Sustentable

Claudia Viviana Madies
Universidad Isalud

Claudio Jesús Santagati
Defensoria General de Lomas de Zamora

Daniela Carrara
Universidad de Buenos Aires

Dante Graña
Fundación Avedis Donabedian Argentina

Débora Eliana Fuhr
De Dios & Goyena

Diego Silva Ortiz
Silva Ortiz, Alfonso, Pavic & Louge

Eduardo Alberto Muniagurria
JP O´Farrell Abogados

Ernesto Marcelo Segal
Universidad de Buenos Aires

Federico Borzi Cirilli
Ceballos & Ceballos

Francisco Clucellas
Organización de Servicios Directos Empresarios

Guillermo Schor-Landman
Fundación Iberoamericana de Telemedicina

Humberto Federico Rios
Estudio Rios Lawyers

Joaquin Emilio Zappa
JP O´Farrell Abogados

Juan Martín Salvadores de Arzuaga
De Dios & Goyena

Juan Vicente Sola
Estudio Sola

Lucila Escriña
Marval, O'Farrell & Mairal

María Eugenia Cantenys
Marval, O'Farrell & Mairal

Maria Eugenia Montero
Hewlett Packard Enterprise

María Paola Trigiani
Alfaro Abogados

Martin Langsam
Universidad Isalud

Mercedes Balado Bevilacqua
MBB Abogados

Mercedes Lorenzo
Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Nicolas Soler

Omar Eidelstein
LKEC Abogados

Pablo Alejandro Pirovano
Pirovano & Bello Abogados

Sandra Guillan
De Dios & Goyena

Santiago Spadafora
Universidad Isalud

Sebastián Lyszyk
Silva Ortiz Alfonso Pavic & Louge

Anonymous Contributors

Australia

Anne Cregan
Gilbert + Tobin

Breen Creighton
RMIT University

Brendan Ashdown
John Toohey Chambers

David Gill
Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Esther Stern
Flinders University of South Australia

Fiona McDonald
Queensland University of Technology

G. D. Edouard Tursan d'Espaignet
University of Newcastle; University of New England

Grant Niemann

Greg Patmore
University of Sydney

James Gillespie
University of Sydney

Kate Burns
University of Technology

Kate Eastman
New South Wales Bar Association

Mary Anne Noone
La Trobe University

Mary E. Crock
University of Sydney

Merrilyn Walton
University of Sydney

Neil James
Australia Defence Association

Nicholas Cowdery
University of New South Wales; University of Sydney

Nicola McGarrity
University of New South Wales

Peter Cashman
University of Sydney

Peter Sainsbury

Sean Cooney
The University of Melbourne

Simon Rice
University of Sydney

Sonia Allan
Deakin University

Terry Carney
University of Sydney

Anonymous Contributors

Austria

Christoph Bezemek
University of Graz

Clarissa Nitsch
Binder Grösswang

Claudia Habl
Austrian Public Health Institute GOeG

Clemens Egermann
Barnert Egermann Illigasch Attorneys at Law

Gerhard Jarosch
International Association of Prosecutors

Isabelle Pellech

Ivo Greiter
Greiter Pegger Kofler & Partners

Julian Feichtinger
Cerha Hempel Spiegelfeld Rechtsanwälte

Karl Stoeger
University of Graz

Martin Reinisch
Brauneis Klauser Prändl Rechtsanwälte GmbH

Martin Risak
University of Vienna

Rupert Manhart
Manhart Einsle Partner Rechtsanwälte

Thomas Frad
KWR Karasek Wietrzyk Rechtsanwälte

Thomas Hofmann
Pallas Hofmann Rechtsanwaelte Partnerschaft

Walter Doralt
University of Graz

Walter Rabl
Medical University of Innsbruck

Anonymous Contributors

The Bahamas

Candice C. Ferguson
Baycourt Chambers

Darcel Williamson
The Firm of Higgs and Johnson

Donna Harding-Lee
Harding-Lee & Company

G. Deon Thompson
Sturrup Thompson & Associates

Leah A. Rolle
University of the Bahamas

Rhyan A. A. Elliott
Higgs & Johnson

Sharanda C. Humes-Forbes

Tavares K. LaRoda
Sunshine Holdings Ltd.

Anonymous Contributors

Bangladesh

A. H. M. Belal Chowdhury
FM Consulting International

A. S. M. Alamgir
Institute of Epidemiology, Disease Control and Research; Ministry of Health and Family Welfare

Abu Sayeed M. M. Rahman
United Hospital Ltd.

Ali Asif Khan
Hossain & Khan Associates

Anisul Hassan
Syed Ishtiaq Ahmed & Associates

Asif Nazrul
University of Dhaka

Bilqis Amin Hoque

Darras Abdullah
Tanjib Alam & Associates

Ferdausur Rahman
A.S. & Associates

Gazi Md Rokib Bin Hossain
The Legal Circle

Ibtida Farhat Tropa
A.S. & Associates

Junayed Ahmed Chowdhury
Vertex Chambers

Khandaker Mashfique Ahmed
Rahman's Chambers

Masud Khan
Md Khademul Islam Choyon
Sattar & Co.

Md Salequzzaman
The Legal Era; Lincoln's Inn

Mir Shamsur Rahman
The University of Asia Pacific

Mohammad Rafiqul

Islam Chowdhury
M. R. I. Chowdhury & Associates

S. M. Abid Ur Rahman
Daudur Rahman Mina & Associates

Sara Hossain
Bangladesh Legal Aid and Services Trust

Sayeed Abdullah Al Mamun Khan
A.S. & Associates

Shakina Akter
Rahman's Chambers

Tanim Hussain Shawon

Tanvir Quader
Vertex Chambers

Tasmiah Nuhiya Ahmed

Anonymous Contributors

Barbados

Andrew Pilgrim
Pilgrim & Associates Attorneys-at-Law

Chester L. Sue
Chester L. Sue & Co.

Esther Obiora Arthur
Rehoboth Law Chambers

Ivan Hugh Walters
Onesimus Legal Services

Jaydene O. Thomas
Capital Law Chambers

Kathy-A. Hamblin
Charlton Chambers

Margot Greene
Ellangowan Strathclyde St. Michael, Attorneys-at-Law

Nicole Roachford

Tanya A. Hinds

Anonymous Contributors

Belarus

Aleksandr Dergachov
Human Rights Center "Spring"

Alena Hodanovich
Alternative

Alena Salei
Belarus State University; Borovtsov & Salei

Alexander
RMOO Meeting

Alexander Nazarov

Alexander Solopov
Arzinger & Partners

Alexandr Moiseenko
Borovtsov & Salei

Alexey Korol
Stepanovski, Papakul and Partners Attorneys at Law

Aliaksei Khvostsik
Centrum Praw Czlowieka Viasna

Anastasia Morgun
Borovtsov & Salei

Anatoly Leshenok
People PLUS

Andrei Famenka
World Health Organization

Antonina Ivanova

Artemev Sergej
Belarusian State University

Daria Sarbay
REVERA Law Firm

Dmitry Kovalchik
Stepanovski, Papakul and Partners Attorneys at Law

Dmitry Petrovich Eduardovich

Dmitry Semashko
Stepanovski, Papakul and Partners Attorneys at Law

Elena Sheleg
Stepanovski, Papakul and Partners Attorneys at Law

Kirill Tomashevski
Belarusian State University; International University MITSO

Ksenia Zhukovskaya
Verkhovodko & Partners

Oksana Puchkovskaya
Stepanovski, Papakul and Partners Attorneys at Law

Saman Neharesh
Borovtsov & Salei

Sergey Demianenko
Verkhovodko & Partners

Tatiana Ignatovskaya
Stepanovski, Papakul and Partners Attorneys at Law

Vadzim Samaryn
Belarusian State University

Valentina Komova (Ogarkova)
Stepanovski, Papakul and Partners Attorneys at Law

Vasili Zavadski
TimeAct

Vassili Salei
Borovtsov & Salei

Viacheslav Shestak
JSC Belvtorchermet

Vital Kalyada
VVK Litigation Squad

Vladimir Knyazev
Public Association Polygraphologist

Yaraslau Halenchyk
Stepanovski, Papakul and Partners Attorneys at Law

Yulia Shuba
Borovtsov & Salei

Yury Razvodovsky
National Academy of Sciences

Anonymous Contributors

Belgium

Ann Witters
Claeys & Engels

Anthony Poppe
Xirius Public Law Partners

Christoph Van der Elst
Tilburg University

Deirdre Carroll
Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati

Freek Louckx
Universiteit Antwerpen - Vrije Universiteit Brussel

Jean Bornet
Bornet & Associes

Jean Jacqmain
Université Libre de Bruxelles

Jean-Marc Gollier
Eubelius Law Firm

Jerome Aubertin
Stibbe

Nathalie Vandevelde
Cabinet d'Avocats Vanderveeren, Thys, Wauters & de Nys

Patrick Goffaux
Université Libre de Bruxelles

Patrick Henry
Barreau de Liège

Philippe Colle
Vrije Universiteit Brussel

Valerie Flohimont
University of Namur

Walter P. Verstrepen
Elegis Law Firm

Anonymous Contributors

Belize

Adler G. L. Waight
Barrow & Williams LLP

Deshawn Arzu Torres
Mckoy Torres LLP

Emil Arguelles
Arguelles & Company

Estevan Perera
Estevan Perera & Company LLP

Hector D. Guerra
Marine Parade Chambers LLP

Lissette V. Staine
Barrow & Williams LLP

Natalia Bevans
Legal Consultancy Firm of Bevans and Company Ltd.

Oneyda Flores Piper
Law Office of Oneyda Flores Piper

Payal Bhojraj Ghanwani
Estevan Perera & Company LLP

Rodwell Williams
Barrow & Williams LLP

Victor Malcolm David Lizarraga

Wayne Piper
Musa & Balderamos LLP

Anonymous Contributors

Benin

Adjowa Jacqueline Adabra
Association Femme Action pour le Développement de la Famille

Agathe Affougnon Ago
Barreau du Bénin

Annick B. Nonohou
Reseau des Soignants Amis des Patients

Charles Badou
Cabinet d'Avocats Charles Badou & Partners

Chris Balogoun
Chris Balogoun Law Office

Elias Mahoulé Syné Guidi

Epiphanie F. Yelome Nassou
Université de Parakou

Gisèle Adounlohoun Obognon

Justine Françoise Houzanme
Réseau des ONG et Associations de Femmes contre la Féminisation du VIH-SIDA

Luc Martin Hounkanrin
Barreau du Bénin

Nadine Dossou Sakponou
SCPA Robert M. DOSSOU

Ogoudjé César Guegni
Cabinet d'Avocats Charles Badou & Partners

Sossa Edmond Gbedo
Ministère de la Santé

Valentin Akoha

Yvon Detchenou

Anonymous Contributors

Bolivia

Andrea Nemer Sabag

Antonio Peres Velasco

Arletta Añez

Carlos Gerke Siles
Estudio Jurídico Gerke, Soc. Civ.

Erika Pando

Ivan Cáceres Ibañez
Caceres & Asoc.

Ivan Lima Magne
Centro de Estudios de Justicia y Participación

Javier Mir Peña
Mir & Asociados Abogados Laborales

Jorge Omar Mostajo Barrios
Universidad Mayor de San Andrés

Juan José Lima Magne
Centro de Estudios de Justicia y Participación

Julio César Landívar Castro
Guevara & Gutiérrez S.C.

Nicolás Soliz Peinado
Salazar & Asociados

Pedro Barrientos
Universidad Nacional de Córdoba

Raul A. Baldivia
Baldivia Unzaga & Asociados

Rosario Baptista Canedo

Sandra Salinas
C.R.& F. Rojas Abogados

Sergio Reynolds Ruiz
Bufete Reynolds Legal Advice

Anonymous Contributors

Bosnia and Herzegovina

Adnan Duraković
University of Zenica

Andrea Zubovic-Devedzic

Bahrija Umihanic
University of Tuzla

Boris Stojanovic

Denis Pajić
University Džemal Bijedić

Dzeneta Omerdic
University of Tuzla

Emir Spaho
Law Office Spaho Ltd.

Feđa Dupovac
Law Office Spaho Ltd.

Hana Korać
International University of Travnik; Ministry of Internal Affairs, Sarajevo Canton

Harun Gadzo
Messer Tehnoplin Ltd.

Hodžić I. Edin

Indir Osmic

Iur Amina Nikolajev
University of Sarajevo

Ivanka Marković
Univerzitet u Banjoj Luci

Kanita Imamović-Čizmić
University of Sarajevo

Lana Bubalo
University of Stavanger

Law Office Spaho

Lejla Balic
University of Sarajevo

Mehmed Ganic
International University of Sarajevo

Midhat Izmirlija
University of Sarajevo

Miralem Porobic
Advokatska Kancelarija Porobic

Mirela Cokic-Dzinic
University of Tuzla

Mirjana Šarkinović
Attorney's Office Mirjana Šarkinović

Mirza Dzevdetbegovic
Joint Law Firm Kenan Elezovic and Mirza Dzevdetbegovic

Nasir Muftic
University of Sarajevo

Nihad Sijerčić
Karanovic & Partners

Osman Sinanovic
University of Tuzla

Sanela Džanić
Joint Law Office Amela Pejdah & Sanela Džanić

Selma Mezetović Međić
University of Sarajevo

Slaven Dizdar
Maric & Co. Law Firm

Tarik Prolaz

Vjekoslav Domljan
Centre for Regional Economic Studies

Zijad Dzafic
University of Tuzla

Zijad Hasić
Parliament Bosnia & Herzegovina

Zinka Grbo
University of Sarajevo

Anonymous Contributors

Botswana

Abel Modimo
Modimo & Associates

Bugalo Maripe
University of Botswana

Buhle Ncube

Kwadwo Osei-Ofei
Osei-Ofei Swabi & Co.

Matseliso Grace 'Mota
Land Administration Authority

Moagi Moloi
Minchin & Kelly

Nako Bo Tebele
Ramalepa Attorneys

Neo Ann-Ruth Sekhobe
Rahim Khan & Company Attorneys

Olebile Daphney Muzila
Bookbinder Business Law

Patrick I. Akhiwu
Pakmed Group

Piyush Sharma
Piyush Sharma Attorneys

Shakila Khan
Khan Corporate Law

Tachilisa Badala Balule
University of Botswana

Tapiwa Boipelo Gachala
Osei-Ofei Swabi & Co.

Thuto Gaboipone Senwedi
Bookbinder Business Law

Tshekiso Tshekiso
Tshekiso Ditiro & Jani Legal Practice

Tumalano Sekoto
Botswana-Harvard AIDS Institute Partnership

Anonymous Contributors

Brazil

Alexandre Fragoso Silvestre
Briganti Advogados

Ana Clara Passos Presciliano
Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais

Ana Paula de Barcellos
Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro

Ana Paula Oliveira Avila
Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul

André de Melo Ribeiro
Dias Carneiro Advogados; Universidade de São Paulo

Arthur de Almeida Lancellote Matias Lemos
Universidade Federal de Lavras

Carlos Ayres
Maeda, Ayres e Sarubbi Advogados

Carolina Bessa Ferreira de Oliveira

Carolina Dzimidas Haber
Defensoria Pública do Estado do Rio de Janeiro

Carolina Giesbrecht Forte Korbage de Castro
Korbage de Castro Sociedade de Advocacia

Conrado Hübner Mendes
University of São Paulo

Dandara Ramos
CIDACS - Fiocruz Bahia

Daniel A. Dourado
Universidade de São Paulo

Daniel Bushatsky
Advocacia Bushatsky

Daniel de Pádua Andrade
Universidade Federal de Viçosa

Danilo Costa N. A. Leite

David Braga Junior
Hospital dos Servidores Públicos do Estado de São Paulo

Diogo R. Coutinho
Universidade de São Paulo

Eduardo Pitrez de Aguiar Corrêa
Universidade Federal do Rio Grande

Elival Da Silva Ramos
Universidade de São Paulo

Emilio Peluso Neder Meyer
Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais

Eraldo Silva Júnior
Defensoria Pública da União

Estêvão Mallet
Universidade de São Paulo

Fabio Martins Di Jorge
Advocacia Di Jorge

Fabio Queiroz Pereira
Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais

Fabio Ulhoa Coelho
Pontifícia Universidade Católica de São Paulo

Felipe Asensi
Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro

Fernanda Vargas Terrazas
Conselho Nacional de Secretarias Municipais de Saúde

Fernando Aith
Universidade de São Paulo

Fernando Menezes
Universidade de São Paulo

Flávia Souza Máximo Pereira
Universidade Federal de Ouro Preto

Gabriel Alves da Costa
Shell Brasil Petróleo Ltda.

Gerson Luiz Carlos Branco
Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul

Guilherme Bier Barcelos
Rossi, Maffini, Milman & Grando Advogados

Guilherme de Jesus France
Fundação Getulio Vargas

Heloisa Estellita
FGV Direito

Henry Colombi
Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais

Jéssica Gomes da Mata
Universidade de São Paulo

João Augusto Gameiro
Trench Rossi e Watanabe Advogados

José Ricardo dos Santos Luz Junior
Braga Nascimento e Zilio Advogados Associados

Konstantin Gerber
Pontifícia Universidade Católica de São Paulo

Leandro Bonini Farias
Coutinho e Farias Sociedade de Advogados

Lenir Santos
Instituto de Direito Sanitario Aplicado

Ligia Bahia
Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro

Luciano Feldens
Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul

Marcia Vilapiano Gomes Primos
Primos e Primos Advocacia

Márcio Souza Guimarães
Márcio Guimarães Advogados

Maria Celina Bodin de Moraes
Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro; Rio de Janeiro State University

Maria Clara Oliveira Santos
Universidade Federal de São João del-Rei

Maria Clara Versiani de Castro
Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais

Maria Fernanda Tourinho Peres
Universidade de São Paulo

Mariana Alves Lara
Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais

Marta Rodriguez de Assis Machado
FGV Direito

Matheus C. Alcantara Viana
Viana e Azevedo Advogados

Mauricio Faragone
Faragone Advogados

Michael Freitas Mohallem
Fundação Getulio Vargas

Nina Ranieri
Universidade de São Paulo

Ordélio Azevedo Sette
Azevedo Sette Advogados

Paula Rocha Gouvêa Brener
Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais

Paulo Rogério Sehn
Trench Rossi e Watanabe Advogados

Paulo Sergio João
Pontifícia Universidade Católica de São Paulo

Rachelle Balbinot
IMED

Rafael Villac Vicente de Carvalho
Peixoto & Cury Advogados

Raoni Macedo Bielschowsky
Universidade Federal de Uberlândia

Raquel Betty de Castro Pimenta
Tribunal Regional do Trabalho da 3ª Região

Raquel Lima Scalcon
Alexander von Humboldt Foundation

Roberta de Freitas Campos
Fundação Oswaldo Cruz

Rodrigo Ghiringhelli de Azevedo
Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul

Rodrigo Giordano de Castro
Peixoto & Cury Advogados

Rômulo Soares Valentini
FPL Educacional

Ronaldo Lourenço Munhoz
Lourenço Munhoz Advogados Associados

Sara Carvalho Matanzaz
Ferreira de Melo Advogados

Sergio Nelson Mannheimer
Mannheimer, Perez e Lyra Advogados

Sheila Neder Cerezetti
Universidade de São Paulo

Soraia Ghassan Saleh
Saleh Advogados Associados

Sueli Gandolfi Dallari
Universidade de São Paulo

Teresa Ancona Lopez
Universidade de São Paulo

Thiago Bottino
FGV Direito

Thiago Lopes Cardoso Campos
Instituto de Direito Sanitario Aplicado

Valeria Penna
Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro

Vanessa Chiari Gonçalves
Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul

Victor Hugo Criscuolo Boson
Universidade Federal do Sul da Bahia

Anonymous Contributors

Bulgaria

Delchev & Partners Law Firm

Denitsa Rukanova
Anti-Corruption Fund Foundation

Desislava Anastasova
Cameron McKenna Nabarro Olswang LLP

Gergana Ilieva
Sofia Bar Association

Ivelina Vassileva
Schoenherr Attorneys at Law

Iveta Manolova
Cameron McKenna Nabarro Olswang LLP

Jean F. Crombois
American University in Bulgaria

Jenia Dimitrova
Cameron McKenna Nabarro Olswang LLP

Lachezar Raichev
Penkov, Markov & Partners Law Firm

Lucia F. Miree
American University in Bulgaria

Maya Aleksandrova
Cameron McKenna Nabarro Olswang LLP

Momyana Guneva
Burgas Free University

Nikolai Hristov
Medical University of Sofia

Pavel Petkov

Petko Salchev
National Center of Public Health and Analyses

Stanley B. Gyoshev
University of Exeter

Victor Gugushev
Gugushev & Partners Law Office

Vyara Veselinova Tomova
Penkov, Markov & Partners Law Firm

Anonymous Contributors

Burkina Faso

Abdoulaye Soma

Abdrmane Berthe
Université de Dédougou et Centre Muraz

Aimée Djiguimdé
Association Peace for All

Alexandre L. Daboné
Barreau du Burkina Faso; SCPA HOREB

Apollinaire Joachimson Kyélem de Tambèla
Barreau du Burkina Faso

Arnaud Koulika Nikiema
Centre d'Études et de Recherche sur les TIC et la Cyberactivité

Baimanai Angelain Poda
Université Ouaga II

Bannitouo Some

Bernard Ilboudo
Ministère de la Santé

Boubacar Nacro
Center Hospital University Sourou Sanou

Boubakar Toure
Université Joseph Ki-Zerbo

Etienne Seni
Cabinet Futurjuris

Hama A. Diallo
Université Joseph Ki-Zerbo

Hamidou Lamoussa Ouattara
Societe Civile Professionnelle d'Avocats Loyalty

Harouna Kadio

Hervé B. N. Kpoda
Centre MURAZ

Hien Ollo Larousse

Irène Victoria Nebie
Societe d'Avocats Horeb

Issoufou Tiendrebeogo
AAS Burkina

K. Paulin Somda
Ministère de la Santé

Kalhoule Ousmane Alexandre

Kassem Salam Sourwema
Université Ouaga II

Leticia Sauret-Sakana
Centre MURAZ

Mahamadou Barro
Université Joseph Ki-Zerbo

Monique Ilboudo

Patrick G. Ilboudo
Agence de Medecine Preventive

S. Ibrahim Guitanga
Barreau du Burkina Faso; SCPA HOREB

Simon Kabore
Réseau Accès aux Médicaments Essentiels

Souleymane Tassembedo
Centre MURAZ

Ter Tiero Elias Dah
Centre MURAZ

Tiendrebeogo T. I. Stephane

Anonymous Contributors

Cambodia

Chak Sopheap
Cambodian Center for Human Rights

Fil B. Tabayoyong, Jr.
Pannasastra University of Cambodia

Kimheang Long

Sereyrath Kiri
Husky & Partners

Sophea Im
Integrated Solutions & Development Consulting

Sopheak Loeung
BNG Legal

Vichuta Ly
Legal Support for Children and Women

Anonymous Contributors

Cameroon

Alain Bruno Woumbou Nzetchie

Asibong Queenta
The Abeng Law Firm

Buokejung Nsen Abeng
Civitas Cameroon

Charles Harold Kooh
The Abeng Law Firm

Eleanor Mah Asaa
Rights Aid for Women

Epanty Mbanda
D. Moukouri & Partners Law Firm

Gbaka Ernest Acho
Gbaka & Co.

Henry Usim Kalle

Hyacinthe Fansi
Ngassam, Fansi & Mouafo Avocats Associés

Isidore Baudouin Ndzana
Cabinet ISN Consultant

J. J. Claude Siewe
Siewe & Partners Law Firm

Jasmine Diane Silabing Tcheungouo Epse Ouethy
Cabinet d'Avocats Meuke

Joseph Ngoupayo
Université de Yaoundé I

Laurence Idelette Djeutchou Mouafo
NFM Avocats Associés

Michel Antoine Mben Kanga
NFM Avocats Associés

Nadine Bethmba Yanou

Njang Simon Enow
MBI Nchenge Law Chambers

Njini Futrih Ngong Rose
Regional Hospital Bamenda

Noé Momha
Groupement Inter-Patronal du Cameroun

Oscar Tsamayem Dongkeu

Polycarp Forkum
United Nations Organisation Stabilization Mission

Roland Abeng
The Abeng Law Firm

Tarh Besong Frambo
The Global Citizen's Initiative

Zakariaou Njoumemi
Development Results Group; Université de Yaoundé I

Anonymous Contributors

Canada

Alexander Crizzle
University of Saskatchewan

Amir Attaran
University of Ottawa

Brian Langille
University of Toronto

Brooks Arcand-Paul
Indigenous Bar Association in Canada

Carolyn Greene
Athabasca University

Daniel M. Campbell
Cox & Palmer

Dwight Newman
University of Saskatchewan

Fabien Gélinas
McGill University

Gaynor Roger
Shibley Righton LLP

Graham J. Reynolds
University of British Columbia

Jabeur Fathally
Université d'Ottawa

Karen Busby
University of Manitoba

Léo Fugazza

Michel W. Drapeau
University of Ottawa

Steven Barrett
Goldblatt Partners

Thomas A. Cromwell
Borden Ladner Gervais LLP

Titilola Ayotunde-Rotifa

Ulisce Desmarais
Desmarais Desvignes Crespo

Anonymous Contributors

Chile

Alvaro Mendoza
Aylwin Mendoza Luksic & Valencia

Andrea Abascal
Jara del Favero & Ried Fabres

Carlos Ossandon Salas
Eluchans y Compañia Abogados

Caterina Guidi Moggia
Universidad Adolfo Ibáñez

Cristóbal Bonacic M.
Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile

Debora Espinoza Elo

Edmundo Varas Karmy
Morales & Besa

Fernando Jamarne
Alessandri Attorneys at Law

Fernando Lolas Stepke
Universidad de Chile

Fernando Maturana Crino
Eyzaguirre y Cía., Abogados

Gabriela Novoa
Red de Salud UC CHRISTUS

Gonzalo Hoyl Moreno
Hoyl Alliende & Cía. Abogados

Humberto A. Sánchez Pacheco
Proyecto Inocentes Defensoría Penal Pública

Jorge Bofill Genzsch
Bofill Escobar Silva Abogados

Jorge Canales G.
Kennedys Law

Juan Ignacio Chamorro Sepúlveda
AMLV Abogados

Juan Pablo Cox Leixelard
Universidad Adolfo Ibáñez

Luis Felipe Hubner
UH&C Abogados

Luis Parada
DLA Piper

Manuel Jimenez Pfingsthorn
Jara del Favero & Ried Fabres

Manuel Jose Fernandez Barros

Marcelo Alfredo Villalón Calderón
Universidad de Chile

María Inés Horvitz Lennon
Universidad de Chile

María Isabel Cornejo Plaza
Universidad de Chile

Martín Besio Hernández
Universidad Diego Portales

Michele Daroch Sagredo
Abdala & Cía.

Omar Morales
Montt y Cía. Abogados

Patricio Morales Aguirre
Pérez Donoso Estudio Jurídico

Ramon Garcia Odgers
Universidad Católica de Concepcion

Raúl Novoa Galán

Ricardo Lillo
Universidad Diego Portales

Ricardo Reveco Urzúa
Carey Law Firm

Zarko Luksic Sandoval
AMLV Abogados

Anonymous Contributors

China

He Qingjie
Yunnan Provincial Health Development Research Center

Jianhong Liu
University of Macau

Kaiming Liu
The Institute of Contemporary Observation

Li Xinfeng
Jiangsu Dongyin Law Firm

Anonymous Contributors

Colombia

Abelado De La Espriella
De la Espriella Lawyers Enterprise

Alba Yaneth Rincón Méndez
Universidad Industrial de Santander

Alfonso Plana Bodén
ARI Consulting Group S.A.S.

Angela Maria Ruiz Sternberg
Universidad del Rosario

Bernardo Carvajal Sanchez
Bernardo Carvajal & Asociados

Camilo Torres-Serna
Universidad Santiago de Cali

Carlos Andrés Gómez González
Universidad Jorge Tadeo Lozano

Carlos Arturo Toro López

Carlos M. Molina Arrubla
Molina Diaz & Abogados

Carolina Fernandez Gomez

Claudia Maria Velez Velez
Universidad de Medellin

David F. Varela
Agencia Norteamericana de Desarrollo Internacional; Banco Inter-Americano de Desarrollo; Banco Mundial

Eduardo Cardenas
Dentons Cardenas & Cardenas

Elizabeth Castillo Castillo

Enrique Alvarez
Lloreda Camacho & Co.

Fernando Arteaga Suárez
Universidad del Valle

Guillermo Hernando Bayona Combariza

Gustavo Quintero Navas
Asesores Juridicos y Consultores Empresariales S.A.S.

Hernando Nieto
Asociación Colombiana de Salud Pública

Ignacio Santamaría
Lloreda Camacho & Co.

Jaime Lombana Villalba
Jaime Lombana Villalba & Abogados

Jairo Humberto Restrepo Zea
Universidad de Antioquia

Joe Bonilla Gálvez
Muñoz Tamayo & Asociados Abogados S.A.S.

Jorge Acosta-Reyes
Universidad del Norte

Jorge Enrique Galvis Tovar
Lloreda Camacho & Co.

Luis Alberto Tafur Calderón
Universidad del Valle

Luisa Fernanda Cuéllar Ramírez
Rama Judicial- Seccional Caquetá

Marcela Castro Ruiz
Universidad de los Andes

Martha Peñuela
Universidad del Norte

Patricia Moncada Roa
Universidad de los Andes

Patricia Vergara
Gómez - Pinzón Abogados S.A.S.

Rafael H. Gamboa Bernate
Data & Tic Consultores

Raúl Alberto Suárez Arcila

Ricardo Posada Maya
Universidad de los Andes

Román Restrepo Villa
Universidad de Antioquia

Anonymous Contributors

Congo, Dem. Rep.

Adolphe Kilomba Sumaili
Congolese Centre of Transitional Justice

Adonis Bope Ngoloshanga
RCP/Médias ASBL

Alain M. Iyeti
Direction d'Etudes et Planification du Ministère de la Santé

Balingene Kahombo
Université de Goma

Benjamin Ifeka Momponza Bertin Boki Ezabu
Droits Pour Tous ASBL

Beya Siku
Université de Kinshasa

Bienfait Uwimana
Université de Goma

Bruno Lapika Dimomfu
Université de Kinshasa

Cédric Mayuba Mokangani
Cour Constitutionnelle de la République Démocratique du Congo; Université de Kinshasa

Charles-Mugagga Mushizi Bashushana
Centre d'Echanges pour des Réformes Juridiques et Institutionnelles

Christian Bahati Bahalaokwibuye
Marcalex Law Firm; Université Catholique de Bukavu et Avocat

Christian Luzombe
Société Civile Force Vive de la RDC

Clement Shamashanga Minga
Centre de Recherches et d'Etudes sur l'Etat de Droit en Afrique

Coco Koyalua

Dan Nshokano Kashironge
MERCALEX - Legal and Business Consulting Firm

Déogratias Nyombo Busangu
Réseau Nationale des ONG pour le Développement de la Femme

Emmanuel Kabupwe
Cabinet Emery Mukendi Wafwana

Fabrice Konzi Yembamo Siamo
Journalisme Libre en Afrique

Freddy Mulamba Senene
Centre d'Arbitrage du Congo

Genèse Bibi
Centre de Recherches et d'Etudes sur l'Etat de Droit en Afrique

Grace Beda Mbazi
Université de Goma

Grâce Muwawa

Gustave Booloko N'Kelly
Fondation Tohangi pour le Developpement

Gustave Kingoma
Barreau de Kinshasa-Gombe

Guy Loando Mboyo
GLM & Associates

Hector Lubamba Ngimbi
Barreau de Kinshasa-Gombe

Hugues Ngoy Nsenga
Division de la Santé du Haut Katanga

Huguette Sesep

Jacques Djoli
Université de Kinshasa

Jean Claude Ngoy Simbi
Direction du Programme Élargi de Vaccination de la RDC

Jean Kankolongo Senga Tumiladi

Jean Michel Kumbu Ki Mgimbi
Université de Kinshasa

Jean Michel Mvondo
Réseau d'Education Civique au Congo

Jean Paul Habibu Safari

Jean Pierre Ostchudi Omanga
Cabinet d'Avocats Otschudi Omanga and Associates

Jean-Paul Divengi Nzambi
Fondation Bongisa Mokili

Joseph Antoine Ngoto Ngoie Ngalingi
Université de Kisangani

Joseph Kayembe Mutamba

Laurent Okamo Okuma
Okuma Law Office

Lebrun Kembo Nsayi
Fondation Bongisa Mokili

Marcellin Lebon Kalera
Barreau de Goma; Université de Goma

Marie Nyombo Zaina
Réseau Nationale des ONG pour le Développement de la Femme

Moîse Abdou Muhima
Centre de Recherches et d'Etudes sur l'Etat de Droit en Afrique; Université de Kinshasa

Nicaise Chikuru Munyiogwarha
Chikuru & Associés

Patrick Nsimba Mata
Club des Amis Damien

Paul Kabongo

Rachel Kangila Kamesa
Centre de Recherches et d'Etudes sur l'Etat de Droit en Afrique

Rodino Tshibuyi Mbuyandayi

Roger Kabeya
Barreau de Kinshasa-Gombe

Roger Mukendi M.
Réseau Nationale des ONG pour le Développement de la Femme

Roger Mulamba Katamba
Cabinet d'Avocats RMK & Associes

Samy Samutondi Ikomba
Conseil Supérieur de la Magistrature; Université de Kinshasa

Symphorien Kapinga K. Nkashama
Centre de Recherches et d'Etudes sur l'Etat de Droit en Afrique

Thérèse Mambu Nyangi Mondo
Université de Kinshasa

Toussaint Kwambamba Bala
Barreau de Kinshasa-Matete; University of Bandundu; Université Catholique du Congo

Vianney Kanku
Action Contre l'Impunité pour les Droits Humains

Yves-Junior Lumingu Manzanza
Université de Kikwit

Anonymous Contributors

Costa Rica

Alexa Narváez Arauz
Lexincorp

Alvaro Aguilar
Aguilar Castillo Love

Armando Guardia
Guardia & Cubero

Arnoldo Andre Tinoco
Lexincorp

Benjamin Gutierrez Contreras
BG&A Abogados Corporativos

Carlos H. Pacheco
AB&P Abogados

Carmen Zúñiga Quesada
GLC Abogados

Daniela Durán Azofeifa
Aselecom Abogados

Enrique López Jiménez
Dentons

Ewald Acuña Blanco
Bufete Acuña & Asociados

Federico Morales Chaves
Central Law

Francisco José Aguilar Urbina
Comité de Derechos Humanos

J. Federico Campos Calderón
Lexpenal Abogados; Universidad de Costa Rica

José Antonio Muñoz
Dentons Muñoz

Kendall David Ruiz Jiménez
Aselecom Abogados

Luis González Aguilar
Universidad de Costa Rica

María del Rocío Quirós Arroyo
Bufete AG Legal

Rafael Quirós Bustamante
Central Law

Rafael Rodriguez Salazar
La Firma de Abogados CR

Ramón María Yglesias Piza
Bufete Mora, Yglesias & Asociados

Randall Madrigal Madrigal
Colegio de Farmacéuticos de Costa Rica

Rodrigo Oreamuno

Rubén Hernández Valle
Universidad de Costa Rica

Sergio Amador Hasbun
Batalla Legal

Susana Fallas Barboza
Aselecom Abogados

Tomás Quirós Jiménez
Central Law

Victoria van Ginkel Mourelo
Bufete Guardia & Cubero

Anonymous Contributors

Cote d'Ivoire

Aliou Niangadou

Arsene Dable

Bernice N'Guessan and Cynthia N'Guessan

Diallo Sissoko Genevieve
Réseau Paix et Sécurité des Femmes de l'Espace

Eric Bably
BK & Associés

Fotienworo Mathias Coulibaly
Societe d'Avocats Jurisfortis

Geraldine Odehouri-Koudou
Barreau de Côte d'Ivoire

Jean-Louis Flaubert Lobe
Institut International de la Haute Pratique du Droit

Jules Gotre
Amepouh

Kignaman Soro
KS & Associés

Kouame Yves Roland Yao
Roy International

Paterne Mambo
Université Félix Houphouët-Boigny

Patrice K. Kouassi

Pierre Diavatche
Ordre des Avocats de Côte d'Ivoire

Séhéna-Dramane
Cabinet d'Avocats Hivat et Associés

Séraphin Nene
Université de Bouaké

Simone Assa-Akoh
Association des Femmes Juristes de Côte d'Ivoire

Souleymane Sakho
Scpa Sakho-Yapobi-Fofana & Associes

Tigby Junior Franck Wilfried
Ivoire-Juriste

Anonymous Contributors

Croatia

Alan Bosnar
University of Rijeka

Alan Soric
Soric & Tomekovic Dunda Attorneys

Anita Krizmanić
Macesic & Partners Law Offices

Arsen Bacic
Croatian Academy of Science and Arts

Boris Kozjak
Boris Kozjak Law Firm

Boris Šavorić
Šavorić & Partners LLC

Branko Smerdel
University of Zagreb

Darko Jurišić
General Hospital "Dr. J. Benčević"

Floriana Bulić-Jakuš
University of Zagreb

Ivana Manovelo
Macesic & Partners Law Offices

Ivo Grga

Mario Krka

Marko Borsky

Marko Lovrić
Marko Lovrić Law Office

Martina Kolar

Rudolf Gregurek
University of Zagreb

Tomislava Furcic
Law Office Tomislava Furcic

Višnja Drenški Lasan
Law Firm Višnja Drenški Lasan

Zlata Đurđević
University of Zagreb

Zoran Vukic
Vukic & Partners Law Firm

Anonymous Contributors

Czech Republic

Arkady Alexandrov

Daniel Bartoň

Jan Hurdík
Masaryk University

Jan Poláček
Poláček, Tryznová & Prudlová, Attorneys at Law

Jiří Andrýsek

Jitka Kadlcikova
Schoenherr Attorneys at Law

Juraj Juhás
Glatzová & Co. s.r.o.

Lukáš Prudil
AK PRUDIL a spol., s.r.o.

Michal Peškar

Pavel Holec
Holec, Zuska & Partneri s.r.o.

Radek Matouš
Eversheds Sutherland Dvořák Hager

Simona Stočesová
University of West Bohemia

Stepan Holub
Holubova Advokati s.r.o.

Tomas Cihula
Kinstellar

Tomáš Láska
KF Legal

Tomas Matejovsky
Cameron McKenna Nabarro Olswang LLP

Veronika Prudlová
Poláček, Tryznová & Prudlová, Attorneys at Law

Vojtech Steininger
Hartmanova & Steininger

Zuzana Candigliota

Anonymous Contributors

Denmark

Anne Brandt Christensen
Advokatfirmaet Brandt Christensen

Christian Bay Nielsen
STORM Advokatfirma

Christian Bentz
LIND Advokataktieselskab

Dan B. Geary
Bech-Bruun Law Firm

Hans Henrik Edlund
Aarhus University

Jacob Schall Holberg
Bech-Bruun Law Firm

Jakob S. Johnsen
HjulmandKaptain

Jannik Haahr Antonsen
Codex Advokater P/S

Jens Rye-Andersen
Advokatfirmaet Eurojuris Aalborg

John Brodersen
University of Copenhagen

Kim Trenskow
Kromann Reumert

Marianne Granhøj
Kromann Reumert

Poul Hvilsted
Horten Law Firm

Trine Binderup
ADVODAN Aalborg A/S

Anonymous Contributors

Dominica

Charlotte Jeremy-Cuffy
Crossroads Centre

Gina J. Abraham
Norde and Lambert Chambers

Anonymous Contributors

Dominican Republic

Alberto A. Biaggi Dimitri
Biaggi Attorneys at Law

Alfredo Lachapel
Lachapel Toribio - Abogados, S.R.L.

Arismendi Díaz Santana

Carlos Alfredo Sosa De La Cruz
Universidad Autónoma de Santo Domingo; Oficina Nacional de Estadísticas

Denny E. Díaz Mordán
Universidad Nacional Pedro Henríquez Ureña

Elisabetta Pedersini
Aaron Suero & Pedersini

Fabiola Medina Garnes
Medina Garrigó Abogados

Fernando J. Jiménez
Puello Herrera Oficina de Abogados & Notaría

Fernando Roedan
Roedán & Asociados

Georges Santoni Recio
Russin Vecchi & Heredia Bonetti

Gianna D`Oleo
Doleo Consulting

Gilbert M. De la Cruz Álvarez
Universidad Nacional Pedro Henríquez Ureña

Henry Montás R.
Montas Abogados

Iván A. Cunillera Alburquerque
William Cunillera & Asociados

Jaime M. Senior Fernández
Headrick Rizik Alvarez & Fernández

Jefrey Lizardo
Instituto Tecnológico de Santo Domingo

Jesus Feris-Iglesias
Fundación Dominicana de Infectologia, Inc.

Juan Manuel Suero
Aaron Suero & Pedersini

Juan Vizcaíno Canario
AbogadoSDQ

Julio Cesar De la Rosa Tiburcio
Alianza Dominicana Contra la Corrupción

Kelvin W. Herrera
Escuela de Formación y Capacitación Ciudadana

Loraine Maldonado
Mesa Abogados

Manuel Alejandro Ruiz Arias

Manuel Colomé
Hospital Pediátrico Dr. Hugo Mendoza

María Esther Fernández A. De Pou
Raful Sicard Polanco & Fernández

Mary Fernández Rodríguez
Headrick Rizik Alvarez & Fernández

Rafael Manuel Lamarche
Fundación Dominicana contra el Mal de Parkinson

Roberto Medina Reyes
Jorge Prats Abogados & Consultores

Rodolfo Mesa
Mesa Abogados

Rosa Ypania Burgos Minaya
Universidad Autónoma de Santo Domingo

Smerly Rodriguez Jimenez
Fundación Justicia y Transparencia

Stalin Ciprián
Ciprián Arriaga & Asocs.

Teresa Mártez

Trajano Vidal Potentini A.
Fundación Justicia y Transparencia

Virgilio A. Mendez Amaro
Mendez & Asociados

Anonymous Contributors

Ecuador

Alberto Vivanco Aguirre
González Peñaherrera & Asociados Abogados

Alexis Noboa Arregui
Cevallos & Noboa Estudio Jurídico

Alfredo G. Brito
Brito & Pinto

Ana Belen Posso Fernandez
Ontaneda & Posso Abogados

Bryan Abdón Mendoza Muñoz
Universidad Laica Eloy Alfaro de Manabí

Carlos Carrasco Yépez
AC Abogados & Consultores

Ciro Pazmino Zurita
P&P Abogados

Claudia Storini
Universidad Andina Simón Bolívar

Diego Almeida-Guzman
Almeida Guzman & Asociados Law Firm

Elizabeth Grijalva Yerovi
Fernando Sacoto
Ecuadorian Public Health Society

Francisco Dávalos Morán
González Peñaherrera & Asociados Abogados

Gerardo Aguirre Vallejo
Estudio Juridico Vivanco & Vivanco

Gustavo Arrobo Moncayo
González Peñaherrera & Asociados Abogados

Gustavo Ortega Trujillo
Ortega Abogados & Asociados

James Pilco Luzuriaga
Universidad del Azuay

Jamil Castro Solorzano

José Antonio Bustamante Salvador
Bustamante & Bustamante Law Firm

José Luis Tapia

Jose Ontaneda
Ontaneda & Posso Abogados

Juan Carlos Riofrío Martínez-Villalba
Universidad de los Hemisferios

Juan José Campaña del Castillo
Larrea y Asociados

Julio Enrique Neira García
Colectivo Feminista Tejido Diverso

Lucía Andrade Martínez
Asociación de Municipalidades Ecuatorianas

Luis Ponce Palacios
Estudio Jurídico Quevedo & Pone

Marcelo Proaño Paredes
Pontificia Universidad Católica del Ecuador

Mario I. Armendáriz Y.
Armendáriz & Andino Abogados

Mary Cabrera Paredes
Sendas

Miguel Ángel Gavilánez Guerrero
Universidad Estatal de Bolívar

Pablo Andino Fiallos
Armendáriz & Andino Abogados

Ramiro Echeverria Tapia
Universidad San Francisco de Quito

Renato Enríquez M.
FEXLAW

Rosa Cecilia Baltazar Yucailla
Movimiento Indígena y Campesina de Tungurahua

Santiago Salazar Intriago
Sempertegui Abogados

Santiago Solines Moreno
Solines & Asociados Abogados

Sylvia Bonilla Bolaños
Comisión Ecuménica de Derechos Humanos

Tatiana Villacres
Quantics Consulting Group

Anonymous Contributors

Egypt

Ahmed Ramadan
Shalakany Law Office

Ayman Sultan Muhammad
Ayman Sultan Law Firm

Bassem S. Wadie
Urology and Nephrology Center

Hadir Helal Farghaly
Open Chance & Associates, Dr. Helal Fraghaly Law Firm

Ibrahim Ahmad
Ain Shams University

Khaled El Shalakany
Shalakany Law Office

Mahmoud Onsy
New Giza University

Mamdooh Abdelhameed Abdelmottlep
Lotus Law Firm

Mohamed Abdelaal
Alexandria University

Randa Salaheldin Rezk
Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Anonymous Contributors

El Salvador

Adán Araujo
Arias Law

Arturo Magaña
Alvarado y Alvarado Abogados

Benjamin Valdez Iraheta
Benjamin Valdez & Asociados

Camila Villafuerte
Romero Pineda & Asociados

Carlos Arturo Muyshondt
Muyshondt & Asociados

Carlos Enrique Castillo García
Romero Pineda & Asociados

Christian Bará Cousin
Bara Legal Corporation

David Claros
García & Bodán

David Osvaldo Toledo
Universidad Católica de El Salvador

Délmer Edmundo Rodríguez Cruz
Escuela Superior de Economía y Negocios

Diego Martín-Menjívar
Consortium Legal

Felix Canizales Valencia
Arias Law

Feridee Alabí
Romero Pineda & Asociados

Francisco Murillo
Central Law

Guillermo Alexander Parada Gámez
Universidad Centroamericana José Simeón Cañas

Ingrid Lizama

Israel Antonio Chinchilla Sánchez
Universidad Centroamericana José Simeón Cañas

Javier Enrique Alfaro Varela
Espino Nieto

José Eduardo Barrientos Aguirre
SBA, Firma Legal & Consultora, S.A. de C.V.

Jose Ernesto Sanchez
Arias Law

Jose Roberto Romero
Romero Pineda & Asociados

Josué Lemus
Arias Law

Kelly Beatriz Romero Rodríguez
Nassar Abogados

Marcella Romero
Dentons Muñoz

Mardoqueo Josafat Tóchez Molina
RSM El Salvador

Mariana Nochez Palacios
Arias Law

Oscar Torres Cañas
García & Bodán

Piero Antonio Rusconi Gutiérrez
Central Law

Porfirio Díaz Fuentes
DLM, Abogados, Notarios, Consultores

Rebeca Atanacio de Basagoitia
Escalón & Atanacio

Roberta Gallardo
Arias Law

Rommell Sandoval
I&D Consulting

Anonymous Contributors

Estonia

Aare Tark
Law Office TARK

Andres Vutt
University of Tartu

Ene Soop
Law Office Narlex

Gaabriel Tavits
University of Tartu

Hannes Küün
Law Office TARK

Jaanus Mägi
Magnusson

Kaja Põlluste
University of Tartu

Kari Käsper
Estonian Human Rights Centre

Madis Kiisa
Laus & Partners Law Office

Maksim Greinoman
Advokaadibüroo Greinoman & Co.

Margit Vutt
Supreme Court of Estonia

Merle Erikson
University of Tartu

Tanel Küün
Law Office TARK

Urmas Kukk
Law Firm Koch & Partners

Anonymous Contributors

Ethiopia

Abdurrahman Seid
Law Office of Abdurrahman Seid

Abebe Asamere Endale

Abiyou Girma Tamrat
Abiyou Girma Law Office

Abraham Zewdie Haile

Alebachew Birhanu Enyew
Bahir Dar University

Alemu Meheretu
Jimma University

Ameha Mekonnen Asfaw
Ameha Mekonnen & Associates Law Office

Berhane Ghebray Kahsay
Berhane Ghebray and Associates

Dessalegn Tigabu Haile
Bahir Dar University

Fikadu Asfaw Demissie
Fikadu Asfaw & Associates Law Office

Girma Kassa Kumsa
Arsi University

Guadie Sharew
Bahir Dar University

Hiruy Wubie Gebreegziabher
La Trobe University

Khalid Kebede Gelaw
Bahir Dar University

Kidist Sheferaw

Kumlachew Dagne
Kumlachew Dagne and Associates Law Office

Matias Girma
Matias Law Office

Mehari Redae
Addis Ababa University

Mekdem Belayneh
Mekdem Law Office

Mesfin Tafesse
Mesfin Tafesse & Associates

Rahel Alemayehu

Samrawit Behailu
Tameru Wondm Agegnehu Law Office

Selam Abere

Tameru Wondm Agegnehu
Tameru Wondm Agegnehu Law Office

Tamrat Assefa
Tamrat Assefa Liban Law Office

Tegegne Zergaw
Bahir Dar University

Temesgen Sisay Beyene
Bahir Dar University

Tsedey Girma Mengistu
Tameru Wondm Agegnehu Law Office

Tsehai Wada
Addis Ababa Universty

Wubshet Kassaw

Yihun Zeleke Mengesha
Bahir Dar University

Yodit Gurji Argaw
Fikadu Asfaw & Associates Law Office

Zewdu Mengesha
Bahir Dar University

Anonymous Contributors

Finland

Anna Hurmerinta-Haanpää
University of Turku

Ari Miettinen
Fimlab Laboratories

Arja R. Aro
EduRes Consulting

Jaakko Salminen
University of Turku

Johanna Niemi
University of Turku

Johannes Lamminen
University of Turku

Jorma Saloheimo
Labour Court of Finland

Kimmo Nuotio
University of Helsinki

Lauri Railas
Railas Attorneys Ltd.

Markku Fredman
Fredman & Mansson Law Firm

Matti Ilmari Niemi
University of Eastern Finland

Matti Tolvanen
University of Eastern Finland

Mika J. Lehtimäki
Attorneys-at-Law TRUST

Mika Launiala
University of Eastern Finland

Milka Sormunen
University of Helsinki

Patrick Lindgren
Advocare Law Office

Raimo Isoaho
University of Turku

Raimo Lahti
University of Helsinki

Sakari Suominen
University of Turku

Tatu Hyttinen
University of Eastern Finland

Teuvo Pohjolainen
University of Eastern Finland

Tommi Koivistoinen
Asianajotoimisto Tuutti Oy

Tuomas Hupli
University of Turku

Vesa Annola
University of Vaasa

Walter Reinovich Vezikko
International Association of Arbitrators of Finland

Anonymous Contributors

France

Antoine Berthe
Barreau de Lille

Carlos Miguel Herrera
Université de Cergy-Pontoise

Christophe Chabrot
Lumière Université Lyon 2

Cyril Bloch
Aix-Marseille Université

Dominique Inchauspé
Cabinet Inchauspé & Remy

Elisabeth Grabli

Florence Debord
Lumière Université Lyon 2

Georges Sioufi
SRDB Law Firm

Guillaume Protière
Lumière Université Lyon 2

Isabelle Carbuccia
Ivch Law Firm

Juliette Chapelle
Cabinet Chapelle Avocat

Marie-Christine Cimadevilla
Cimadevilla Avocats

Monique Stengel

Nicole Stolowy
HEC Paris

Olivier Péan De Ponfilly

Patrice Le Maigat
Université de Rennes 1

Philippe Marin
IMAVOCATS Law Firm

Samir Abdelly
Abdelly & Chaary Law Firm

Sébastien Ducamp
Sesame Avocats

Soungalo Ouarza Goita

Véronique Tuffal-Nerson
Tuffal-Nerson Douarre et Associés

Yanick Alvarez-de Selding

Anonymous Contributors

The Gambia

Abdou A. Kanteh
Nova Scotia-Gambia Association

Aji Sainey Kah
The Gambia Public Utilities Regulatory Authority

Francois S. Mendy
Nova Scotia-Gambia Association

Loubna Farage
Farage Andrews Law Practice

Malick F. M'bai
Fajara Chambers

Maria Saine
Institute for Human Rights and Development in Africa

Momodou A. Bah
Hands on Care

Muhammed B. Sowe
Attorney General's Chambers; Ministry of Justice of The Gambia

Olymatou Cox
UNAIDS

Peter K. Mendy
A.J. Njie Law Chambers; University of the Gambia

Rachael Yvonne Mendy
RYM Legal Services

Sainey Bah
University of the Gambia

Satang Nabaneh
Law Hub Gambia

Anonymous Contributors

Georgia

Ana Chelidze
JSC Basisbank

Ana Rekhviashvili
Business Legal Bureau

Anna Arganashvili
Partnership for Human Rights

Dzabuli Danelia
GLCC Law Firm

George Gotsadze
Curatio International Foundation

Giorgi Begiashvili
Begiashvili & Co.

Giorgi Kldiashvili
Institute for Development of Freedom of Information

Grigol Gagnidze
Georgian Barristers & Lawyers International Observatory

Ivdity Chikovani
Curatio International Foundation

Ketevan Krialashvili
Taxpayers Union in Georgia

Lasha Gogiberidze
BGI Legal

Levan Avalishvili
Institute for Development of Freedom of Information

Levan Gotua
Begiashvili & Co.

Lina Ghvinianidze

Nata Kazakhashvili
Ivane Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University

Natalia Geladze
GG Legal

Natia Katsitadze
Article 42 of the Constitution

Natia Skhvitaridze
National Center for Disease Control and Public Health

Nona Kurdovanidze
Georgian Young Lawyers' Association

Tamta Nutsubidze
Begiashvili & Co.

Anonymous Contributors

Germany

Alexander Putz
Putz und Partner

Anna Lindenberg

Beckmann-Koßmann

Carsten Momsen
Freie Universität Berlin

Christian Wolff
Schock Rechtsanwälte

Christina Reifelsberger
HessenChemie

Christoph Hexel
Heuking Kühn Lüer Wojtek

Christoph Lindner
Rechtsanwälte Dr. Lindner

Dirk Vielhuber
BG BAU

Friederike Lemme
Kanzlei Lemme

Gerhard Wegen
Gleiss Lutz

Gernot A. Warmuth
Scheiber & Partner

Gregor Dornbusch
Baker McKenzie

Hauke Hagena
ProMINT - Tax & Legal

Henning Rosenau
Martin Luther University of Halle-Wittenberg

Hermann Bietz
Bietz Arbitration

Hermann Pünder
Bucerius Law School

Ingo Klaus Wamser
Rechtsanwalt Wamser

Jakob von Kirchbach
IMI Precision Engineering

Jessica Jacobi
Kliemt.Arbeitsrecht

Jürgen Nazarek
Kanzlei Dr. Jürgen Nazarek

Kerstin Niethammer-Jürgens
Jürgens Rechtsanwaltsgesellschaft mbH

Marc Seifert
Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Martin Nebeling
Bird & Bird LLP

Martin Reufels
Heuking Kühn Lüer Wojtek

Martin Sträßer
Sträßer Rehm Barfield

Monika Hagen
Rechtsanwaltskanzlei Hagen

Nicola Kreutzer
Kreutzer & Kreuzau Rechtsanwälte

Oliver Bolthausen
DWF

Oliver Schellbach
Schellbach Rechtsanwälte

Othmar K. Traber
Ahlers & Vogel Rechtsanwälte

Rainer M. Hofmann
Kanzlei im Hofhaus

Roberto Kunz-Hallstein
Rechtsanwälte Dr. Kunz-Hallstein

Roland Gross
Gross Rechtsanwaelte

Sabine Barth
Lange Brunner Rechtsanwälte Partnerschaft m.b.B.

Sebastian Reinsch
Janke & Reinsch Rechtsanwälte

Silke Graeser

Stefan Huster
Ruhr-University Bochum

Stephan Sander
Terheddebrügge Sander

Thomas Feltes
CPT, Council of Europe; Ruhr-University Bochum

Thomas Jürgens
Jürgens Rechtsanwaltsgesellschaft mbH

Thomas Melletat
Melletat - Rechtsanwälte

Volker V. Moers
von Moers Lawyers

Wibke Köppler
Kanzlei Oelmüller & Partner GbR

Wolf Stahl
ADA Cosmetics Holding GmbH

Anonymous Contributors

Ghana

Andrew Appau Obeng
Stobe Law

Benedicta Akita
Sam Okudzeto & Associates

Charlotte Osei
Prime Attorneys

Emmanuel Maurice Ankrah
Kuukuaa Legal Consulting

Frank Owusu-Sekyere
Korle Bu Teaching Hospital

Franklin Glozah
University of Ghana

Halimah El-Alawa Abdul-Baasit
Inusah, Inusah and Associates

Jerry Seyram Dei
Sam Okudzeto & Associates

Kwame Owusu Agyeman
University of Cape Coast

Mary Opare
Central University Ghana

Melisa Amarteifio
Sam Okudzeto & Associates

Nana Esi Aferba Ahlijah
Ariel Avery Law

Nana Tawiah Okyir
Ghana Institute of Management and Public Administration

Nii Nortey Hanson-Nortey
Aurum Institute Ghana

Peggy Addo
Nobisfields

Samuel Alesu-Dordzi
ENSafrica

Tata Kosi Foliba
Fugar & Company

Theophilus Tawiah
Nobisfields

Yasmin Baba
Sam Okudzeto & Associates

Anonymous Contributors

Greece

Alexios Athanassopoulos
AA Law Firm

Alexis Anagnostakis
Anagnostakis Law Offices

Anthony G. Mavrides
Ballas, Pelecanos & Associates L.P.C.

Athina-Marina Christofilopoulou
E-nomos Business Consultants

Avagianou Melina
Klimaka

Dionyssis Balourdos
National Centre for Social Research

Eleni Kloukinioti
Transparency International

Fotini N. Skopouli
Harokopio University

Georgia Sitou
Georgia Sitou Law Office

Grace Katsoulis
Ballas, Pelecanos & Associates L.P.C.

Ilias Anagnostopoulos
Anagnostopoulos Law Office

Konstantinos P. Valmas-Vloutis
Valmas-Vloutis Law Office

Kostoula Mazaraki
Nomos Law Firm

Magda Kapoti-Tazedaki
Tazedakis Law Firm

Marialena Papachristou
Marialena Papachristou Law Firm

Nigel Bowen-Morris
Stephenson Harwood

Nikolaos Kondylis
N. M. Kondylis & Partners Law Office

Panagiotis Gioulakos
E-nomos Business Consultants

Stavros Karageorgiou
Karageorgiou & Associates Law Firm

Stelios Andreadakis
Brunel University London

Vasileios Tetokas

Virginia Theodoropoulou

Xenophon Contiades
Centre for European Constitutional Law - Themistocles and Dimitris Tsatsos Foundation

Yota Kremmida
Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Anonymous Contributors

Grenada

Chevanev Charles
Temple Stoke Chambers

James Bristol
Henry, Henry & Bristol

Joshua John
Law Office of Evette John

Martin Forde
St. George's University

Tanya K. Lambert
Wilkinson, Wilkinson & Wilkinson

Yurana Phillip
Afi Ventour & Co.

Anonymous Contributors

Guatemala

Alexis Retana
Organismo de Investigación Judicial de Costa Rica

Álfaro V. L. Marroquín
Carrillo & Asociados

Alfonso Carrillo M.
Carrillo & Asociados

Alfonso Ortiz Natareno
HP Abogados

Alvaro R. Cordon
Cordon, Ovalle & Associates

Andrés Dubón Ruiz
Comte & Font - Legalsa

Angélica Lucía Aguilar Gutiérrez
Universidad InterNaciones

Astrid J. Lemus Rodríguez

Daniel Arturo Marroquin Gracias
A.D. Sosa & Soto

David Ernesto Chacón Estrada
Universidad de San Carlos de Guatemala

Diego Alejos Rivera
Consortium Legal

Diego Ricardo Pérez Sandoval
Integrum Law Firm

Dina Castro

Edson Lopez
Integrum Law Firm

Emanuel Callejas A.
Carrillo & Asociados

Enrique Moller
EY Law

Gabriel Arturo Muadi Garcia
Muadi & Murga

Harvey Alvarez
HP Abogados

J. Guillermo Gándara Espino
Asociación Iberoamericana de Juristas del Derecho del Trabajo y la Seguridad Social

Jorge Luis Rodas Garcia
Nexus Legal

Jorge Mario Andrino Grotewold

José Miguel Argueta Bone

Juan Andrés Marroquín C.
Carrillo & Asociados

Juan Jose Porras Castillo
GT Legal

Juan Pablo Carrasco de Groote
Central Law

Juan Sebastian Soto Lacape
A.D. Sosa & Soto

Kate Flatley
Women's Justice Initiative

Lorena Marcucci de Galotta
Bufete GR Legal

Luis Fernando Catalan Alvarez
Hospital Nacional de Mazate

Luis Pedro Cazali

Marcos Palma
Integrum Law Firm

Mario Roberto Guadrón Rouanet
Palomo y Porras

Marvin Javier Dávila Villegas
Universidad Rafael Landívar de Guatemala

Mynor García
Carrillo & Asociados

Oscar Rivas Villanueva
GR Legal

Pedro Mendoza Montano
Iurisconsulti, Abogados y Notarios

Raúl Bolaños del Aguila
Escuela de Gobierno

Rodrigo Barillas
WINGS Guatemala

Sergio Alejandro Peña Mandujano
Corporacion FG Globalex

Vilma Chavez De Pop
International Baby Food Action Network

Anonymous Contributors

Guinea

Abdoulaye Korsé Balde
Conseil National de l'Ordre des Medecins de Guinée

Adama Kourouma

Adrien Tossa Montcho
Mêmes Droits pour Tous

Aimé Christophe Labilé Kone
L'Étude Légale Labilé

Aimé Raphael Haba
Avocats Sans Frontières Guinée

Ali Badara Bangoura
Barreau de Guinée

Alpha Amadou D. S. Bah
Organisation Guinéenne de Defense des Droit de l'Homme

Amadou Babahein Camara
Barreau de Guinée

Balla Camara
Association Guinéenne pour le Développement Intégral de l'Enfant et du Jeune

David Beavogui
Barreau de Guinée

Fatoumata Binta Diallo

Fatoumta Baldé Yansané
Coalition des Femmes Leaders de Guinée

Foromo Frédéric Loua
Mêmes Droits pour Tous

Gilbert Tohon Camara
Barreau de Guinée

Joseph Kolèmou

Kekoura Kourouma
The Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria

Kpana Emmanuel Bamba
Ligue Guinéene des Droits de L'Homme

Lamine 1 Nabe
Barreau de Guinée

Macky Thiam

Mahawa Sylla
VIE+ (REGAP+)

Mamadou Sanoussy Barry
Cabinet d'Avocats BAO et Fils

Mandy Kader Konde
Fondation Santé et Développement Durable

Oumar Baldé

Sékou Kouyaté
Laboratoire d'Analyse Socio Anthropologique de Guinée

Théodore Michel Loua
Organisation Guinéenne de Defense des Droit de l'Homme

Thierno Amadou Fougoumba Barry
Institut de Recherche sur la Démocratie et l'Etat de Droit

Thierno Diafar Diallo
Koûmy Law Firm

Thierno Souleymane Barry
Coalition Guinéenne pour la Cour Penale Internationale et Autres Organismes

Anonymous Contributors

Guyana

Adrian Smitih
Toussaint Law Firm

Eva Scott

Hari Ramkarran
Cameron & Shepherd

Madan Rambaran

Sarika Gajraj
Dentons Delany

Stephen Roberts
Hughes, Fields & Stoby

Yaquelin Gonzalez Ricardo
Georgetown Public Hospital Corporation

Anonymous Contributors

Honduras

Adolfo Pineda Padilla
BLP

Aida Lazarus
HondurasLawyers

Allan Fernando Alvarenga Gradis
Fundación San Alonso Rodriguez

Claribel Medina
Central Law

Claudia Marcela Midence Soto
Arias Law

Daniela Puerto Irias
Consultorio Legal Puerto

David Armando Urtecho López
Universidad de Navarra

Dennis Emilio Hércules Rosa
Bufete Melara & Asociados

Eduardo René Rivera Mendoza
Bufete ECIJA Honduras

Ely Abel Pinto Jimenez
Bufete Abogado Pinto

Emy Carolina Castellon Juarez
Asociación Hombro a Hombro

Gabriela María Williams Cruz
Bufete ECIJA Honduras

J. Humberto Medina Alva
Central Law

Johana Bermudez
Universidad Autónoma de Honduras

Jorge Arturo Reina Interiano
Bufete ECIJA Honduras

Jorge Jesús Kawas Mejía
K&M Abogados, S.A.

Jorge Lopez
Lopez & Asociados, Attorneys at Law

Jose Miguel Alvarez
BLP

Juan José Alcerro Milla
Aguilar Castillo Love

Lino Carmenate Millán
Centro de Investigación y Desarrollo en Salud, Trabajo y Ambiente

Lisandro Valle Perez
Hospital y Clinicas DIME, S.A.

Lurbin España
Asociación Hombro a Hombro

Marlon Jose Ortiz Maritnez
Universidad Tecnológica Centroamericana

Marvin Rigoberto Espinal Pinel
Marvin Espinal Law Firm

Miguel Armando Zapata Izaguirre
García & Bodán

Milton Carcamo

Nidia Vanessa Lopez Alonzo
Clinica Medica Privada

Rafael Gomez Mateo
Grupo Legalsa

Roberto Alejandro Williams Cruz
Bufete ECIJA Honduras

Roberto M. Zacarias
Zacarias & Asociados

Roque Pascua Bográn
Bufete Pascua & Asociados

Sandra Elizabeth Gomez Ventura
Universidad Tecnológica Centroamericana

Sergio Sánchez A.
Colegio de Abogados de Honduras

Valerya Theodoracopoulos
Arias Law

Vanessa Oquelí
García & Bodán

Anonymous Contributors

Hong Kong SAR, China

Amirali B. Nasir
NASIRS

David C. Donald
The Chinese University of Hong Kong

James A. Rice

James L. W. Wong
Century Chambers

Lam San Keung
Messrs. F. Zimmern & Co.

Michael Chai
Bernacchi Chambers

Michael Vidler
Vidler & Co. Solicitors

P. Y. Lo
Gilt Chambers

Paul Shieh

Philip Dykes
Bernacchi Chambers

Rick Glofcheski
University of Hong Kong

Shing Hing Ip
Hong Kong Law Society

Tam Yat Hung
University of Hong Kong

Anonymous Contributors

Hungary

Ákos Bajorfi
Noerr & Partners Law Office

Alexandra Bognar

András Jakab
University of Salzburg

Balázs Tóth
Hungarian Helsinki Committee

Dániel G. Szabó and Tamás Fazekas
Hungarian Helsinki Committee

Gábor Baruch
Baruch Law Firm

Krisztina Karsai
University of Szeged

Orsolya Rácz
Winkler and Partner Law Firm

Reka Mezo

Viktor Oliver Lorincz
Hungarian Academy of Sciences

Zsolt Zengődi

Anonymous Contributors

India

Anil Fernandes
Anil Fernandes & Associates

Anil Paleri

Anshul Prakash and Deeksha Malik
Khaitan & Co.

Anupamaa V.
AVRC Legal; Dr. Kainth & Associates

Aprajita Rana
AZB & Partners

Ashok Ramgir
Harsh Impex

Atul Sharma
Link Legal India Law Services

Avik Biswas
IndusLaw

Avimukt Dar
IndusLaw

Bontha V. Babu
Indian Council of Medical Research

Daya Krishan Mangal
IIHMR University

Gauri Bhongale
Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Harsh Ramgir
Harsh Impex

Ishwar Chandra Dwivedi
Police Uttar Pradesh

Kartik Ganapathy
IndusLaw

Kartikay Sharma
Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Keshao Patil
Tirpude College of Social Work

Nirmal Kanti Chakrabarti
West Bengal National University of Juridical Sciences

P. Raviprasad
Tempus Law Associates

Padmaja Kaul
IndusLaw

Prakash Prabhakarrao Doke
Bharati Vidyapeeth Deemed University

Rajas Kasbekar
CRK Legal

S. Ramakrishnan

Sachin Bhakar
Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Sanjay Janardan Patil
BDH Industries Limited

Sarojanand Jha
Vedanta Legal

Satishchandra Kumar
University of Mumbai

Saurabh Misra
Saurabh Misra & Associates, International Lawyers

Shankar Das
Tata Institute of Social Sciences

Siddhartha George
Poovayya & Co.

Subhrarag Mukherjee
Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Uday Singh Ahlawat
Ahlawat & Associates

Vasanthi Nimushakavi
National Academy of Legal Studies and Research

Vidya Bhushan Rawat

Vijay Raghavan
Tata Institute of Social Sciences

Vipender Mann
KNM & Partners, Law Offices

Y. S. Kusuma
All India Institute of Medical Sciences

Yashomati Ghosh
National Law School of India University

Anonymous Contributors

Indonesia

Agustinus Dawarja
LexRegis

Alamo D. Laiman
Legisperitus Lawyers

Alexandra Gerungan, Annisa Tharian, and Made Susanti
Makarim & Taira S.

Diana Kusumasari
Yeo & Co. Law Firm

Eddy M. Leks
Leks & Co.

Felicia Tania
Legisperitus Lawyers

Ferdinand Jullaga
Mochtar Karuwin Komar

Hadi Pratomo
Universitas Indonesia

Immanuel A. Indrawan
Indrawan Darsyah Santoso

Jamin Ginting
JAT & Co. Law Firm

Jardin Bahar

Mahesa Rumondor
Adnan Kelana Haryanto & Hermanto

Maurice Maulana Situmorang
Dentons

Noverizky Tri Putra Pasaribu
AM Oktarina Counsellors at Law

Sandi Adila
Mochtar Karuwin Komar

Sianti Candra
Podomoro University

Sunardjo Sumargono
Law Office of Semar Suryakencana Cipta Justiceindo

Tom Nugroho
LexSarya

Tristam Pascal Moeliono
Catholic University of Parahyangan

Anonymous Contributors

Iran

Ahmad Daryani
Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences

Anooshiravan Karimi
Karimi & Associates Law Firm

Arash Izadi
Dr. Izadi & Associates

Atiyeh Rezaei and Shirin O. Entezari
Dr. Entezari & Associates Law Firm

Hamid Reza Bakhshi
Moakher

Kamiar Alaei
Institute for International Health and Education

Mehran Tamadonfar
University of Nevada

Mohammad Rahmani
Bayan Emrooz International Law Firm

Sanaz Alasti

Yahya Rayegani
Praelegal Iran

Anonymous Contributors

Italy

Alberto Fantini
Studio Legale Tonucci & Partners

Alessia Ottavia Cozzi
Area Science Park

Anna Simonati
University of Trento

Antonella Antonucci
Università Aldo Moro

Antonio Cassatella
University of Trento

Antonio Viscomi
University of Catanzaro

Astolfo Di Amato
Astolfo Di Amato e Associati

Elisa Stefanini
Studio Legale Portolano Cavallo

Emanuele Cortesi
CMA Studio Legale

Emanuele Scafato

Gherardo Carullo
University of Milan

Gianfranco Di Garbo
Baker McKenzie

Giovanni Nardulli
Legance - Avvocati Associati

Giuseppe Lorenzo Rosa

Luigi Mori
BLR&M Studio Legale Associato

Marco Esposito
Università di Napoli Parthenope

Mariano Cingolani
University of Macerata

Patrizia Magarò
Università degli Studi di Genova

Patrizio Ivo D'Andrea
Università di Ferrara

Pierpaolo Martucci
University of Trieste

Pietro Faraguna
University of Trieste

Riccardo Del Punta
University of Florence

Roberto Bin
Università di Ferrara

Roberto Ceccon
Aclaw Ceccon & Associati

Roberto Rosapepe
University of Salerno

Rocchina Staiano
Università di Teramo

Anonymous Contributors

Jamaica

Allan S. Wood
Livingston, Alexander & Levy

Anthony Clayton
University of the West Indies

Antoinette Barton-Gooden
University of the West Indies

Audrey Brown

Donovan Jackson
Nunes Scholefield DeLeon & Co.

Emile Leiba
DunnCox

Gillian Mullings
Naylor and Mullings

Hilary Robertson-Hickling
University of the West Indies

J. Peter Figueroa
University of the West Indies

Jacqueline D. Goulbourne
University of the West Indies

Jodi Ann Paulwell
Office of the Cabinet

Kevin O. Powell
Hylton Powell, Attorneys-at-Law

Laura D. Richards
University of the West Indies

Nadine C. Atkinson-Flowers
Law Offices of Nadine C. Atkinson-Flowers

Narda Graham-Laird
DunnCox

Sonia D. Gatchair
University of the West Indies

Vincent A. Chen
Chen Green & Co.

Anonymous Contributors

Japan

Akifumi Mochizuki
Shizuoka Prefecture Bar Association

Emi Uchida
Atsumi & Sakai

Junko Ogushi
Atsumi & Sakai

Junko Suetomi
Baker McKenzie; Waseda University

Kaoru Takamatsu
Hayabusa Asuka Law Offices

Mitsunari Taketani
Tagawa Law Office

Naritaka Tomoeda
Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Nobuo Koinuma
Tohoku Medical and Pharmaceutical University

Shigeji Ishiguro
Oguri & Ishiguro Law Office

Tomohisa Muranushi
Baker McKenzie

Toshiaki Higashi
University of Occupational and Environmental Health

Yasuyuki Suzuki
Hayabusa Asuka Law Offices

Yohei Suda
The Law Office of Yohei Suda

Yoshiyuki Sato
Kobe-Ekimae Law Office

Yosuke Shimamura
Shimamura Law Office

Yuichiro Sato
Tokyo Gakugei University

Yukinori Hashida
Kodera Matsuda Law Office

Anonymous Contributors

Jordan

Abdulellah AL-Nawayseh
Mu'tah University

Ali M. Aldabbas
University of Petra

Bashar H. Malkawi
University of Jordan

Farah Al-Majali
International Consolidated For Legal Consultations

George Hazboun
International Consolidated For Legal Consultations

Hisham A. Kassim

Kamal Jamal Awad Alawamleh
University of Petra

Khaled J. Atwan
Atwan & Partners Attorneys and Legal Consultants

Laith Nasrawin
Nasrawin Law Office

Motasem Mushasha
Al al-Bayt University

Muneera Al-Sada
Abdullah & Partners Law Firm

Omar Qouteshat

Rasha Laswi
Zalloum and Laswi Law Firm

Yousef Saleh Khader
Jordan University of Science and Technology

Zaid Muhmoud Agaileh
Mu'tah University

Anonymous Contributors

Kazakhstan

Amangeldy Shormanbayev
International Legal Initiative

Anargul Kuntuganova
Nazarbayev University

Annel Shvechikhina
Unicase LLP

Arlan Yerzhanov
PricewaterhouseCoopers Tax & Advisory LLP

Artem Timoshenko
Unicase LLP

Aybek Kambaliyev
Unicase LLP

Dmitry Chumakov
Sayat Zholshy and Partners

Evgeny Zhovtis
Kazakhstan International Bureau for Human Rights and Rule of Law

Gulzhan Chimbayeva
Green Clinic

Kopzhan Musrepov
Curtis, Mallet-Prevost, Colt & Mosle LLP

Nazym Argynbay
SIGNUM Law Firm

Nurzhan Manasov

Nurzhan Stamkulov
Synergy Partners Law Firm

Roman Podoprigora
Caspian Public University

Timur Yerjanov
Al-Farabi Kazakh National University

Yerzhan Toktarov
Sayat Zholshy and Partners

Zaira Kaysar
GRATA International

Zhanat Alimanov
KIMEP University

Anonymous Contributors

Kenya

Aabid Ahmed
Bomu Hospital

Abdulhafeez Noorani
Daly & Inamdar, Advocates

Aisha Abdallah
Anjarwalla & Khanna Advocates

Atiq S. Anjarwalla
AC&H Legal Consultants

Benjamin Musau
BM Musau & Co., Advocates

Beryl Orao
Kenya National Commission on Human Rights

Cecil Yongo Abungu
Strathmore University

Christine Mukami Murangi
Iseme, Kamau & Maema Advocates

Dennis Mung'ata
Gichimu Mungata Advocates

Donald W. Kaniaru
Kaniaru & Kaniaru Advocates

Edwin Ochieng' Otongo
Mwagambo & Okonjo Advocates

Elizabeth Wangari Odhiambo
University of Nairobi

Elly Nyaim Opot
University of Nairobi

Ezra Makori
Dentons Hamilton Harrison & Mathews

Fidelis Marabu Limo
Limo & Njoroge Advocates

Francis Gichuhi Kamau

Fred Ondieki Mogotu
Anjarwalla & Khanna Advocates

Gilda Odera
Federation of Kenya Employers

Harrison Mbori
Strathmore University

Hilda Njoroge
Limo & Njoroge Advocates

Hiram Nyaburi
Iseme, Kamau & Maema Advocates

Jeremmy Okonjo
Transnational Legal Ltd.

Lattif Shaban
Supreme Council of Kenya Muslims

Lyla Latif
University of Nairobi

Patrick Rugo
Muthoga Gaturu & Co. Advocates

Peter Gachuhi
Kaplan & Stratton Advocates

Peter Wendoh

Regina Opondo
Constitution and Reform Education Consortium

Remigeo P. Mugambi
Muthoga Gaturu & Co. Advocates

Ronald Rogo
University of Nairobi

Sammy T. Nyambari
Productivity Management Insistute

Samson Opundo
Opundo & Associates Advocates

Thomas N. Maosa
Maosa and Company Advocates

Wilfred M. Ngugi
Chiuri Kirui & Rugo, Advocates

Wilson Mulei Marotse
Lepact LLP & Associates

Anonymous Contributors

Kosovo

Adelina R. Hoxha
Avokatura

Adnan Bozalija
University of Prishtina

Ahmet Kasumi

Alban Krasniqi

Alban Muriqi
The Kosova Rehabilitation Centre for Torture Victims

Albana Rexha

Arnis Dumani

Bahtir Troshupa

Basri Kastrati
Victims' Advocacy and Assistance Office, Chief State Prosecution Office

Besfort Rrecaj
University of Prishtina

Doarsa Kica

Durim Llugiqi

Ehat Miftaraj
Kosovo Law Institute

Ermir Ahmetaj
AEX Law LLC

Fatmire Haliti
The Kosova Rehabilitation Centre for Torture Victims

Fisnik Salihu
RPHS Law Firm

Fjorda Vllasolli Avdiu
Kosovo Law Institute

Florentina Grubi-Vula

Gjylbehare Bella Murati
University Haxhi Zeka

Gjyljeta Mushkolaj
University of Prishtina

Halim Bajraktari
University Ukshin Hoti Prizren

Hava Ismajli

Klit Shala
Fisnik Salihu & Partners

Kushtrim Shaipi
IQ Consulting

Leutrim Syla

Mervete Shala
College Dukagjini-UBT

Muhamet Bytyqi
Assembly of the Republic of Kosovo

Qerim Qerimi
University of Prishtina

Sahit Bajraktari
Transformational Leadership Program Alumni Association

Shpresa Ibrahimi

Shprese Haxhijaj
Assembly of the Republic of Kosovo

Urim Pozhegu
Urim Pozhegu Law Office

Urim Vokshi
Vokshi & Lata Law Firm

Valon Hasani

Anonymous Contributors

Kyrgyz Republic

Aicholpon Alieva (Jorupbekova)
Kalikova & Associates

Ainura Osmonalieva
Legal Clinic Adilet

Alexander Orehov

Anastasia Volosatova
Veritas Law Firm

Azamat Kerimbaev
ABA Rule of Law Initiative

Elida K. Nogoibaeva
American University in Central Asia

Kerim Begaliev
Centil Law Firm

Magomed Saaduev
Kalikova & Associates

Nurzhan Bostonova
Sentil Law Firm

Saltanat Moldoisaeva
Kyrgyz-Russian Slavic University

Sanzhar Alashev
GRATA International

Taalaibek Tursunovich Shamurzaev
Kyrgyz-Russian Slavic University

Valentin Chernyshev
Kumtor Gold Company

Zhanyl Abdrakhmanova
Centil Law Firm

Anonymous Contributors

Lebanon

Antoine G. Ghafari
Ghafari & Associates Law Firm

Elias Chalhoub
The Arab Center for the Development of the Rule of Law and Integrity

Elias Matar
Lex & Co. Legal Counsels

Hala Tyan
Awada Tyan Law Firm

Jihad Irani
University of Balamand

Joelle Choueifati

Joelle Khater
Badri and Salim El Meouchi Law Firm

Khatoun Haidar
Synergy-Takamol

Mohamad Ziad Ramadan
Elaref International Law Office

Nada Hachem
Law Office Hachem

Nadim Abboud
Abboud and Associates Law Firm

Pierre Obeid
University of Balamand

Rihab Aboul Hosn

Roula Zayat
The Arab Center for the Development of the Rule of Law and Integrity

Salah Mattar
Mattar Law Firm

Sheryne Koteiche
Awada Tyan Law Firm

Anonymous Contributors

Liberia

Alexandra Kormah Zoe
Law Offices of Zoe and Partners

Betty Lamin-Blamo
Lex Group Liberia, LLC

Fatu Maima Kamara Foster

Hannan J. Bestman
SpaLife By Jenneh

Ivan F. Camanor

J. Awia Vankan
Heritage Partners & Associates, Inc.

Jallah A. Barbu
Institute for Constitutional Research, Policy & Strategic Development

James C. R. Flomo
Public Defenders' Program of Liberia

John Kamma
Citizens Bureau for Development and Productivity

Kanio Bai Gbala
University of Liberia

Lamii Kpargoi
The Justice Initiative

Lucia D. S. Gbala
Heritage Partners & Associates, Inc.

Martha N. Zarway
Kingdom Care Medical Foundation

Mohamed K. Mansalay
Plan International

Moses B. F. Massaquoi
Clinton Health Access Initiative

Nelson S. Weh, Jr.
Citizens Bureau for Development and Productivity

Peter Hne Wilson
U.S. African Development Foundation

Stephen J. C. S. Kai
Institute for Constitutional Research, Policy & Strategic Development; Public Interest Law Office

Anonymous Contributors

Madagascar

Alain Ramanarivo
Barreau de Madagascar

Alphonse Anatole

Andrianaivo Zo Rakotoarisoa
Tribunal de Travail d'Antananarivo

Andry Herisoa Andrianasolo
Institut International des Sciences Sociales

Andry Randriaminosoa
Barreau de Madagascar

Aviva Ramanitra
Lexel Juridique et Fiscal

Bakoly Rakotomalala

Chantal Razafinarivo
Barreau de Madagascar

Fahafahantsoa Rapelanoro Rabenja
Université d'Antananarivo

Jean Pierre Rakotovao
Jhpiego

Lala Ratsiharovala
Ministère de la Justice

Léonard Velozandry
Barreau de Madagascar

Nelly Rakotobe Ralambondrainy
Ministère de la Justice

Njivasoa Nathalie Rambeloson
Barreau de Madagascar

Olivia Rajerison
Cabinet Rajerison

Rakotonomenjanahary Cabinet d'Avocats

Rija Ramarijaona
Prime Lex

Riki Rakotobe
Cabinet d'Avocat Associé Alex Rafamatanantsoa et Associé

Rojo Randrianarivony Ralitera
Barreau de Madagascar

Solo Ratrimoarivony
Barreau de Madagascar

Tino Harvel Razafinimanana

Toki Ramilison

Tsarazara Andrianasoavina

Anonymous Contributors

Malawi

Adamson Muula
University of Malawi

Anthony J. Malunga
For Generations Institute

Bruno P. Matumbi
Excellence Law Partners

Chikosa Mozesi Silungwe
Mizumali Foundation

Fresier Chidyaonga-Maseko
University of Malawi

George Naphambo
Naphambo and Company

Justin G. K. Dzonzi
Kainja & Dzonzi Attorneys at Law

Khumbo Bonzoe Soko
Soko & Co.

Marshal Chileng
T.F. and Partners

Patrick Mphatso Chinguwo

Anonymous Contributors

Malaysia

Ahmad Shamsul Abd Aziz
Universiti Utara Malaysia

Ashgar Ali Ali Mohamed
International Islamic University Malaysia

Chew Phye Keat
Raja, Darryl & Loh

Donovan Cheah
Donovan & Ho

Harlida Abdul Wahab
Universiti Utara Malaysia

Khadijah Mohamed
Universiti Utara Malaysia

Mahadirin Hj Ahmad
Universiti Malaysia Sabah

Mohd Munzil Muhamad
Multimedia University

Norhisham Abd Bahrin
Azmi & Associates

R. Usha Devi
R. Usha Devi & Associates

S. B. Cheah
S. B. Cheah & Associates

Saw Tiong Guan
University of Malaya

Sharon Kaur
University of Malaya

Sivalal Sadasivan
Monash University Malaysia

Sujata Balan
University of Malaya

Wah-Yun Low
University of Malaya

Yusramizza Md Isa
Universiti Utara Malaysia

Zainal Amin Ayub
Universiti Utara Malaysia

Anonymous Contributors

Mali

Abdou Doumbia
Ordre des Pharmaciens

Abdoul Kader Siby
Université de Droit Bamako-Mali

Abdoul Kassoum Maiga
Children's International Summer Villages

Abdoulaye Guimba Ouane
Wac Partners

Abdoulaye Thera
Centre Social

Aboubacar Souleymane Diarra
Barreau du Mali

Alhassane Soukouna
Vaughan Avocats

Alioune Badara Diallo

Aly Soumountera
Wale Action Sante Population

Amadou Ongoiba

Daouda Ba
Vaughan Avocats

Famoussa Keita
Cabinet d'Avocats Famoussa Keita

Fousseyni Dembele
Centre Nationale d'Appui à la Lutte contre la Maladie

Harouna Diallo
Université des Sciences Juridiques et Politiques de Bamako

Issiaka Sanogo
Barreau du Mali

Kadidiatou Bouare
Université de Droit Bamako-Mali

Karamoko Nimaga

Khadidjatou Toure
Santé et Développement

Lassana Diakite

Mamadou Ismaila Konate
Jurifis Consult

Mamadou Moustapha Sow
Cabinet d'Avocats Sow & Associes

Moussa Oumar Sow
Wac Partners

Omorou Toure
Université des Sciences Juridiques et Politiques de Bamako

Ousmane Sylla
Ministère de la Sante et des Affaires Sociales

Samba Baba N'diaye
Barreau du Mali

Seydou Doumbia
Avocats Sans Frontieres-Mali; Barreau du Mali

Zakaria Keita

Anonymous Contributors

Mauritania

Brahim Diarra

Cheikh Abdellahi Ahmed Babou

Deya Ahmed Bezeid

El Moustapha Attighe
ONUSIDA

Hademine Saleck Ely
The Imams and Ulema Coalition for the Rights of Women and Children in Mauritania

Jemal Mohamed El Hady
CCM Mauritanie; Fonds Mondial

Mohamed Ahmed Oubid

Mohamed Dah Abdelkader
Centre Mauritanien de Recherche et d'Etudes Juridiques, Economiques et Sociales

Mohamed Ould Moctar

Mohamed Sid'Ahmed Meinouh
Barreau de Mauritanie

Mohamed Sidi Abderrahmane Brahim

Mouloud Mohamed
Reseau des PVVIH

Ould Abed Aily
Association Dévelomment Communautaire et Santé

Saidou Ly
Cabinet Maitre LY Saidou

Zeinebou Taleb Moussa
Association Mauritanienne pour la Santé de la Mère et de l'enfant

Anonymous Contributors

Mauritius

Abdullah Yusuf Ali Bauluck
Bibi Chambers

Bertrand Cheung

Brigitte Michel
Aides Infos Liberte Espoir et Soldiarite

Cindy Trevedy
Fond Mondial Maurice

Daya Auckloo

Deepti Bismohun
ENSafrica

H. S. Bunjun
Dabee & Bunjun Chambers

Iqbal Rajahbalee
BLC Robert & Associates

Javed Niamut
BLC Robert & Associates

Krishan M. Beeharry

Mohamad Fawzi Mahomoodally
University of Mauritius

Mohammad Nawaz Dookhee

Ruwaydah Jaunbacus
Uteem Chambers

Sanjay Bhuckory
Bhuckory Chambers

Yousuf Ali Azaree
MC Law Offices

Yves Hein
Hein Chambers

Zareena Tawheen Choomka
Mauritius Bar Council

Anonymous Contributors

Mexico

Alejandra Moreno Altamirano
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México

Alfonso Rodriguez-Arana
Legalmex, S.C.

Anais Cortes Escamilla
Instituto Nacional de Salud Pública de México

Angel Delfino Gómez Lizárraga
Secretaría de Salud, Ciudad de México

Angelica Angeles-Llerenas
Instituto Nacional de Salud Pública de México

Carlos de Buen Unna
Bufete de Buen, S.C.

Carolina Ramos Ballesteros
Miranda & Estavillo, S.C.

Centro de Derechos Humanos Miguel Agustín Pro Juárez

Christian Alan Bello Melchor
Notarías Publicas 92 & 145

Christian Serna
Serna Asociados Abogados

Cristina Sánchez Urtiz
Miranda & Estavillo, S.C.

Daniel Cruz González
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México

Diana Ivette Fuentes Ulloa
GR&ND Asociados

Enrique Camarena Domínguez
Maqueo Barnetche, Aguilar & Camarena

Franz E. Oberarzbacher
Centro de Innovación para el Acceso a la Justicia; Instituto Tecnológico Autónomo de México

Gerardo Moheno Gallardo
Moreno Rodriguez y Asoc.

Gilberto Miguel Valle Zulbarán
Basham Ringe y Correa, S.C.

Guillermo A. Gatt Corona
Gatt Corona y Abogados Asociados, S.C.; Universidad Jesuita de Guadalajara; Universidad Panamericana

Guillermo Piecarchic
PMC Group, S.C.

Héctor Ávila Rosas
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México

Héctor González Schmal
Torres Morante Abogados

Hugo Hernández-Ojeda Alvírez
Hogan Lovells

Jose Alberto Campos Vargas
Sanchez Devanny, Eseverri, S.C.

José Antonio Montoya Martínez
Centro Nacional de Equidad de Género y Salud Reproductiva

Juan Carlos Tornel
Baker McKenzie

Juan Manuel Juarez Meza
Contramar Abogados

Karen Lizbeth Franco Díaz
Centro Nacional de Equidad de Género y Salud Reproductiva

Luciano Mendoza Cruz
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México

Luis Raymundo Lozano Juárez
Instituto Nacional de Geriatría

Marco Antonio González Reynoso
GR&ND Asociados

Mario Alberto Rocha
PricewaterhouseCoopers

Mayra Quintero
Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Miguel Aarón Moreno Jiménez
Centro Nacional de Equidad de Género y Salud Reproductiva

Miguel Ángel Torres Hernández
Maqueo Barnetche, Aguilar & Camarena

Mónica Jazmín Martínez Pérez
Fundación Civitas, A.C.

Monica Schiaffino
Littler Mexico, S.C.

Oliva López Arellano
Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana-Xochimilco

Pablo Nosti Herrera
Miranda & Estavillo, S.C.

Sergio López Moreno
Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana-Xochimilco

Silvano Cantu
Laboratorio de Innovación para la Paz

Sofia Alejandra Aguirre Peña
Moreno Rodriguez y Asoc.

Victor Manuel Ortega Gonzalez
Machuaztic International Trade S.A. de C.V.

Anonymous Contributors

Moldova

Adrian Belîi
Nicolae Testemitanu State University of Medicine and Pharmacy

Alexei Croitor

Ana Ciobanu
University Clinic for Primary Health Care

Baciu Inga
Universitatea de Stat Alecu Russo Bălți

Carmanschi Vitalie

Corina Oprea
Efrim, Roşca şi Asociaţii Law Firm

Cristina Copaceanu
Universitatea de Studii Politice Și Economice Europene "Constantin Stere"

Cuznetov Alexandru
State University of Moldova

Ecaterina Nac and Roger Gladei
Gladei & Partners

Galina Obreja
Nicolae Testemitanu State University of Medicine and Pharmacy

Ghenadie Țurcanu
Center for Health Policies and Studies

Ion Guzun
The Legal Resources Centre from Moldova

Iulia Furtuna
Turcan Cazac Law Firm

Lilia Carasciuc
Transparency International

Liliana Domente

Mihail Durnescu

Natalia Molosag
Union of Lawyers of the Republic of Moldova, Chisinau Bar

Nelea Prodan
National Centre of Pre-Hospital Emergency Medical Assistance

Seghei Cozma
Serghei Cozma Law Firm

Sergiu Ursu
Moldova State University

Sonsa Boris

Spinei Larisa
Nicolae Testemitanu State University of Medicine and Pharmacy

Svetlana Doltu
The Council for Preventing and Eliminating Discrimination and Ensuring Equality; The Council for the Prevention of Torture

Vasile Gherasim
Popa & Associates

Viorel Plopa
A.O. Centrul Pentru Securitate Juridică a Informației

Viorel V. Berliba
Berliba, Ungurean și Partenerii

Anonymous Contributors

Mongolia

Baasanjargal Khurelbaatar
ELC Advocates LLP

Badamragchaa Purevdorj
Open Society Forum

Bayar Budragchaa
ELC Advocates LLP

David C. Buxbaum
Anderson & Anderson LLP

Dorjdamba Zumberellkham

Dugerjav D.
MDS & KhanLex LLP

Enkhtur Demberelsuren
MahoneyLiotta LLP

Gerelmaa Sandui
Umug Kholch Partners LLP

Indermohan S. Narula

Khishigsaikhan Batchuluun
Open Society Forum

Khunan Jargalsaikhan
Mongolian Bar Association

Luke Lkhaasuren
Agaa & Partners LLP

Munkhdorj Badral
Mongol-Advocates LLP

Nomingerel Khuyg

Solongoo Bayarsaikhan
Avinex Partners LLP

Zanaa Jurmed

Anonymous Contributors

Morocco

Abdelaziz Bakkali

Abdelghani Khannous
Center South for Studies and Sustainable Development

Abdellah Bakkali
Bakkali Law Firm

Ahmad Hussein
Cabinet HHH Avocats

Ali Badi
Association Nationale pour la Défense des Droits de l'Homme au Maroc

Ali Lachgar Essahili
Ali Lachgar Essahili Law Firm

Azzedine Kettani
Hassan II University in Casablanca; Kettani Law Firm

Elbachir Aaddi
Université Ibn Zohr

Hicham El Boukfaoui

Khachai Abdelmajid

Khayi Yousra

Lhassan M'Barki
Center South for Studies and Sustainable Development

Mimoun Charqi
Charqi Lex Consulting

Mohamed Baske Manar
Université Cadi Ayyad de Marrakech

Mohamed Nakhli
Université Cadi Ayyad de Marrakech

Mohamed Salmi
Justice et Spiritualité

Mustapha Assouane
Université Ibn Zohr

Naouazili My Driss

Nassri Ilham
Ministère de la Santé

Nesrine Roudane
Roudane & Partners Law Firm

Omar Mahmoud Bendjelloun

Rachid Attahir
Université Hassan 1er

Tarik Mossadek
Université Hassan 1er

Anonymous Contributors

Mozambique

Alexandre Chivale
Alexandre Chivale & Associados

Almamater Tamele
BTA Advogados, LDA.

Belisário Tamele
BTA Advogados, LDA.

César Carlos Alberto Francisco Vamos Ver
SAL & Caldeira Advogados, Lda.

Diana Paredes e Ramalho
SAL & Caldeira Advogados, Lda.

Dimétrio Raul Manjate

Faizal Jusob
Couto, Graça & Associados

Gilda A. Jossias
Associação Moçambicana de Desenvolvimento Concertado

Gimina Mahumana Langa
SAL & Caldeira Advogados, Lda.

Jennifer Gilda Arnaldo
Fernanda Lopes Advogados & Associados

Joaquim Lourenco Uate
Associacao Ntumbuluku

Luís Sáragga Leal
PLMJ Advogados, SP, RL

Miguel Spínola
PLMJ Advogados, SP, RL

Neylla Gulamhussen

Pedro Macaringue
Pedro Macaringue & Advogados Associados

Rafael Neves Banguine
SAL & Caldeira Advogados, Lda.

Rafique de Albuquerque
Fernanda Lopes Advogados & Associados

Anonymous Contributors

Myanmar

Alfred Boima Hill
International Development Law Organization

Caitlin Reiger
MyJustice

Kari A. Rotkin
Justice Base

Lucy Wayne & Associates

Min Thein
Rajha and Tann NK Legal

Myat Ko
Justice Base

Nickey Diamond
Fortify Rights

Scott Ciment
United Nations Development Programme

U Mya Thein
U Mya Thein & Legal Group

Anonymous Contributors

Namibia

Clement Daniels

Eliaser Nekwaya

Floris Coetzee
Fisher, Quarmby & Pfeifer

Nambili T. K. Shipena
Namibia Special Risks Insurance Association Limited

Nawala Kamati
Engling, Stritter & Partners

Norman Tjombe
Tjombe-Elago Incorporated

Petrine Mwadhina Hango
Dr. Weder, Kauta & Hoveka Inc.

Toni Hancox
Legal Assistance Centre

Yvonne Dausab
Law Reform and Development Commission

Anonymous Contributors

Nepal

Aakriti Khanal
Sinha Verma Law Concern

Ananta Raj Luitel
Constitutional Lawyers' Forum; Supreme Court of Nepal

Apurba Khatiwada
Prudent Legal Services

Bijaya Kumar Basnet
Heritage Law Firm

Bishnu Luitel
BG Law Foundation

Bishwa Nath Khanal
Prithivi Legal Service

Budhi Karki

Daksha Bahadur Chhetri
Neupane Law Associates

Jyoti Poudel
Jyoti Poudel and Associates

Kalyan Pokhrel
F-Dimensional Legal Services and Research Centre Pvt. Ltd.

Maskey Mathura Kumar
SBT Law Associates

Meen Poudyal Chhetri
Nepal Center for Disaster Management

Mukunda Prasad Paudel
National Law Chamber

Nil Mani Upadhyay
National Medical College

Prabin Subedi
Paramount Legal Advisory Services Pvt. Ltd.

Prashanna Shrestha
Pradhan & Associates

Rabin Subedi
National Law College

Rudra Sharma
Transnational Law House

Rukamanee Maharjan
Tribhuvan University

Sambidha Sharma
Pradhan & Associates

Sangha Ratna Bajracharya
Tribhuvan University

Satish Adhikari
Perennial Legal Services

Shital Subedi

Shiva Prasad Rijal
Pioneer Law Associates

Shringa Rishi Kafle
Merit Legal Consultancy Pvt. Ltd.

Shyam Kumar Khatri
Kathmandu Legals

Subarna K. Khatry
Nepal Nutrition Intervention Project

Sudeep Gautam

Sushila Subedi
PSM Global Consultants P. Ltd.

Tejman Shrestha
Tribhuvan University

Tek Tamata
United Nations Development Programme

Upendra Raj Dulal
Sinha Verma Law Concern

Anonymous Contributors

Netherlands

Arnold C. Hoegen Dijkhof
Hoegen Dijkhof Dutch Business Lawyers

Arnold Versteeg
Macro & Versteeg Advocaten

Barbalique Peters

Eugenie Nunes
Dentons

Gabriel Meijers
Meijers Canatan Advocaten

Hansko Broeksteeg
Radboud Universiteit

Henk Snijders
University of Leiden

Jaap-Willem Roozemond
Roozemond [+] De Haan Advocaten

Jacqueline van den Bosch
IVY Advocaten

Jolanda Meeuwissen
Netherlands Institute of Mental Health and Addiction (Trimbos Institute)

Joost Italianer
NautaDutilh

Joseph J. van Dort
Van Dort Advocatuur

Léon Graal
Sarfaty Advocaten

N. P. Scholte
Advocatenkantoor Scholte

Reinier W. L. Russell
Russell Advocaten

Simon van der Sluijs
Van Diepen Van der Kroef Advocaten

Steven Jellinghaus
De Voort Advocaten| Mediators; Tilburg University

Thomas Timmermans
Norton Rose Fulbright LLP

Anonymous Contributors

New Zealand

Alan Knowsley
Rainey Collins Lawyers

Andrew Schulte
Cavell Leitch

Austin Forbes
Clarendon Chambers

Bennet Castelino
Castlefinn Law

Brenda Midson
University of Waikato

Campbell Roberts
The Salvation Army

Charl Hirschfeld
Ranfurly Chambers

Colin Henry

D. J. Lyon
Lyon O'Neale Arnold Lawyers

Elcel Nerida
Amicus Law

Frances Joychild

Francisc Catalin Deliu
Gay Morgan
University of Waikato

Gordon Anderson
Victoria University of Wellington

Grace Haden
Transparency New Zealand Ltd.

Ian Miller

Kate Diesfeld
Auckland University of Technology

Malcolm Rabson

Marie Bismark
University of Melbourne

Mark Winger
Holmden Horrocks

Matt Berkahn
Massey University

Nick Crang
Duncan Cotterill

Nigel Hampton

Paul Michalik

Paul Roth
University of Otago

Peter G. Watts
Bankside Chambers

Petra Butler
Victoria University of Wellington

Samantha Turner
Simpson Grierson

Scott Wilson
Duncan Cotterill

Simon George Gyenge
Lyon O'Neale Arnold Lawyers

Sonja M. Cooper
Cooper Legal

Stephen Eliot Smith
University of Otago

Stephen Franks
Franks Ogilvie

Steven Zindel
Zindels

Sylvia Bell
Centre for Human Rights Law, Policy and Practice

Trevor Daya-Winterbottom
University of Waikato

W. John Hopkins
University of Canterbury

Warren Brookbanks
Auckland University of Technology

William Akel
Sangro Chambers

Anonymous Contributors

Nicaragua

Abraham A. Salinas
University of South Florida; Universidad Nacional Autónoma de Nicaragua

Angelica Maria Toruño Garcia
Universidad Evangelica Nicaraguense Martin Luther King Jr.

Avil Ramírez Mayorga
Central Law

Carlos Alberto Herrera Calero

Fernandolino Narvaez
Narvaez Mojica & Associates

Gerardo Martin Hernandez
Consortium Abogados

Gerardo Melecio Escorcia Diaz
Bufete Garcia & Bodan

Gustavo Antonio Lopez Arguello
Lopez Arguello & Associates

Hugo José Arauz Sampson

Ivania Lucía Paguaga Cuadra
Arias Law

Jairo Vanegas López
Universidad de Santiago de Chile

Leonardo Maldonado González
Arias Law

Marcia Ibarra Herrera
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de Nicaragua, Managua

Roderick Salinas Arguello
Salinas y Asociados

Roy Marcel Rivera Pastora
Francisco Ortega & Asociados

Soraya Montoya Herrera
Central Law

Uriel E. Balladares Abaunza
Arias Law

V. Mendez
Nicaragua Public Health Association

Yaser Gabriel Bonilla
Central Law; Molina & Asoc.

Anonymous Contributor

Niger

Abdoul-Aziz Mamadou Maiga
Université Joseph Ki-Zerbo

Aboubacar Souley
CRAMS_EXA

Adamou Rabani
Observatoire Sahelo Saharien de Stratégie et Géopolitique

Aichatou Garba Mahamane
Barreau du Niger

Ali Idrissa
Le Réseau des Organisations pour la Transparence et l'Analyse Budgétaire

Amadou Djibo Gazibo

Amadou Imerane Maiga
L'Ecole de la Protection Civile de Niamey; L'Universite de Niamey; Université Jean Moulin Lyon 3

Bachir Talfi Idrissa
Université Abdou Moumouni de Niamey

Bara Ibrah
Inspection de la Gouvernance Administrative

Barry Bibata Gnandou
LBTI & Partners

Boubacar Oumarou
SCPA BNI

Daouda Samna
Conférence des Barreaux Ohada

Effred Mouloul
Cabinet d'Avocat Boudal

Hamadou Zada Harouna
Barreau du Niger

Hamani Oumarou
Université Abdou Moumouni de Niamey

Idrissa Tchernaka
LBTI & Partners

Mahamadou Rabiou Souley Dagouma
SCPA JUSTICIA

Mahamane Laouali Manzo
Ministère de la Santé Publique

Moumouni Fatoumata
Syndicat Autonome des Magistrats du Niger

Nassirou Lawali

Nouhou Mahamadou Arzika
Mouvement pour la Promotion de la Citoyenneté Responsablre

Oumarou Mahaman Rabiou

Rakia Boubakar
Centre de Recherche Médicale et Sanitaire; Ministère de la Santé Publique

Sarah Burgess
Camber Collective

Thomas Kelley
University of North Carolina

Wassiri Ibrahim Sidi Chaffa

Yacouba Mahaman Nabara
Barreau du Niger

Anonymous Contributors

Nigeria

Abdulfattah Adewale Bakre
Legal Aid Council of Nigeria

Abdulhamid Abdullahi Bagara
Community Health and Research Initiative

Adamu M. Usman
F. O. Akinrele & Co.

Adewale Akande
Auxilium Attorneys

Aina Precious Aderemi
Babalakin and Co.

Ayo Olanrewaju
Ayo Olanrewaju & Co.; Nigerian Law Publications

Babajide O. Ogundipe
Sofunde Osakwe Ogundipe & Belgore

Bolanle Jibogun
Legal Aid Council of Nigeria

Chiamaka I. Orabueze
University of Nigeria, Nsukka

Chinyere Nwokoro-Ndimele
Legal Luminaries Solicitors

Chioma Kanu Agomo
University of Lagos

Chisom Ndubuisi
Udo Udoma & Belo-Osagie

Christine Ike
University of Nigeria, Nsukka

Damian Njoku-Umeh
Umuenyi Law Chambers

Edwin Obimma Ezike
University of Nigeria, Nsukka

Efena Efetie
National Hospital, Abuja

Emmanuel Onyedi Wingate
West African Ventures Limited

Enoch Mozong Azariah
Legal Aid Council of Nigeria

Festus O. Ukwueze
University of Nigeria, Nsukka

Gbenga Odusola
Acme Law Partners

Gbenga Oyebode
Aluko & Oyebode

Godwin Etim
ÆLEX

Ibrahim Imam

Israel O. Akanmidu
Israel Akanmidu & Associates LP

John Dare Oloyede
J.D. Oloyede's Law Chambers

Jonathan Chi Daboer
University of Jos

Joseph E. O. Abugu
Abugu & Co.

Kemi Oluwagbemiro
F. O. Akinrele & Co.

Laura Omolola Ikwuagwu
George Ikoli & Okagbue

Meg Mezie-Okoye
Madonna University, Elele Campus

Michael C. Asuzu
University of Ibadan

Obiajulu Nnamuchi
University of Nigeria, Nsukka

Oghogho Makinde
Aluko & Oyebode

Olaniyi Felix Olayinka
Niyi Olayinka & Co.

Olusoji Elias

Oluwadamilare Yomi-Alliyu
Chief Yomi Alliyu & Co.

Oluwafunke Adeoye
Hope Behind Bars Africa

Omobola Bakare
F. O. Akinrele & Co.

Onjefu Adoga
Brooke Chambers Law Firm

Ozofu 'Latunde Ogiemudia
Udo Udoma & Belo-Osagie

Peter K. Fogam
University of Lagos

Pontian N. Okoli
University of Stirling

Samuel O. Adesola
Nnenna Ejekam Associates

Shedrack Ekpa
Kogi State University

Soji Awogbade
ÆLEX

Sonnie Ekwowusi
Sonnie Ekwowusi & Co.

Terrumun Z. Swende
Benue State University

Uju Obuka
University of Nigeria, Nsukka

Wahab Egbewole
University of Ilorin

Yomi Alliyu San
Chief Yomi Alliyu & Co.

Yomi Dare
Checkers Consultancy Services

Anonymous Contributors

North Macedonia

Aleksandar Ickovski

Aleksandra Gruevska Drakulevski
Ss. Cyril and Methodius University

Aneta Jovanoska Trajanovska, Biljana Mladenovska, Irena Mitkovska, and Zlatko Antevski
Lawyers Antevski

Biljana Panova
Law Office Panova

Darko Spasevski
Ss. Cyril and Methodius University

Deljo Kadiev
Kadiev Law Office

Doncho Donev
Ss. Cyril and Methodius University

Dori Kimova
Kimova Law Office

Dragan Lazarov
Law Office Lazarov

Emil Miftari

Filip Nacevski
Law Firm Donevski

Leonid Trpenoski
Trpenoski Law Firm

Ljupka Noveska Andonova

Maja Risteska
National Insurance Group AD Insurance Policy Skopje

Martin Monevski
Monevski Law Firm

Neda Milevska Kostova
Studiorum

Nikolcho Lazarov
Law Office Lazarov

Olivera Docevska
Justicia Association

Sonja Stojcevska
Cakmakova Advocates

Svetlana Necheva
Law Office Pepeljugoski Skopje

Svetlana Veljanovska
St. Clement of Ohrid University of Bitola

Vojdan Monevski
Monevski Law Firm

Anonymous Contributors

Norway

Bent Endresen
EBT AS

Carl Arthur Christiansen
Advokatfirmaet Ræder AS

Frank S. Thrana
Public Health Med-Base

Geir Steinberg
Advokatfirmaet Haavind AS

Harald B. Ciarlo

Henrik Bjørnebye
University of Oslo

Inge Lorange Backer
University of Oslo

Karl Harald Søvig
University of Bergen

Magne Strandberg
University of Bergen

Morten Grandal
The Consumer Authority

Niels R. Kiær
Rime Advokatfirma DA

Olav Molven
VID Specialized University

Ole Kristian Fauchald
University of Oslo

Ørnulf Øyen
University of Bergen

Paul Saele
Dental Competance Center

Stella Tuft
Microsoft

Tor Vale

Ulf Stridbeck
University of Oslo

Werner Christie
World Health Connections Ltd.

Anonymous Contributors

Pakistan

Aiman Tariq
Jinnah Post Graduate Medical Center

Benazir Jatoi

Faiza Muzaffar
Legis Inn, Attorneys & Corporate Consultants

Firasat Rizwana Siddiqui

Hasan Hameed Bhatti
Lahore Waste Management Company

Khalid A. Rehman
Surridge and Beecheno Advocates and Corporate Consultant

M. Akram Sheikh
Akram Sheikh Law Associates

Maliha Zia
Legal Aid Society

Mehek Ali
Global Health Directorate - Indus Health Network

Mohammad Akmal Wasim
Dadabhoy Institute of Higher Education; Supreme Court of Pakistan

Mohammad Hassan Arif
Liaquat Merchant Associates

Muhammad Nouman Shams
Qazi Law Associates

Muzaffar Islam
Legis Inn, Attorneys & Corporate Consultants

Naila Baig-Ansari
Global Health Directorate - Indus Health Network

Neha Mankani
Global Health Directorate - Indus Health Network

Qazi Shams ul Haq
Qazi Law Associates

Saira Khowaja
Interactive Research and Development

Shahid Anwar Bajwa
Shahid Anwar Bajwa & Co.

Shams ul Haque Joiya
Right Law Company

Tariq Rahim
Tariq Rahim Law Associates

Umer Farooq
Ayub Medical College

Anonymous Contributors

Panama

Adán Arnulfo Arjona L.
Galindo, Arias & Lopez

Alcides Gabriel Castillo Rivera
Acabogadopty

Alfonso Raúl Pineda Camaño

Armando Barba
Ministerio de Salud

Campo Elías Muñoz Arango
Universidad de Panamá

Carlos A. Arrue Montenegro

Carlos Barsallo
Transparency International

Carlos Ernesto Gonzalez Ramirez
Morgan & Morgan

Carlos Sucre Levy
Sucre, Arias & Reyes

Cristina De Roux and Eduardo Ferrer
Morgan & Morgan

Daniel R. Pichel
Universidad de Panamá

Dora Estripeaut
Hospital del Niño Doctor José Renán Esquivel

Ernesto Shirley
Shirley & Asociados

Jorge Isaac Ortega

Jorge Molina Mendoza
Fabrega Molino

Juan Pablo Fábrega Polleri
Fabrega Molino

Julia Sáenz
Sistema Nacional de Investigadores; Secretaría Nacional de Ciencia, Tecnología e Innovacíon

María Eugenia Brenes
Morgan & Morgan

Mario Adolfo Rognoni
Arosemena Noriega & Contreras

Mayte Sánchez G.
Morgan & Morgan

Milagros Caballero V.
Morgan & Morgan

Natalia Vega
University of South Florida

Rafael Rodrigo Rodriguez Barrios
Lex Labour Panama

Sebastián Rodríguez Robles
Rodríguez - Robles & Asociados

Yariela Gonzalez Ortega
Universidad de Panamá

Anonymous Contributors

Peru

Alberto Varillas
García Sayán Abogados

Alicia Jimenez Llerena
Gonzalez Hunt Abogados Laboralistas - Littler

Antonio David Bardales Pereira

Armando Ramiro Natividad Maguiña
Estudio Ghersi Abogados

Arturo Gárate Salazar
Unversidad Nacional Federico Villarreal

Carlos Palacios
Universidad Nacional de San Agustín de Arequipa Perú

César Puntriano
Universidad ESAN

Christian Valencia Sarmiento
Estudio Ghersi Abogados

Danilo Sanchez Coronel
Universidad Norbert Wiener

Dennis Oswaldo Vilchez Ramírez
Estudio Ghersi Abogados

Eduardo Herrera Velarde

German Jimenez Borra
Estudio Muñiz

Gonzalo Garcia Calderon

Javier Castro Salinas
Baker McKenzie; Estudio Echecopar

Javier Lizarraga
Universidad Nacional San Agustín

José Luis Velarde Lazarte
Facto Litigios & Compliance

Julio Arbizu González
Estudio Arbizu & Gamarra Abogados

Luciano López Flores
Estudio Luciano López Flores & Abogados

Manuel Bermúdez Tapia
Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos

Manuel Villa-García Noriega
Estudio Olaechea

Marcos Ricardo Revatta Salas
Universidad Nacional San Luis Gonzaga de Ica

Maria del Pilar Pozo Garcia
Hospital Central Fuerza Aerea del Peru

Mario Castillo Freyre
Estudio Mario Castillo Freyre

Oscar Cubas Barrueto
Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos

Rafael Sapler Zaidman
Estudio Ghersi Abogados

Raul Alberto Tolmos Saponara

Rossana Maccera

Silvia Rebaza Santa Cruz
Estudio Gonzales Hunt

Sofía S. Sánchez Boluarte
Instituto Nacional de Ciencias Neurológicas

Susana Astorga Macedo
Estudio Villegas

Anonymous Contributors

Philippines

Carmelita Gopez Nuqui
Development Action for Women Network

Cesar Villanueva
Villanueva Gabionza Dy Law Firm

Emerico O. De Guzman
ACCRALAW

Enriquito J. Mendoza
Romulo Mabanta Buenaventura Sayoc & de los Angeles

Francis Tom Temprosa
Ateneo de Manila University

Jelson Garcia
Commons Analytics and Strategies

Jesusito G. Morallos
Follosco Morallos & Herce

Jonathan Sale
ILPC

Jose Cochingyan III
Cochingyan & Partners

Karen S. Gomez Dumpit
Commission on Human Rights of the Philippines

Leland R. Villadolid Jr.
Angara Abello Concepcion Regala & Cruz Law Offices

Ma. Louisa M. Viloria-Yap

Miguel B. Liceralde
ALGA Law

Nancy Joan Javier
Javier Law

Ramil E. Bugayong
PJS Law

Reginald A. Tongol
Tongol Joven and Associates Law & Communications

Rhea Berba-Quimson
Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Ronahlee A. Asuncion
University of the Philippines Diliman

Roy Enrico C. Santos
PJS Law

Anonymous Contributors

Poland

Adam Morawski
Adam Morawski & Partners Law Firm

Agnieszka Helsztyńska
Kancelaria Adwokacka Adwokat

Andrzej Brodziak
University of Applied Sciences

Bartosz Kucharski
Bartosz Kucharski Advocate Chamber

Jaroslaw Gwizdak
Institute for Law and Society

Joanna Kosińska-Wiercińska
Kancelaria Adwokacka Adwokat

Justyna Bartnik
Adam Morawski & Partners Law Firm

Krzysztof Kaleta
University of Warsaw

Krzysztof Kowalczyk
BSJP Law Firm

Małgorzata Grzelak
Squire Patton Boggs

Olga Sitarz
University of Silesia

Paweł Waszkiewicz
University of Warsaw

Piotr Kuzniak
Kancelaria Radcy Prawnego Piotr Kuzniak

Wojciech Babicki
Miller Canfield, W. Babicki, A. Chelchowski and Partners

Wojciech Klicki
Fundacja Panoptykon

Anonymous Contributors

Portugal

Ana Pires
Universidade Atlântica

Ana Rita Gil
Universidade Nova de Lisboa

António Casa Nova
Escola Superior de Saúde de Portalegre

António Vaz de Castro
Universidade de Coimbra

Carlos Lopes Ribeiro
Camargo & Radziminski Advogados Associados

Carlos Pinto de Abreu
Carlos Pinto de Abreu e Associados, Sociedade de Advogados, SP, RL

Carolina Boullosa Gonzalez
Bind Sociedade de Advogados

Eduardo Buisson Loureiro

Felipe Baião do Nascimento
Maricato, Lima & Associados - Sociedade de Advogados

Fernando Alves Correia
Universidade de Coimbra

Francisco Marques Bom
Marques Bom & Associados

Francisco Marques Vieira
Carlos Pinto de Abreu e Associados, Sociedade de Advogados, SP, RL

Francisco Morais Coelho
Carlos Pinto de Abreu e Associados, Sociedade de Advogados, SP, RL

Gonçalo Gil Barreiros
PRA - Raposo, Sá Miranda & Associados, Sociedade de Advogados, SP, RL

Gonçalo Tavares Gomes
Ferreira Leite, Rua, Pontes & Associados

Inês Reis
PBBR

Joana Barrilaro Ruas
Ferreira da Conceição, Menezes & Associados

Joao Tavares Ribeiro
JTR Advogado

Luís Brito Correia

Luis Miguel Amaral
Luis Miguel Amaral - Advogados / Lawyers

Maria do Rosário Anjos
Anjos, Martins & Associados

Maria Inês Gameiro
NOVA University of Lisbon

Maria João Mimoso
Nenhuma

Miguel Andrade

P. Saragoça da Matta
Sociedade de Advogados Saragoça da Matta e Silveiro de Barros

Paulo de Sá e Cunha
Cuatrecasas

Pedro Miguel Branco
Escritório de Advocacia Pedro Miguel Branco

Pedro Rodrigues da Mata
PRM & Associados

Rui Costa Pereira
PLMJ Advogados, SP, RL

Rui Tavares Correia
Abreu & Marques

Sandrine Bisson Marvão

Sofia Martins dos Santos
Carlos Pinto de Abreu e Associados, Sociedade de Advogados, SP, RL

Sofia Monge
Carlos Pinto de Abreu e Associados, Sociedade de Advogados, SP, RL

Spencer Dohner
Spencer Dohner, Catia Martins & Vanda Matos Lawyers

Teresa Violante
Erlangen-Nürnberg Universität

Vânia Costa Ramos
Carlos Pinto de Abreu e Associados, Sociedade de Advogados, SP, RL

Anonymous Contributors

Republic of Korea

Chang Woo Lee
Donghwa Labor Consulting Corporation

Domyung Paek
Seoul National University

Haksoo Ko
Seoul National University

Hwang Lee
Korea University

Jaehyuk Ahn
Kim & Chang

Jaeseop Song
Shin & Kim

Junsok Yang
The Catholic University of Korea

Moon-hyuck Ho
Seoul National University

Sangbong Lee
DR & AJU International Law LLC

Sung Kyu (Scott) Lee
Kim & Chang

Young Hun Yoon
Hewlett Packard Korea Ltd.

Young Shim
Yonsei University

Anonymous Contributors

Romania

Alexandru Athanasiu
University of Bucharest

Alina Daniela Nestor
Bar of Suceava

Andrei Danciu
SCA Cataniciu & Asociatii

Andrei Mircea Zamfirescu
Gilescu, Valeanu, Nathanzon & Partners

Aura Campranu
PETOŠEVIĆ

Bogdan C. Stoica
Popovici Nitu Stoica & Asociatii

Bulai Nicolae-Bogdan
University of Bucharest

Cezara Batranu Ciuca
Cab. av. Cezara Batranu Ciuca

Ciuca Aurora
Ștefan cel Mare University of Suceava

Cosmin Flavius Costaș
Costaș, Negru & Asociații - Lawyers' Civil Partnership

Cristian Vladescu
National School of Public Health, Management and Professional Development

Dan Oancea
University of Bucharest

Diana Botau
Babes-Bolyai University

Dragoș Daghie
Daghie & Asociații Law Firm; Universitatea Dunărea de Jos Galați

Dunea Mihai
Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iași

Eugen Octavian Chivu
SCP Doru Traila si Asociatii

Florentin Timoianu
Rubin Meyer Doru & Trandafir LPC

Florina Firaru
PETOŠEVIĆ

George Nedelcu

Gheorghe Piperea
Bucharest University; Piperea si Asociatii Law Firm

Iulian Alexander Stoia
Bucharest Bar

Larion Alina-Paula
Ștefan cel Mare University of Suceava

Lazăr Ioan
1 Decembrie 1918 University; Baroul Alba

Marius Balan
Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iași

Mihai Carabas
Carabas, Lungu - Societate Civila de Avocati

Mihail Romeo Nicolescu
Romeo Nicolescu Law Office

Mihnea Stoica
SCA Mihnea Stoica & Asociatii

Miloiu Ciprian
AuxMundus Law

Nicolae-Horia Tit
Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iași

Ovidiu Podaru
Babes-Bolyai University

Ploesteanu Nicolae Dragos
Universitatea de Medicină, Farmacie, Științe și Tehnologie din Tîrgu Mureș

Radu Rizoiu
Bucharest University

Raul Miron
Universitatea de Medicină, Farmacie, Științe și Tehnologie din Tîrgu Mureș

Septimiu Panainte
Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iași

Sergiu Bogdan
Sergiu Bogdan & Asociatii

Sergiu Golub
UBB Cluj-Napoca

Silvia Gabriela Scintee
National School of Public Health, Management and Professional Development

Valerius M. Ciuca
Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iași

Veronica Dobozi
SCA Stoica & Asociatii

Veronica Voinescu
Voinescu & Partner

Vlad Neacsu
Popovici Nitu Stoica & Asociatii

Anonymous Contributors

Russian Federation

Andrey Demin
Russian Public Health Association

Dmitry Garifulin
Corporations and Shareholders Project

Eduard Margulyan

Elena Sergeevna Petri
Arabis Group

Elena Sinelnikova
Saint-Petersburg State Pediatric Medical University

Gleb Glinka
Glinka, Rubnstein & Partners

Mari Davitovna Davtyan
Consortium of Women's NGOs

Maria Erokhova
Moscow Higher School of Social & Economic Sciences

Maria Voskobitova
ABA Rule of Law Initiative

Nikolai Kostenko
Moscow Helsinki Group

Pavel Alexandrovich Kabanov
Kazan Innovative University

Sergei L. Lazarev
Russin & Vecchi

Sergei Murzakokv
HSE - Nizhny Novhorod

Sergey Avrusin
Saint-Petersburg State Pediatric Medical University

Sergey Bogatyrev
Beiten Burkhardt

Sergey Stepanov
The Institute of Private Law

Svetlana Igorevna Dobrovolskaya
Moscow Regional Bar Association

Viktor Pomelov
Russin & Vecchi

Vilena Voronich
Russin & Vecchi

Vyacheslav Chasnyk
Saint-Petersburg State Pediatric Medical University

Anonymous Contributors

Rwanda

Albert Nkundabatware M.
Ebenezer Law Firm Ltd.; Rwanda Bar Association

Arsène Rutiyomba

Christine Habyarimana
HC General Legal Services Ltd

Counsel Herbert Zziwa
Lavoix Advocates

Edgar Ankunda
Lavoix Advocates

Habimana Pie
Amilex Chambers

Jean Claude Twayigize
Rwanda Bar Association

Jean Pierre Nkurunziza
B&A Advocates

Kayijuka Ngabo
Nexus Attorneys

Mugemangango Paul
PMM Advocate and Consultant Limited

Mukoka Ronald Wallter
MK Associated Advocates

Uwimabera Bata
Rwanda Bar Association

Vedaste Mugemanyi
Oasis Law Chambers

Yamfashije Jeannine
UCLouvain Saint-Louis

Anonymous Contributors

Senegal

Adrien Dioh
Université Gaston Berger

Amadou Drame
Société de Developpement et des Fibres Textiles

Amadou Yakhya Fall
Lexisen Avocats

Ameth Ba
SCP Ba & Tandian

Balla Sy
Sonatel

Boubacar Diakite
Geni & Kebe

Cheikh Ahmadou Ndiaye
Barreau du Sénégal

Cheikh Fall Cabinet d'Avocats

Clément Diarga Basse

El Hadji Oumar Sane
District Sanitaire de Bignona

Gabriel Massène Senghor
District Sanitaire d'Oussouye

Laura Petiot
L.P-Consulting

Mansour Gningue
Geni & Kebe

Meissa Toure
Euromed Universite

Mohamadou Boye
Université Gaston Berger

Ndeye Khoudia Tounkara
SCPA Mayacine Tounkara et Associes

Ndiaye Semou
Uinversité Cheikh Anta DIOP de Dakar

Ndiouma Ndour
Université Assane Seck de Ziguinchor

Paul Babacar Faye
SCP Sow-Seck-Diagne & Associes

Rahimine Azimari Toure
Geni & Kebe

Samba Cor Sarr
Ministère de la Sante et de l'Action Social

Serigne Niang

Seyni Gueye
Cabinet d'Avocats Houda

Anonymous Contributors

Serbia

Ana Popovic
Zivkovic Samardzic Law Office

Danijela Korać-Mandić
Novi Sad Humanitarian Centre

Dragan Psodorov
Joksovic, Stojanovic & Partners

Dušan M. Stojković
Law Office Stojković

Filip Milošević
Law Office Milošević

Goran Vucic
Joksovic, Stojanovic & Partners

Ivan Kovacevic
Lalin Law Office

Jane Paunkovic
Megatrend University

Jovana Tomić
Caković Tomić Law Office

Miljkan Karličić
Advokatska Kancelarija Karličić

Nebojsa Stankovic
Stankovic & Partners Law Office

Ognjen Djuric

Petar Bulat
University of Belgrade

Petar Stojanovic
Joksovic, Stojanovic & Partners

Simonida Sladojevic-Stanimirovic

Vladimir Hrle
Hrle Attorneys

Zoran Radovic
Radovic & Ratkovic Attorneys

Anonymous Contributors

Sierra Leone

Ady Macauley
B & J Partners

Alphonsus B. M. Gbanie
Human Rights Defenders Network

Augustine Sorie-Sengbe Marrah
KMK Solicitors

Editayo Pabs-Garnon
Lambert & Partners

Fatmata Sorie
Legal Access through Women Yearning for Equality Rights and Social Justice

Isaac David Babatunde John
Legal Access through Women Yearning for Equality Rights and Social Justice; Sorie and Bangura

Ishmael Philip Mammie
Fornah-Sesay, Cummings, Showers & Co.

Nemata Majeks-Walker
The 50/50 Group of Sierra Leone

Patrick Ngenda Johnbull
Centre for Access to Justice Peace and Human Rights

Regena Juliana Kain
BRAC International

Ronald Gidwani
Halloway & Partners

Vandi Tamba Sombie
Bo Children's Hospital

Yembeh Marah
Catholic Relief Services

Anonymous Contributors

Singapore

Benjamin Joshua Ong
Singapore Management University

Chia Boon Teck
Chia Wong LLP

Chia Ti Lik
Chia Ngee Thuang & Co.

Dan W. Puchniak
National University of Singapore

Eric Tin Keng Seng
Donaldson & Burkinshaw LLP

Scott G. Wheeler

Simon Chesterman
National University of Singapore

Suet-Fern Lee
Morgan Lewis & Bockius

Thio Li-ann
National University of Singapore

Anonymous Contributors

Slovenia

Anton Gradišek
Dagra D.O.O.

Borut Bernik Bogataj

Grega Strban
University of Ljubljana

Iris Pensa
Jadek & Pensa Law Office

Jorg Sladič
Nova Univerza

Ljuba Zupančič Čokert
Miro Senica and Attorneys, Ltd.

Luka Ticar
University of Ljubljana

Matija Repolusk

Nina Persak
University of Ljubljana

Peter Fašun
Fašun, Melihen, Milač, Strojan

Peter Stanovnik
Institute for Economic Research

Primož Rožman
Zavod RS za Transfuzijsko Medicino

Sara Ahlin Doljak

Tajka Golob Obrenović
Gross & Golob

Tine Mišic
ODI Law Firm

Tjasa Drgan
Law Office Drnovsek

Uroš Čop
Miro Senica and Attorneys, Ltd.

Anonymous Contributors

South Africa

Altair Richards
ENSafrica

B. N. Harris
University of Pretoria

C. I. Tshoose
University of South Africa

Dejo Olowu
Walter Sisulu University

Derek Hellenberg
University of Cape Town

F. Venter
North-West University

Funmi Abioye
University of South Africa

Hugh Corder
University of Cape Town

Jacques Matthee
University of the Free State

Jacques van Wyk
Werksmans Attorneys

Joey Berning
University of South Africa

Johann Kriegler
Freedom Under Law

Lesiba Lolly Motsepe
University of South Africa

Lukas Muntingh
University of the Western Cape

Martin Brassey

Martin van Staden
Free Market Foundation

Mbuzeni Johnson Mathenjwa
University of South Africa

Mildred Bekink
University of South Africa

Nisha Jacob
University of Cape Town

Ntombifikile Mtshali
University of KwaZulu-Natal

P. J. Schwikkard
University of Cape Town

Peter Jordi
Wits Law Clinic

Pieter du Toit
North-West University

Richard Haslop
Woodhead Bigby Inc.

Riette du Plessis
University of the Witwatersrand

S. S. Terblanche
University of South Africa

Shrikant Peters
University of the Western Cape

Stephan du Plessis
Webbers Attorneys

Victoria Bronstein
University of the Witwatersrand

Wayne Mentz
North-West University

Werner van Straaten
University of Pretoria

Yousuf A. Vawda
University of KwaZulu-Natal

Anonymous Contributors

Spain

Alba García Torres
Universidad Complutense de Madrid

Alberto Blasco Hernando
J&A Garrigues SLP

Alfonso Pedrajas
Abdón Pedrajas Abogados

Almudena Álvarez
Álvarez Lentner

Amparo Garrigues Gimenez
Universidad Jaume I de Castellón

Andrea Macía Morillo
Universidad Autónoma de Madrid

Antonio Pedrajas Quiles
Abdón Pedrajas Abogados

Araceli Pelaez Rodriguez
De Castro Gabinete Juridico

Benito Alaez Corral
Universidad de Oviedo

Bernardo del Rosal
Del Rosal & Adame Abogados; Universidad de Alicante

Carla Sanahuja and Daniel Marín
Gomez-Acebo & Pombo

Carlos Campillo-Artero
Servicio de Salud Islas Baleares; Universitat Ponpeu Fabra

Carlos Ramon Fernández Lies
Universidad Carlos III de Madrid

Christian Herrera
Herrera Advocats

Eduardo Santamaría Moral
J&A Garrigues SLP

Elena Espinosa

Elena Sánchez Jordán
Universidad de La Laguna

Emilio Díaz Ruiz

Enric Fossas Espadaler
Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona

Federico Andrés Rodriguez Morata
Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha

Federico Durán López
Universidad de Córdoba

Federico Navarro Nieto
Universidad de Córdoba

Fernando Alberich Arjona
Rambla Abogados & Asesores

Francisco Ramos Romeu
Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona

Ildefonso Hernández Aguado
Universidad Miguel Hernández

Iñigo Sagardoy de Simón
Sagardoy Abogados

Jacobo Dopico Gómez-Aller
Universidad Carlos III de Madrid

Jaime Flores Perez-Durias
J&A Garrigues SLP

Jaime Hernández
Cuatrecasas

Javier Melero
Melero & Gené Advocats

Jesús Padilla Gálvez
Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha

José Carlos Pinilla Domínguez
Garrigues Abogados

José Fernández-Rañada
Garrigues Abogados

José Luis de Peray

José Luis Goñi Sein
Universidad Pública de Navarra

José Manuel Mateo Sierra
J&A Garrigues SLP

José María Labeaga Azcona
Universidad Nacional de Educación a Distancia

José R. Repullo
Escuela Nacional de Sanidad

José Vte. Martí Boscà
Sociedad Española de Sanidad Ambiental

Juan Alberto Díaz López
J. A. Díaz Litigación Penal

Juan Antonio Lascuraín
Baker McKenzie; Universidad Autónoma de Madrid

Juan Francisco Aguiar Rodriguez
Servicio Canario de Salud, Gobierno de Canarias

Juan Luis Beltrán Aguirre
Universidad Pública de Navarra

Juan Luis Moreno
Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Juan M. Terradillos
Universidad de Cádiz

Juan Manuel López Ulla
Universidad de Cádiz

Juan Oliva Moreno
Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha

Juan Pedro Cortés Labadía
Baker McKenzie

Juan Roca Guillamón
Universidad de Murcia

Laura Pozuelo Pérez
Universidad Autónoma de Madrid

Luis Gaite
Hospital Universitario Marques de Valdecilla

Manuel Ángel De las Heras García
Universidad de Alicante

Manuel Cachón Cadenas
Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona

Margarita Isabel Ramos Quintana
Universidad de La Laguna

Margit Gaffal
Complutense University Madrid

María Acale Sánchez
Universidad de Cádiz

María Barberá Riera
Sociedad Española de Sanidad Ambiental

María del Rosario Gómez López
Universidad de Cádiz

María Isabel Álvarez Vega
Universidad de Oviedo

María José Benítez Jiménez
Universidad de Málaga

Maria Ludivina Valvidares Suarez
Universidad de Oviedo

Maria Luisa de la Flor Fernández
Universidad de Cádiz

María Pilar Marco Francia
Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha

Marina Lorente
J&A Garrigues SLP

Mario Maraver Gómez
Universidad Autónoma de Madrid

Martín Godino
Sagardoy Abogados

Miguel Ángel Presno Linera
Univesidad de Oviedo

Nicolás Bárcena Suárez
Universidad de Oviedo

Orlanda Díaz-García
Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha

Oscar Morales García
Uría Menéndez

Pablo López Ferrer
Uría Menéndez

Patricia Barber
Universidad de Las Palmas de Gran Canaria

Rafael Ortiz Cervello
Garrigues Abogados

Raquel Flórez Escobar
Freshfields

Rebeca Benarroch Benarroch
Ciudad de Ceuta

Remedios Aranda Rodríguez
Universidad Carlos III de Madrid

Remedios Menéndez Calvo
Universidad de Alcalá

Ricard Meneu
Fundación Instituto de Investigación en Servicios de Salud

Roberto Mazorriaga Las Hayas
Rambla Abogados & Asesores

Rocio Bonet
Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Román Gil Alburquerque
Sagardoy Abogados

Rosa M. Satorras Fioretti
Universidad de Barcelona

Rosa María Urbanos Garrido
Universidad Complutense de Madrid

Rosario Vicente Martínez
Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha

Santiago Fernandez Redondo
Hospital Universitario La Princesa

Sebastián Cantallops Mir
Rambla Abogados & Asesores

Vicente Pastor y Aldeguer
Universidad Autónoma de Madrid

Víctor Torre de Silva
IE University

Xavier Castells
Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona

Anonymous Contributors

Sri Lanka

Ajithaa Edirimane

Anusha Wickramasinghe

Camena Guneratne
The Open University of Sri Lanka

Chandima J. Ambepitiya

Chrishantha Abeysena
University of Kelaniya

D. M. Nisanka Madhubhashini Jayarathna
The Open University of Sri Lanka

Dhanushka Dissanayake

Dushyantha Perera
Sudath Perera Associates

Gamini Balasooriya
Sudath Perera Associates

Gamini Perera
International Law Chambers

Heshika Rupasinghe and Ramani Muttettuwegama
Tiruchelvam Associates

Kanchana Ratwatte

Kaumadi Galagedara

Kumudu Wijewardene
University of Sri Jaywardenepura

Lasantha Hettiarachchi
Law Chambers of Lasantha Hettiarachchi

Nalin Kumudu Ashubodha
Kolonnawa Nursing Home

Prathiba Mahanamahewa
University of Colombo; University of Technology Jamaica

Priyantha Gamage

R. M. N. U. Rajapaksha
Ministry of Health

S. R. L. Rosa
The Open University of Sri Lanka

Savantha De Saram
D. L. & F. De Saram

Sumedha Mahawanniarachchi

Upali Jayatilaka

Vinodh Wickremasooriya

Anonymous Contributors

St. Kitts and Nevis

Dia C. Forrester
Daniel Brantley

Henry Los Browne
Browne & Associates

Krysta S. Liburd-Clarke
Liburd Law

Kurlyn D. V. Merchant
Merchant Legal Chambers

Leonora L. Walwyn
Walwyn Law

Maurisha Robinson
Morton Robinson, L.P.

Michella Adrien
Michella Adrien Law Offices

Midge A. Morton Williams
Morton Robinson, L.P.

Rayana Dowden
Webster LP

Shemica K. Maloney
Maloney & Co.

Sonya Parry
Gonsalves Parry

Anonymous Contributors

St. Lucia

Alberta Richelieu
Richelieu & Co.

Alberton Richelieu
Richelieu & Co.

Henri-Jacques Mangal
1st National Bank St. Lucia Limited

Leandra Gabrielle Verneuil
Jennifer Remy & Associates

Mary Juliana Charles
Mary Juliana Charles Chambers

Tamara Foster
Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions

Anonymous Contributors

St. Vincent and the Grenadines

Margaret Hughes Ferrari
Hughes & Company

Mikhail Charles
Prudhoe Caribbean

Moureeze L. Franklyn
Baptiste & Co. Law Firm

Rene M.Baptiste
Baptiste & Co. Law Firm

Stephen Williams
Williams & Williams Chambers

Anonymous Contributors

Suriname

Anne-Marel Linger
4 Justice Advocaten

Antoon Karg
North West Legal

Eloa Fanita van der Hilst
4 Justice Advocaten

Francyn Djajadi
Legal Aid Advocaten

Humphrey R. Schurman
Schurman Advocaten

Maureen Tjon-Jaw-Chong

Minouche Bromet
Academic Hospital Paramaribo

Nashreen R. J. Ilahibaks
Essed & Sohansingh Lawyers

Prema Sohansingh
Law Firm Essed & Sohansingh

Ramesh Arun V-A Malahe
Malahe Advocaten

Ruby C. A. Bleau
Lim A Po Lawfirm

Serena N. Essed
Sewcharan Law Firm

Anonymous Contributors

Sweden

Anne Ramberg
Swedish Bar Association

Bengt Lundell
Lund University

Birgitta Nysröm
Lund University

Bjorn Ohde
Advokataktiebolaget Roslagen

Boel Flodgren
Lund University

Catherine Lions
Umea University

Christer Thordson
Legal Edge AB

Gunilla Lindmark
Uppsala University

Jack Ågren
Stockholm University

Jessika van der Sluijs
Stockholm University

Johan Sangborn
Swedish Bar Association

Karl-Arne Olsson
WSA Law

Karol Nowak
Lund University

Laura Carlson
Stockholm University

Mauro Zamboni
Stockholm University

Olov Marsater
University of Uppsala

Reinhold Fahlbeck
Lund University

Staffan Michelson
Hellström Advokatbyrå

Sverker Jönsson
Lund University

Anonymous Contributors

Tanzania

Anne H. Outwater
Muhimbili University of Health and Allied Sciences

Asina Omari
University of Dar es Salaam

Barnabas Hatson Mwashambwa
Tanzania Petroleum Development Corporation

Deus M. Kibamba
Tanzania Citizens' Information Bureau

Eliud Kitime
Open University of Tanzania

Emmanuel Charles Moshi
MMAKI Advocates; University of Dodoma

Eric Frank Ringo
FIN & LAW

Ernestilla John Bahati
Breakthrough Attorneys

Eustard P. A. Ngatale

Fundikila Wazambi
Legal and Human Rights Centre

George Thomas Masoud
GMT Attorneys and Legal Consultants

Gerald Nangi
Clyde & Co.

Hakiel Ombeni Mgonja
Donaldson and Wood

Jones Sendodo
Tanzania Human Rights Defenders Coalition

Kheri R. Mbiro
Breakthrough Attorneys

Lucky Michael Mgimba
Godwin Attorneys

Mathias Omar
Human Rights Centre and Advocacy for East Africa

Octavian W. Temu
Octavian & Company Advocates

Stephen Ally Mwakibolwa
Alley & Associates

Anonymous Contributors

Thailand

Anant Akanisthaphichat
Thai Law Firm

Chacrit Sitdhiwej
Thammasat University

Chalermwut Sriporm
Thammasat University

Chulapong Yukate

Chusert Supasitthumrong
Tilleke & Gibbins International

Ittichai Prasongprasit
R&T Asia Limited

Munin Pongsapan
Thammasat University

Pisut Rakwong
Pisut & Partners

Roll Chunhakasikarn
Tilleke & Gibbins International

Sudarat Sereewat
FACE Foundation

Sumet Sirikunchoat
Thammasat University

Wanchai Yiamsamatha
LS Horizon

Wandee Sirichokchatchawan
Chulalongkorn University

Anonymous Contributors

Togo

Adjowavi Rose Adjenou Atanley
Clinique Autel d'Elie

Agbéwonou Koudasse
Cabinet d'Avocat de Maître Soedjede Galolo

Akou Pignandi
Fonds Mondial de Lutte Contre le Sida et la Tuberculose

Amèvi Kpogno
Cabinet d'Avocats Darius Atsoo

Augustin Dokla
RAS + Togo

Claude Kokou Amegan
Association Togolaise des Droits de l'Homme

Damien Amoussou
Centre pour la Promotion des Droits de l'Homme et du Développement en Afrique

Dékawunga Djoliba Kutolbena
Barreau du Togo; Cabinet Me Kutolbena

Ferdinand Ekouévi Amazohoun
SCPA Femiza Associes

Jean Yaovi Degli
Bâtir le Togo

Jil-Benoit Kossi Afangbedji
Barreau du Togo

Kafui A. Amekoudi
Martial Akakpo & Associes

Kodjo Kekeli Togbi

Kolou Simliwa Dassa
Université de Lomé

Kossi Adjedomole
Martial Akakpo & Associes

Kossi Assiom Bokodjin
Barreau du Togo

Koundé (Innocent) Kpeto
Ordre National des Pharmaciens

M. Koffi Houndebasso
Société Togolaise de l'Evaluation -Evaluateurs émergents

Martial Koffi Akakpo
Martial Akakpo & Associes

Raymond Adadjisso
Men's Togo

Simtokina N'gani
Ministère de la Santé et de l'Hygiene Publique

Sossinou Awoussi
Ministère de la Santé et de l'Hygiene Publique

Thérèse Akouvi O. Donu
Barreau du Togo

Yaovi Montcho
Martial Akakpo & Associes

Yawo Apélété Agbobli
CHU Campus de Lomé

Anonymous Contributors

Trinidad and Tobago

Ariel Moonsie
Girwar & Deonarine

Betty-Ann Pilgrim
Ministry of Health

Christopher Sieuchand
M.G. Daly & Partners

Dawn Gillian Seecharan
Seecharan Scott Chambers

Gerard Hutchinson
University of the West Indies

Gina Maharaj

Hasine Shaikh
Regius Chambers

Jerome Maxime

Kaveeta Persad
Fitzwilliam, Stone, Furness-Smith & Morgan

Keri A. Kitson

Michelle T. Ramnarine

Oscar Noel Ocho
University of the West Indies

Petra A. Mahabalsingh
Lex Caribbean

Sonnel David-Longe
M.G. Daly & Partners

Tamara Jackson

Vernon Rooplal Prashad
Prashad & Associates

Anonymous Contributors

Tunisia

Amel Aouij
Université de Tunis El Manar

Amine Hamdi
Hamdi Law Office

Anissa Tabai

Aymen Zaghdoudi
Carthage University

Brahim Latrech
Dr. Brahim Latrech Law Office

Elies Letaifa
Juris International

Elyes Chafter
Chafter Raouadi Cabinet d'Avocats

Emna Yahyaoui

Fares Elheni, Najet Skander, and Raouf Elheni
Eversheds Sutherland Elheni

Hassine Fekih Ahmed

Hechmi Louzir
Institut Pasteur de Tunis

Hedia Kedadi
Cabinet Kedadi International

Imed Oussaifi
La Cour d'Appel

Inès Zribi
L'Association Tunisienne de Droit de Santé

Insaf Ben Aoun

Karim Ben Hamida
KBH Law Firm

Lassâad Dhaouadi
l'Institut Tunisien des Conseils Fiscaux

Mahmoud Dawoud Yacoub
Ordre National des Avocats du Tunisie

Nadhir Ben Yedder

Rachida Jelassi
Université de Tunis El Manar

Ridha Mezghani
R. Mezghani Law Office

Wajdi Abdelhedi
Wab Expert

Anonymous Contributors

Turkey

Aysegul Kula

Cem Yeniaras
Yeniaras Attorneys at Law

Demirhan Burak Çelik
Galatasaray University

Ece Göztepe
Bilkent University

Esenyel Barak Bal
Cailliau & Colakel Law Firm

Fatih Selim Yurdakul
Yurdakul Law Office

Işık Önay
Koç Üniversitesi

Mahmut Kaçan
MK Law Office

Murat Volkan Dülger
Dülger Law Office

Nuray Gökçek Karaca
Anadolu University

Orhan Yavuz Mavioglu
ADMD Law Office

Osman Hayran
Medipol University

Ozer Tuncay
Tuncay Law Office

R. Murat Önok
Turkish Press Council

Savas Bozbel
Bozbel Law & Consulting

Serdar Hizir
Atilim University

Şule Özsoy Boyunsuz
Galatasaray University

Ufuk Aydin
Anadolu University

Anonymous Contributors

Uganda

Adrian Jjuuko
Human Rights Awareness and Promotion Forum

Albert Turyahabwe
National Water and Sewerage Corporation

Alunga Patrick Alvarez
BKA Advocates

Atim Evelyn
Buwembo & Co. Advocates

Birungyi Cephas Kagyenda
Birungyi Barata & Associates

Brigitte Kusiima Byarugaba Sendi
Shonubi, Musoke and Co. Advocates

Bwiite Lydia
Platform for Labour Action

C. K. Kalumiya
Kampala Associated Advocates

Claire Amanya Rukundo-Kakeeto
CR. Amanya Advocates & Solicitors

Doreen Nawaali
MMAKS Advocates

Emmanuel Luyirika
African Palliative Care Association

Ferdinand Tumuhaise
Son of Loyola Legal Consultants

Francis Opedun
Mutalya & Co. Advocates

Fredrck K. Sentomero
OSH Advocates

Grace Mukwaya
Platform for Labour Action

Idoot Augustine Obilil
Kampala Associated Advocates

Justine Balya
Human Rights Awareness and Promotion Forum

Laura Nyirinkindi
Pro Initiatives Agency

Lilian Keene-Mugerwa
Kleeva Associated Advocates

Mary Nalwoga
Uganda Law Society

Miria Matembe

Raymond Mwebesa
Kampala Associated Advocates

Robert Mugisa
The Human Rights Centre Uganda

Ronald Tukachungurwa
Kodili & Co. Advocates

Sam Mayanja
Kampala Associated Advocates

Anonymous Contributors

Ukraine

Anna Mikhailyuk
Mikhailyuk, Sorokolat & Partners

Anna Sakalosh

Evgen Ryabokon
Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv

Hryhoriy Trotskyy
Danylo Halytskyi Lviv National Medical University

Igor Fedorenko
AVER LEX Attorneys at Law

Iryna Shevchuk
Engarde Attorneys at Law

Ivan Horodyskyy
Ukrainian Catholic University

Katerina Vlasyuk
AVG Law Firm

Konstantin Naduty
Ukrainian Medical Expert Association

Kostiantyn Doroshenko
Leshchenko, Doroshenko & Partners

Larysa Matiukha
National Medical Academy of Postgraduate Educational

Lesia Sakalosh
Danylo Halytskyi Lviv National Medical University

Liliy V. Kriachkova
Dnipropetrovsk Medical Academy

Lyubomyr Drozdovskyy
Khasin & Drozdovskyy Barristers Association

Oleksandr Skliarenko
Skliarenko, Sydorenko and Partners

Oleksii Makarenkov
Zaporizhzhya National University

Olesia Otradnova
Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv

Olga Prosyanyuk

Pavlo Lukomskyi
Salkom Law Firm

Roman Maydanyk
Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv

Roman Sabodash
Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv

Sergiy Gryshko
Redcliffe Partners

Shkvorets Yaroslav
RULG - Ukrainian Legal Group

Taras Tsymbrivskyy
Human Rights in Action, USAID; Ukrainian Helsinki Human Rights Union

Viktoriia Ivchuk
KNP Clinic

Vladislava Pukhalenko
Leshchenko, Doroshenko & Partners

Vyacheslav Sokolov
Paradox Law Firm

Anonymous Contributors

United Arab Emirates

Ali Al Balooshi
Al Balooshi & Al Marashda Advocates & Legal Consultants

El Mukashfi Majzoob
Al Balooshi & Al Marashda Advocates & Legal Consultants

Haleama Al Sabbah
Zayed University

Mirza R. Baig
Dubai Pharmacy College for Girls

Nasiruddeen Muhammad
University of Dubai

Rami Omar Abdellatif
Hadef & Partners

Tessa Dignam
IKM Advocates, DLA Piper Africa

Zayed Saeed Alshamsi
Zayed Alshamsi Advocates & Legal Consultants

Zeyad Jaffal
Al Ain University

Anonymous Contributors

United Kingdom

Cathy Scott-Clark

Christopher May
Lancaster University

David Josse
Five St. Andrew's Hill Chambers

Gavin Irwin
Chambers of Jonathan Laidlaw QC

Jill Stavert
Edinburgh Napier University

Jonathan S. Nguyen-Van-Tam
University of Nottingham

Jonathan Stuart Mitchell
Chambers of Paul Mandelle

Kyle Phillips
Fieldfisher

Maryellen Reynolds
Attorneys Judicial Military Mediators Consultants International Group

Nigel Duncan

Octávio Luiz Motta Ferraz
King's College London

Peter McTigue
Nottingham Trent University

Rebecca Niblock
Kingsley Napley LLP

Richard Ashcroft
Queen Mary University of London

Richard W. Whitecross
Edinburgh Napier University

Steven Lorber
Lewis Silkin LLP

Thomas Garner
Gherson

Tonia Novitz
University of Bristol

Tony Ward
Northumbria University

Anonymous Contributors

United States

Abigail Margaret Reay Yull
Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Alan W. Houseman
National Equal Justice Library

Allen S. Weiner
Stanford University

Amy Widman
Rutgers University

Artie Renee Pobjecky
Pobjecky and Pobjecky, LLP

Bryan A. Liang
S-3 Research

Charles Garcia
Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Christopher R. Drahozal
University of Kansas

David K. Y. Tang
K&L Gates, LLP

H. David Kelly, Jr.
Beins Axelrod PC

James H. Pietsch
University of Hawaii

Jeffrey Aresty
InternetBar.org Institute

John Pollock
National Coalition for a Civil Right to Counsel

John R. LaBar
Henry, McCord, Bean, Miller, Gabriel & LaBar, P.L.L.C.

Jonathan Hiatt
Solidarity Center

Karen A. Lash
American University

Kepler B. Funk
Funk, Szachacz & Diamond, LLC

Laurel G. Bellows
Bellows Law Group PC

Leonard A. Sandler
University of Iowa

Maha Jweied

Matthew Keck
Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Michele Forzley
Forzley & Associates

Paul Bender
Arizona State University

Renaldy J. Gutierrez
Gutierrez & Associates

Renée M. Landers
Suffolk University

Ricks Frazier

Robert J. Collins
University of Pennsylvania

Roy M. Poses
Brown University

Russell C. Maulitz
Tapestry Health Information Technologies, LLC

Sara Elizabeth Dill
Anethum Global

Stephen A. Saltzburg
The George Washington University

Stephen C. Veltri
Ohio Northern University

Steven Ramirez
Loyola University Chicago

Tarek Azhari
Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Tim K. Mackey
University of California San Diego

Timothy E. Dolan
Policy Foresight

Vernellia Randall
The University of Dayton

Anonymous Contributors

Uruguay

Alfredo Taullard
Hughes & Hughes

Andrés Fuentes
Arcia Storace Fuentes Medina Abogados

Camilo Martínez Blanco
Universidad de Montevideo

Carlos Pittamiglio
Estudio Jurídico Bartesaghi

Eduardo Ferrari
Posadas, Posadas & Vecino

Escandor El Ters
Instituto Nacional del Cáncer

Isabel Abarno
Delpiazzo Abogados

Joaquin Reyes Puig
Reyes Rius

Juan Diego Menghi Arburúas
Pérez del Castillo & Asociados

Juan Federico Fischer
Andersen Tax & Legal

Julio Lens
LENS

Lucía Acosta
Ferrere Abogados

Magela Ramón
Hughes & Hughes

Manuel Reyes Puig
Intrust Business Solutions

María Durán
Hughes & Hughes

Mariana Fernandez Fasciolo
Universidad de la República

Martín Miguel Fridman Monasterolo
Ferrere Abogados

Martín Risso Ferrand
Universidad Católica del Uruguay

Natalia Veloso
Universidad de Montevideo

Nicolás Pallas
Posadas, Posadas & Vecino

Pedro J. Montano Gómez
Scelza & Montano Estudio Jurídico

Ricardo Mezzera
Mezzera Abogados

Santiago Pereira Campos
Rueda Abadi Pereira; Universidad de Montevideo

Santiago Theoduloz
Guyer & Regules

Tomás Guerrero
Posadas, Posadas & Vecino

Anonymous Contributors

Uzbekistan

Abdujabbor
The Council of the Social Democratic Party of Uzbekistan

Aziz Sattarov
Reliable Lawyer Law Firm

Botir Yigitaliev
CODEX Law Firm

Ilkhom Azizov
Azizov and Partners

Jakhongir Z. Djuraev

Madina Tursunova
Legalmax Law Firm

Minora Ferdovsievna Saydahmedova
Legisland Law Office

Muratayev Serikbey Alpamisovich
Tashkent State University of Law

Yana Niyazmetova
Azizov and Partners

Ziyoda Ataxodjaeva
Turon Mega Stroy LLC

Anonymous Contributors

Venezuela, RB

Alberto Blanco-Uribe Quintero
Universidad Central de Venezuela

Alberto Jurado
ALC Penal

Alejandro Risquez Parra
Universidad Central de Venezuela

Alessandra Corona
Araquereyna; Harvard University

Alexander Marcano Montero
Lawyers Group, Despacho de Abogados

Alí Daniels
Acceso a la Justicia

Alvaro Badell Madrid
Badell & Grau

Andrea Cardone
Álvarez, Sánchez & Asociados Abogados

Andrés Carrasquero Stolk
ESC+G Abogados

Andres L. Halvorssen
RdHOO Abogados

Antonio Silva Aranguren
Centro para la Integración y el Derecho Público

Arturo De Sola Lander
De Sola Pate & Brown

Beatriz Borges Urrutia

Bernardo Pulido Márquez
Consultores Jurídicos

Catherina Gallardo
Gallardo Vaudo y Asociados

Claudia Madrid Martínez
Universidad Central de Venezuela

Diego Thomás Castagnino
Universidad Central de Venezuela

Eduardo J. Sánchez R.
Álvarez, Sánchez & Asociados Abogados

Edward Pérez
Instituto Interamericano de Responsabilidad Social y Derechos Humanos

Ernesto Gonzalez Rubio
Casas Rincon, Gonzalez Rubio & Asoc.

Eugenio Hernández-Bretón
Academia de Ciencias Políticas y Sociales

Faustino Flamarique
LEGA Abogados

Félix Ignacio Sánchez Hernández
Álvarez, Sánchez & Asociados Abogados

Fernando Javier Baralt Briceño
Universidad Rafael Urdaneta

Fernando M. Fernandez

Francisco Allende
Cámara Venezolana del Medicamento

Franco Puppio Pérez
Universidad Católica Andrés Bello

Frederick Cabrera Conde
Universidad Católica Andrés Bello; Universidad Central de Venezuela

Fredy Ernesto Martinez Diaz
Martinez Diaz & Asociados Firma de Abogados

Gilberto A. Guerrero-Rocca
Florida International University

Gilles Valensi Sosa

Gonzalo Himiob Santomè
Foro Penal

Guillermo López
Bolet & Terrero

Hector Cardoze Rangel
Universidad Central de Venezuela

Ignacio J. Andrade Cifuentes
Ponte Andrade & Casanova

Jacqueline Richter
Universidad Central de Venezuela

Jaime Martínez Estévez
Rodner, Martínez & Asociados

Javier Alfredo Villamizar Gordon
Palacios, Torres & Korody

Jorge Rosell Senhenn
Bloque Constitucional

José Rafael Vargas Rincón
José Rafael Vargas, S.C.

Juan Alberto Berríos Ortigoza
Universidad del Zulia

Juan Carlos Garantón-Blanco
Universidad Católica Andrés Bello

Juan Carlos Oliveira Bonomi
RdHOO Abogados

Juan Carlos Sainz Borgo
University for Peace

Juan Domingo Alfonzo Paradisi
Torres Plaz y Araujo

Julio César Fernández Toro
Universidad Central de Venezuela

Karla Andreína Sáez Rodríguez
ESC+G Abogados

Laura Louza
Acceso a la Justicia

Lolymar Hernández Camargo
Universidad Católica Andrés Bello

Manuel A. Gomez
Florida International University

Maria Delina Sánchez Villegas
Loreto Abogados Penalistas

María Gabriela Vicent Allende
Dentons

Mauricio Ramirez Gordon
Fundación Verdad Venezuela

Natasha Saturno Siñovsky
Acción Solidaria

Nayibe Chacón Gómez
Universidad Central de Venezuela

Nelson Chitty La Roche
Universidad Central de Venezuela

Oscat Hernández Alvarez

Rafael Ignacio Olivar
Escritorio Jurídico Aguilarte y Asociados

Rafael Molina
Molina & Asociados

Raul Sanchez Urribarri
La Trobe University

Reinaldo Jesús Guilarte Lamuño
Ayala Dillon Fernández Linares Chavero Consultores Jurídicos

Ricardo J. Cruz Rincón
Escritorio Chumaceiro González Rubio

Roberto Hung Cavalieri
Cultura Jurídica Org

Rubén Guía Chirino
Cultura Jurídica Org

Simón Jurado-Blanco Sandoval
Jurado-Blanco & Aguirre Abogados

Valentina Arianna Russo Borzellino
Cigala, Puppio y Asociados

Victor R. Hernández-Mendible
HMO Consultores Internacionales

Victor Rujano Bautista
Asociación Civil Culturas Indígenas y Medio Ambiente Sustentable

Yeoshua Bograd Lamberti

Zuleima Espinel
JBA Legal

Anonymous Contributors

Vietnam

Danny Đức Duy
Santa Lawyers Company

David Lam
The Lam Law Company Limited

Do Thi Hang
ANT Lawyers Law Firm

Haley Chau Kim Hanh
Le & Tran

Kent Wong
VCI Legal

Le An Hai

Le Tien Dat
Apolat Legal Law Firm

Le Van Thanh
SureLaw Law Firm

Ngo Huu Nhi
Thien An Law Office

Nguyen Huu Phuoc
Phuoc and Associates

Nguyen Nam Hung
VPS Securities Company

Nguyen Nhan Quang

Nguyen Nhan Tuan

Nguyen Truong Tho
Dai Nghia Law Office

Phạm Thị Thoa
Apolat Legal Law Firm

Phạm Tri Dung
Hanoi University of Public Health

Pham Van Phat
An Phat Pham Law Firm

Phong
CNC VN

Tran Thanh Tung
Global Vietnam Lawyers Law Firm

Vu Dzung
YKVN Lawyers

Anonymous Contributors

Zambia

Annie Kangwa Chewe
University of Zambia

Ernest Muketoi Beele
University of Zambia

Fares Phiri
Nodi Trust School

Isaac Simbeye
Muyatwa Legal Practitioners

Jacqueline Cornhill Jhala
Corpus Legal Practitioners

John Chibalabala
John Chibalabala Legal Practitioners

Mehluli Malisa Batakathi
Muyatwa Legal Practitioners

Namwene Kamoto Phiri
Corpus Legal Practitioners

O'Brien Kaaba
University of Zambia

Oscar Kaubi
Mopani Copper Mines PLC

Pamela Sibanda Mumbi
SCM Legal Practitioners

Pamela Towela Sambo

Sebastian Chinkoyo
Ndola Teaching Hospital

Tiziana Marietta
Sharpe & Howard Legal Practitioners

Vincent Kaunda Mwewa
Messrs V K Mwewa and Company

Yusuf Ahmed
University Teaching Hospitals

Anonymous Contributors

Zimbabwe

Abraham Mateta
MC Mukome Legal Practitioners

Andrew Makoni
Mbidzo, Muchadehama & Makoni Legal Practitioners

Archlove Takunda Tanyanyiwa
Ramangwana Grassroots Development Initiative

Bright Mahuni
Scanlen & Holderness

Casper Pound
Family AIDS Support Organisation

Charisma Ncube
Scanlen & Holderness

Chiratidzo Ellen Ndhlovu
University of Zimbabwe

Cinginkosi Dube
Scanlen & Holderness

David Tinashe Hofisi
University of Wisconsin-Madison

Edwin Isaac Manikai
Dube, Manikai & Hwacha

Elizabeth M. Chadambuka
Africa University

Farai Chizengeni

Fraser Edkins
Coghlan Welsh and Guest

Godfrey Sibanda
Mbidzo, Muchadehama & Makoni Legal Practitioners

Godman Chingoma
Dube, Manikai & Hwacha

Hezel Sibanda
Maweresibanda Commercial Lawyers

Jeremiah Mutongi Bamu
Mbidzo, Muchadehama & Makoni Legal Practitioners

Kudzai Caroline Tandi
Mangwiro Tandi Law

Maxwell C. C. Musingafi
Zimbabwe Open University

Memory Kudzayi Melody
Scanlen & Holderness

Mordecai Pilate Mahlangu
Gill Godlonton & Gerrans

Moses Nkomo
DNM Attorneys

Moyo Evans T.
Scanlen & Holderness

Mutsa Remba
Dube, Manikai & Hwacha

Nobert Musa Phiri
Muvingi & Mugadza Legal Practitioners

Nyasha Brighton Munyuru
Muvingi & Mugadza Legal Practitioners

Obey Shava
Mbidzo, Muchadehama & Makoni Legal Practitioners

Paidamoyo Bryne Saurombe
Adventist Lawyers Association; Scanlen and Holderness; Zimbabwe Lawyers for Human Rights

Philemon Mutukwa
N. Mashizha and Associates Legal Practitioners

Reveal E. Nyamayemombe
Muvingi & Mugadza Legal Practitioners

Simon Sadomba
Gill Godlonton & Gerrans

Simplicio Bhebhe
Kantor & Immerman Legal Practitioners

Tafadzwa Ralph Mugabe
Tafadzwa Ralph Mugabe Legal Counsel

Tapiwanashe Mukandi
Scanlen & Holderness

Tariro Dzinomwa
National University of Science and Technology

Tawanda Tandi
Kantor & Immerman Legal Practitioners

Terence Hussein
Hussein Ranchhod and Co.

Tshuma Mercia Monica
Zambezi Law Trust

Anonymous Contributors

Acknowledgements

The World Justice Project's Honorary Chairs, Directors, Officers, Staff, Financial Supporters, and Sponsoring Organizations are listed in the last section of this report. Polling companies, research organizations, and contributing experts are listed in the Methodology section of this report.

Academic Advisors

Mark David Agrast, American Society of International Law; Jose M. Alonso, World Wide Web Foundation; Rolf Alter, OECD; Eduardo Barajas, Universidad del Rosario; Maurits Barendrecht, Tilburg University; Tonu Basu, Open Government Partnership; Lowell Bergman, University of California, Berkeley; Tim Besley, London School of Economics; Christina Biebesheimer, The World Bank; Juan Carlos Botero, Pontificia Universidad Javeriana; Paul Brest, Stanford University; Jose Caballero, IMD Business School; David Caron, Kings College, London; Thomas Carothers, Carnegie Endowment; Marcela Castro, Universidad de los Andes; Peter Chapman, Open Society Justice Initiative (OSJI); Eduardo Cifuentes, Universidad de los Andes; Sherman Cohn, Georgetown University; Christine M. Cole, Crime & Justice Institute; Mariano-Florentino Cuellar, Stanford University; Helen Darbishire, Access Info Europe; Nicolas Dassen, Inter-American Development Bank; Larry Diamond, Stanford University; Claudia J. Dumas, Transparency International USA; Sandra Elena, Ministerio de Justicia y Derechos Humanos; Brad Epperly, University of South Carolina; Julio Faundez, Warwick University; Hazel Feigenblatt, Global Integrity; Todd Foglesong, Munk School of Global Affairs at the University of Toronto; Tom Ginsburg, University of Chicago; Joseph Foti, Open Government Partnership; James Goldston, Open Society Justice Initiative (OSJI); Jorge Gonzalez, Universidad Javeriana; Alejandro Gonzalez-Arriola, Open Government Partnership; Jon Gould, American University; Martin Gramatikov, HiiL; Brendan Halloran, Transparency and Accountability Initiative; Linn Hammergren; Tim Hanstad, Landesa; Wassim Harb, Arab Center for the Development of Rule of Law and Integrity; Nathaniel Heller, Open Government Partnership; Vanessa Herringshaw, Transparency and Accountability Initiative; Susan Hirsch, George Mason University; Ronald Janse, University of Amsterdam Law School; Erik G. Jensen, Stanford University; Haroon Khadim, PAE; Rachel Kleinfeld, Carnegie Endowment; Jack Knight, Duke University; Harold H. Koh, Yale University; Margaret Levi, Stanford University; Iris Litt, Stanford University; Clare Lockhart, The Institute for State Effectiveness; Zsuzsanna Lonti, OECD; Diego Lopez, Universidad de los Andes; William T. Loris, Loyola University; Lauren E. Loveland, National Democratic Institute (NDI); Paul Maassen, Open Government Partnership; Beatriz Magaloni, Stanford University; Jenny S. Martinez, Stanford University; Toby McIntosh, FreedomInfo.org; Toby Mendel, Centre for Law and Democracy; Nicholas Menzies, The World Bank; Ghada Moussa, Cairo University; Sam Muller, HiiL; Robert L. Nelson, American Bar Foundation and Northwestern University; Alfonsina Peñaloza, Hewlett Foundation; Harris Pastides, University of South Carolina; Randal Peerenboom, La Trobe University and Oxford University; Angela Pinzon, Universidad del Rosario; Pascoe Pleasence, University College London; Shannon Portillo, George Mason University; Michael H. Posner, New York University; Roy L. Prosterman, University of Washington; Anita Ramasastry, University of Washington; Mor Rubinstein, Open Knowledge Foundation; Angela Ruiz, Universidad del Rosario; Audrey Sacks, The World Bank; Lutforahman Saeed, Kabul University; Michaela Saisana, EU-JRC; Andrea Saltelli, EU-JRC; Moises Sanchez, Alianza Regional por la Libertad de Expresión; Andrei Shleifer, Harvard University; Jorge Luis Silva, The World Bank; Gordon Smith, University of South Carolina; Christopher Stone, Open Society Foundations; John Temple, University of California, Berkeley; Rene Uruena, Universidad de los Andes; Stefan Voigt, University of Hamburg; Barry Weingast, Stanford University; Michael Woolcock, The World Bank.

Roland Abeng; Lukman Abdul-Rahim; Mariam Ahmed; Lina Alameddine; Sarah Alexander; Jessica Álvarez; Rose Karikari Anang; Evelyn Ankumah; Jassim Alshamsi; Jessica Álvarez; Ekaterina Baksanova; Hamud M. Balfas; Laila El Baradei; Rachael Beitler; Laurel Bellows; Clever Bere; Rindala Beydoun; Karan K. Bhatia; Cherie Blair; Rob Boone; Raúl Izurieta Mora Bowen; Ariel Braunstein; Kathleen A. Bresnahan; Michael Brown; William R. Brownfield; David Bruscino; Carolina Cabrera; Javier Castro De León; John Catalfamo; Fahima Charaffeddine; David Cheyette; Sophie Clark; Jose Cochingyan, III; Sonkita Conteh; Barbara Cooperman; Hans Corell; Adriana Cosgriff; Alexander E. Davis; Beth Davis; James P. DeHart; Brackett B. Denniston, III; Russell C. Deyo; Surya Dhungel; Adama Dieng; Andrew Domingoes; Sandra Elena; Roger El Khoury; Sanal Enkhbaatar; Adele Ewan; Juan Farré; Fatima Fettar; Eric Florenz; Abderrahim Foukara; Kristina Fridman; Morly Frishman; Viorel Furdui; Minoru Furuyama; William H. Gates, Sr.; Anna Gardner; Sujith George; Adam Gerstenmier; Jacqueline Gichinga; Suzanne E. Gilbert; Felipe Gómez; Nengak Daniel Gondyi; Lindsey Graham; Deweh Gray; Michael S. Greco; Elise Groulx; Heena Gupta; Arkady Gutnikov; Karen Hall; Kunio Hamada; Sana Hawamdeh; Alvaro Herrero; Sheila Hollis; Michael Holston; R. William Ide, III; Murtaza Jaffer; Sunil Kumar Joshi; Marie-Therese Julita; Megan Kabre; Rashvin Kaur; Anne Kelley; Howard Kenison; Junaid Khalid; Elsa Khwaja; Stuti Kokkalera; Simeon Koroma; Steven H. Kraft; Larry D. Kramer; Jack Krumholtz; Lianne Labossiere; Joanna Lim; Deborah Lindholm; Annie Livingston; Jeanne L. Long; Carlos López; Clarissa Lopez-Diarte; Maha Mahmoud; Biawakant Mainali; Andrew Makoni; Dijana Malbaša; Ermek Mamaev; Frank Mantero; Madison Marks; Roger Martella; Vivek Maru; John Mason; Elisa Massimino; Hiroshi Matsuo; Michael Maya; Sindi Medar-Gould; Ludmila Mendonça; Ellen Mignoni; Aisha Minhas; María Cristina Montaño; Claros Morean; Junichi Morioka; Marion Muller; Norhayati Mustapha; Ilija Nedelkoski; Niku Neshati; Javier Nicolás; Daniel Nitu; Elida Nogoibaeva; Victoria Norelid; Justin Nyekan; Sean O'Brien; Peggy Ochanderena; Bolaji Olaniran; Joy Olson; Mohamed Olwan; Gustavo Alanis Ortega; Bolaji Owasanoye; Pablo Parás; Angeles Melano Paz; Ronen Plechnin; Kamal Pokhrel; John Pollock; Mercy Alejandra Portillo; Cynthia Powell; Humberto Prado Sifontes; Nathalie Rakotomalia; Javier Ramirez; Eduardo Ramos-Gómez; Daniela Rampani; Richard Randerson; Claudia Rast; Yahya Rayegani; Adrian F. Revilla; Salvador Reyes; Lopes Ribeiro; Nigel H. Roberts; Amir Ron; Liz Ross; Irma Russell; Marc Sepama; Adam Severance; Bruce Sewell; Uli Parmlian Sihombing; Hajrija Sijerčić-Čolić; William Sinnott; Lumba Siyanga; Brad Smith; Julie Smith; Lourdes Stein; Thomas M. Susman; Elizabeth Thomas-Hope; Laurence Tribe; Martha Uc; Patricia van Nispen; Robert Varenik; Maria Vinot; Raymond Webster; Dorothee Wildt; Jason Wilks; Malin Winbom; Nazgul Yergalieva; Xueling You; Stephen Zack; Jorge Zapp-Glauser; Roula Zayat.

Institutional Contributors and Advisors

Altus Global Alliance; APCO Worldwide; Fleishman-Hillard; The Center for Advanced Study in the Behavioral Sciences, Stanford University; The Center on Democracy, Development, and the Rule of Law, Stanford University; The German Bar Association in Brussels; Governance Data Alliance; Google Inc.; The Hague Institute for Innovation of Law (HiiL); Investigative Reporting Program, UC Berkeley Graduate School of Journalism; The Legal Department of Hewlett Packard Enterprise; The Legal Department of Microsoft Corporation; The Whitney and Betty MacMillan Center for International and Area Studies, Yale University; Rule of Law Collaborative, University of South Carolina; The University of Chicago Law School; Vera Institute of Justice.

About the World Justice Project

The World Justice Project (WJP) is an independent, multidisciplinary organization working to create knowledge, build awareness, and stimulate action to advance the rule of law worldwide. Effective rule of law reduces corruption, combats poverty and disease, and protects people from injustices large and small. It is the foundation for communities of justice, opportunity, and peace—underpinning development, accountable government, and respect for fundamental rights.

Our Approach

Traditionally, the rule of law has been viewed as the domain of lawyers and judges. However, everyday issues of safety, rights, justice, and governance affect us all; everyone is a stakeholder in the rule of law. Based on this, the WJP builds and supports a global, multi-disciplinary movement for the rule of law by:

1. Collecting, organizing, and analyzing original, independent rule of law data, including the *WJP Rule of Law Index*;

2. Supporting research, scholarship, and teaching about the importance of rule of law, its relationship to development, and effective strategies to strengthen it; and

3. Connecting and building an engaged global network of policy-makers and advocates to advance the rule of law through strategic partnerships, convenings, coordinated advocacy, and support for locally-led initiatives, including through the Resource Hub, a directory of leading organizations advancing the rule of law worldwide; the World Justice Challenge, a competition to identify, recognize, and promote good practices and successful solutions for strengthening the rule of law worldwide; and the World Justice Forum.

Honorary Chairs

The World Justice Project has the support of outstanding leaders representing a range of disciplines around the world. The Honorary Chairs of the World Justice Project are:

Madeleine Albright; Giuliano Amato; Robert Badinter; James A. Baker III; Cherie Blair; Stephen G. Breyer; Sharan Burrow; David Byrne; Jimmy Carter; Maria Livanos Cattaui; Emil Constantinescu; Hans Corell; Hilario G. Davide, Jr.; Hernando de Soto; Adama Dieng; William H. Gates, Sr.; Ruth Bader Ginsburg; Richard J. Goldstone; Kunio Hamada; Lee H. Hamilton; Mohamed Ibrahim; Tassaduq Hussain Jillani; Anthony M. Kennedy; Beverley McLachlin; George J. Mitchell; Sandra Day O'Connor; Ana Palacio; Colin L. Powell; Roy L. Prosterman; Richard W. Riley; Mary Robinson; Richard Trumka; Desmond Tutu; Antonio Vitorino; Harold Woolf; and Andrew Young.

Board of Directors

Shaikha Abdulla Al-Misnad; Kamel Ayadi; William C. Hubbard; Hassan Bubacar Jallow; Suet-Fern Lee; Mondli Makhanya; M. Margaret McKeown; John Nery; William H. Neukom; Ellen Gracie Northfleet; James R. Silkenat; and Petar Stoyanov.

Director Emeritus

President Dr. Ashraf Ghani Ahmadzai

Officers and Staff

William C. Hubbard, Board Chair; William H. Neukom, Founder and CEO; Mark D. Agrast, Vice President; Deborah Enix-Ross, Vice President; Nancy Ward, Vice President; James R. Silkenat, Director and Treasurer; Gerold W. Libby, General Counsel and Secretary.

Staff and Consultants: Elizabeth Andersen, Executive Director; Paul Fisher, Chief Development Officer; Matthew Harman, Chief Communications Officer; Ted Piccone, Chief Engagement Officer; Alejandro Ponce, Chief Research Officer; Richard Schorr, Chief Financial and Administrative Officer; Tim Kessler, Country Director; Laura Aquino; Ester Arroyo; Courtney Babcock; Lindsey Bock; Isabel Campana; Erin Campbell; Ana Cardenas; Estefany Caudillo; Lilian Chapa Koloffon; Glenda Charles; Maria Chavarria; Miguel Contreras; Paulina Del Paso; Killian Dorier; Alicia Evangelides; Vianney Fernández; Marco Ivan Figueroa; Emma Frerichs; Joshua Fuller; Amir Galvan; Felipe Gomez; Erendira Gonzalez; Lucia Gonzalez; Kirssy González; Amy Gryskiewicz; Juan Carlos Guarneros; Issa Guerra; Fernando Gutierrez O.; Joseph Haley; Irene Heras; Roberto Hernández; Jaya Khetarpal; Priyanka Khosla; Sarah Chamness Long; Alejandro Lopez; Rafael Lozano; Debby Manley; Gabriela Marquez; Joel Martinez; Oswaldo Mejia; Ignacio Miranda; Jorge A. Morales; Jason Murray; Layda Negrete; Fernando Omedé; Marien Rivera; Mario Rodríguez; Natalia Rodríguez Cajamarca; Juan Salgado; Alicia Segovia; Rebecca Silvas; Leslie Solís Saravia; Marcelo Torres; Paulina Vega; Gerard Vinluan; and Emily Youatt.

World Justice Project Funders

The World Justice Project thanks the following major 2019-2020 donors whose support makes our work possible:

American Bar Association – Section of Environment, Energy, and Resources

American Council of Learned Societies

Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation

British Council

Charles Stewart Mott Foundation

Cordaid

Barbara and R. Bradford Evans

German Corporation for International Cooperation

Hague Institute for Innovation of Law

Hague Municipality

Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Inter-American Development Bank

Jones Day

Knowledge Management Fund

LexisNexis

Microsoft Corporation

Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the Netherlands

Mo Ibrahim Foundation

Neukom Family Foundation

Open Society Justice Initiative

Sally and William H. Neukom

United States Agency for International Development

United States Department of State

Nancy Ward and Toby Bright

Kent Walker and Diana Walsh

Western Hemisphere Drug Policy Commission

WJP League of Law Firms 2019-2020

Cooley
Davis Wright Tremaine
Fenwick & West
K&L Gates
Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough
Perkins Coie
White & Case
Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati Foundation
Zuber Lawler & Del Duca

A list of funders can be found at: **worldjusticeproject.org.**

Effective rule of law reduces corruption, combats poverty and disease, and protects people from injustices large and small.

Rule of Law

"Laws of justice which Hammurabi, the wise king, established... That the strong might not injure the weak, in order to protect the widows and orphans..., in order to declare justice in the land, to settle all disputes, and heal all injuries."
–Codex Hammurabi

"Treat the people equally in your court and give them equal attention, so that the noble shall not aspire to your partiality, nor the humble despair of your justice."
–Judicial Guidelines from 'Umar Bin Al-Khattab, The Second Khalifa of Islam'

"All human beings are born free and equal in dignity and rights... Everyone is entitled to all the rights and freedoms set forth in this Declaration, without distinction of any kind, such as race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth or other status."
–Universal Declaration of Human Rights

"We are all servants of the laws in order that we may be free."
–Cicero (106 BCE - 43 BCE)

"No freeman is to be taken or imprisoned or disseised of his free tenement or of his liberties or free customs, or outlawed or exiled or in any way ruined, nor will we go against such a man or send against him save by lawful judgement of his peers or by the law of the land. To no-one will we sell or deny or delay right or justice."
–Magna Carta

"I could adjudicate lawsuits as well as anyone. But I would prefer to make lawsuits unnecessary."
–Analects of Confucius

"It is more proper that law should govern than any one of the citizens."
–Aristotle, Politics (350 BCE)

"If someone disobeys the law, even if he is (otherwise) worthy, he must be punished. If someone meets the standard, even if he is (otherwise) unworthy, he must be found innocent. Thus the Way of the public good will be opened up, and that of private interest will be blocked."
–The Huainanzi 139 BCE (Han Dynasty, China)

"The Law of Nations, however, is common to the entire human race, for all nations have established for themselves certain regulations exacted by custom and human necessity."
–Corpus Juris Civilis

"Where-ever law ends, tyranny begins."
–John Locke, Two Treatises of Government (1689)

"Good civil laws are the greatest good that men can give and receive. They are the source of morals, the palladium of property, and the guarantee of all public and private peace. If they are not the foundation of government, they are its supports; they moderate power and help ensure respect for it, as though power were justice itself."
–Jean-Étienne-Marie Portalis, Discours Préliminaire du Premier Projet de Code Civil

More from the World Justice Project



WJP Rule of Law Index 2019



WJP Rule of Law Index 2019 Insights
Highlights and data trends from the WJP Rule of Law Index 2019



WJP Mexico States Rule of Law Index 2019-2020
Perceptions and experiences in 32 states

Realizing Justice For All
World Justice Forum Report 2019

Global Insights on Access to Justice 2019
Findings from the World Justice Project General Population Poll in 101 Countries

Measuring the Justice Gap 2019
A People-Centered Assessment of Unmet Justice Needs Around the World

For more information or to read these reports, visit
worldjusticeproject.org/our-work

World Justice Project

WASHINGTON, DC SEATTLE SINGAPORE MEXICO CITY

worldjusticeproject.org facebook.com/thewjp twitter.com/theWJP